

**CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:**

Required by Legislation:	Yes	Required by City of Vincent Policy:	Yes
--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	-----

Consultation Period:	20 August 2015 to 2 September 2015
Comments Received:	One submission in support and three objections.

Comments in support of the application were received, which stated that the development compliments and fits in with the rest of the buildings in the area and the streetscape and that the development is in line with the vision for the rest of the street and the North Perth area.

The table below discusses the issues raised during consultation.

Summary of Comments Received:	Officer Technical Comment:
<p><u>Car Parking</u></p> <p>To assume that there is plenty of parking on Kayle Street is a falsehood.</p> <p>Kayle Street experiences parking problems due to the construction of two developments taking place on the corner of Bourke and Charles Streets and 6 Kayle Street.</p> <p>Perth has poor public transport and people need cars. This development will worsen the amount of cars that already exist on Kayle Street.</p>	<p>On-street car parking on Kayle Street is available and permitted, and as the site is close to frequent bus services running along Charles Street the proposed number of visitor car bays is acceptable.</p> <p>Although there is a high demand for on-street parking in Kayle Street due to the construction of new developments, parking in Kayle Street is legally available to the public.</p> <p>The area is well served by frequent buses running along Charles Street.</p> <p>Medium density developments such as this serve to increase the population density in an area, which will make public transport services more feasible.</p>
<p><u>Height and Setbacks</u></p> <p>The development should adhere to the setback and height guidelines.</p>	<p>The overall height of the proposed development is consistent with the height of the existing two storey developments to the north and the recently approved two storey development to the south.</p> <p>The proposed front setback maintains the character and rhythm of the streetscape as it provides generous open space, outdoor living areas and soft landscaping within the front area.</p>

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter.

**Design Advisory Committee (DAC):**

Referred to Design Advisory Committee: Yes

The proposal was considered by the City's DAC on two occasions – 6 May 2015 and 15 July 2015. Refer to **Attachment 3** for an extract of the minutes of the meetings.

The applicant engaged with the DAC process to achieve a superior design outcome.

The proposal has met all mandatory requirements of the DAC but does not require achieved Design Excellence.

### **9.2.3 Proposed Bike Boulevard Project**

**Requested by:** Cr Emma Cole  
**Prepared by:** Rick Lotznicker, Director Technical Services

#### **PROPOSED AMENDMENT:**

That Recommendation 2 be amended to read, as follows:

"2. **ADVISES** the Department of Transport that Council's in principle 'no objection' to the Department's demonstration Bike Boulevard project does not guarantee and should not be misconstrued as Council's approval for the Department to carry out any works along Shakespeare Street, because;

2.1 Shakespeare Street, which is a local road under the care, control and management of the City of Vincent; and

2.2 Council's decision on the Bike Boulevard Project will be guided by the outcomes of the community consultation exercise referred to in 1 above;"

#### **ADMINISTRATIONS COMMENT:**

Administration supports this Amendment.

**9.3.7 Disposal of Property at Lot 140 Brentham Street, Mount Hawthorn**

**Requested by: Councillor Emma Cole**

Prepared by: Director Corporate Services, John Paton

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT:**

That Recommendation 2 be deleted and a new Recommendation 2 be inserted as follows:

- ~~2. DELEGATES BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY to the Chief Executive Officer, the power to consider any submissions received in response to the Local Public Notice in 1 above and determine whether to proceed with the proposed disposition as presented in the Local Public Notice.~~
2. RECEIVES a further report on any submissions received in response to the Local Public Notice in 1 above to determine whether to proceed with the proposed disposition as presented in the Local Public Notice.

**Administration Comment:**

Administration is happy to provide a report to Council on 8 December 2015 once the advertising period has closed.