

10.3 PROPOSED 12 MONTH TRIAL OF NEW AND AMENDED PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN THE STREETS SURROUNDING HYDE PARK - VINCENT, HYDE, WILLIAM AND GLENDOWER STREETS PERTH, NORTH PERTH, MOUNT LAWLEY AND HIGHGATE

- Attachments:**
1. Attachment 1 - Hyde Park PostCard for Parking Restrictions Proposal
 2. Attachment 2 - Hyde Park Parking Survey Results
 3. Attachment 3 - Hyde Park Parking Restrictions Map
 4. Attachment 4 - Hyde Park Car Count Survey - August 2021

RECOMMENDATION:**That Council:**

1. **RECEIVES** the results from the consultation period on the proposed 12 month trial of new and amended parking restrictions in Vincent, Hyde, William and Glendower Streets, Perth, North Perth, Mount Lawley and Highgate.
2. **APPROVES** the trial of the proposed restrictions for:
 - 2.1 **Vincent Street between Throssell and William Streets, both sides, 3P, 8.00AM to 6.00PM Monday to Sunday parking restrictions, in the currently unrestricted sections (to match those of the existing), and**
 - 2.2 **Hyde Street, between Vincent Street and Chelmsford Road, both sides, 3P, 8.00AM to 6.00PM Monday to Friday parking restrictions, and**
 - 2.3 **William Street, between Vincent and Glendower Streets, eastern side 3P, 9.00AM to 6.00PM Monday to Sunday, and the western side, 3P, 8.00AM to 4.15PM Monday to Friday and 8.00AM to 6.00PM Saturday and Sunday parking restrictions in the unrestricted sections of William Street, allowing for the existing 'Clearway Restrictions', and**
 - 2.4 **Glendower Street, between William and Palmerston Streets, retain the existing 3P (At All Times) on the northern side (park side) and change the southern side from 3P (At All Times) to 1P (At All Times), from William Street to Fitzgerald Street.**
3. **NOTES** that residents will continue to be eligible for parking permits other than those excluded as a condition of a development approval.
4. **ADVISES** the residents and businesses in those streets surrounding Hyde Park of Council's decision.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To seek Council's approval to trial for a period of 12 months, new and amended parking restrictions in the aforementioned streets surrounding Hyde Park.

BACKGROUND:

Hyde Park is often referred to as the City's *Jewel in Crown* and is viewed as an iconic park across the wider Perth metropolitan. As a consequence it is very popular with locals and visitors alike, which in-turn results in a constantly high parking demand in the streets surrounding the park.

Currently there are mix of parking restrictions in the streets immediately adjacent Hyde Park, as well as various sections with no restrictions.

The City has received a number of requests from residents to either reduce the length of the time restrictions in their streets to ensure a regular turn-over of available spaces, extend the time restrictions to those sections currently without restrictions, or install 'resident only' zones.

Therefore in light of the above the City has undertaken a review of parking in the following streets:

- Glendower Street, William Street to Fitzgerald Street
- Palmerston Street, Glendower Street to Bulwer Street
- Lake Street, Glendower Street to Bulwer Street
- Irene Street, Glendower Street to Bulwer Street
- Throssell Street, Glendower Street to Vincent Street.
- Vincent Street, Throssell Street to William Street
- Hyde Street*, Vincent Street to Chelmsford Road, and
- William Street, Vincent Street to Glendower Street.

All of the above streets are predominately residential in nature and most of the surrounding streets in the area already have varying time restrictions. However, there are two significant omissions, Vincent Street, Norfolk Street to William Street northern side and Throssell Street to William Street (the length of Hyde Park) on the southern side, and the majority of William Street, Vincent Street to Glendower Street, both sides of which are currently unrestricted, other than Clearways.

As a result of the complaints that were received, Administration presented a report to Council on 27 April 2021, recommending a 12 month trial of new and amended parking restrictions in the streets surrounding Hyde Park.

At the 27 April 2021 Council meeting, Council resolved the following;

That Council:

- 1 *RECEIVES the report on the proposed 12 month trial of new and amended parking restrictions in Vincent, Hyde, William and Glendower Streets, Perth, North Perth, Mt Lawley and Highgate.*
- 2 *ENDORSES for the purposes of public consultation the proposed restrictions;*
 - 2.1 *Vincent Street, between Throssell and William Streets, both sides, 3P, 8.00am to 6.00pm Monday to Sunday parking restrictions, in the currently unrestricted sections (to match those of the existing), and*
 - 2.2 *Hyde Street, between Vincent Street and Chelmsford Road, both sides, 3P, 8.00am to 6.00pm Monday to Friday parking restrictions, and*
 - 2.3 *William Street, between Vincent and Glendower Streets, eastern side 3P, 9.00am to 6.00pm Monday to Sunday, and the western side, 3P 8.00am to 4.15pm Monday to Friday and 8.00am to 6.00pm Saturday and Sunday parking restrictions in the unrestricted sections of William Street, allowing for the existing 'Clearway' Restrictions, and*
 - 2.4 *Glendower Street, between William and Palmerston Streets, retain the existing 3P (At All Times) on the northern side (park side) and change the southern side from 3P (At All Times) to 1P (At All Times).*
- 3 *REQUESTS that the results of the consultation is the subject of a further report to Council by June 2021.*

This report has been prepared to address that request.

DETAILS:

The City consulted with the residents of the aforementioned streets, as well as visitors to Hyde Park, from the 5th of June to the 4th of July 2021. The consultation was not completed by June due to the high number of public consultations processes being undertaken by the City at this time, the extended nature of the consultation given the high level of interest in Hyde Park and additional parking surveys conducted by Rangers to inform the recommendations in this report.

The City organised a postcard, **Attachment 1**, which went to 1,000 separate addresses including properties in the area and property owners. Notices were also placed in Hyde Park inviting submissions, as were


postcards handed out by the City's Rangers to patrons utilising the Park. 64 responses were received, and all responses are included in **Attachment 2**.

The three questions asked in the survey were:

1. Do you agree with the proposed parking changes;
2. How often do you use Hyde Park; and
3. Are you a resident or a visitor to Hyde Park


A snapshot of the responses are below:

DO YOU AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED PARKING CHANGES




Of the 31 submissions that supported the proposal, 30 were from residents and 1 was from a visitor. Of the 22 submissions opposing the proposal, 15 were from residents, and 7 were from visitors and/or workers within the area. The 11 submissions received that were undecided on the proposal, were all from residents.

HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE HYDE PARK



ARE YOU A RESIDENT OR A VISITOR TO HYDE PARK



In summary, of the 64 responses, 31 expressed support for the parking restrictions, 22 opposed the parking restriction proposal and 11 were undecided.

A response signed by 14 residents also requested additional parking restrictions, with the first being on the southern side of Glendower Street from Palmerston Street to Fitzgerald Street, to maintain the proposed 1P consistency from William Street to Palmerston Street on the southern side, and in continuity with the existing 1P from Throssell Street to Fitzgerald Street on the northern side. Administration has considered the submission and have reflected this amendment in recommendation 2.4.

Further submissions and feedback received, is provided in Attachment 2.

The City has further assessed the parking restrictions in the aforementioned streets and based on the submissions received, have made amendments to recommendation 2.4, as highlighted in **Attachment 3**. The change to this recommendation was to ensure continuity along the southern side of Glendower Street, between Fitzgerald Street and William Street, to be 1P (At All Times).

The City also received submissions requesting restrictions greater than 3P, however, Administration believes that this would not deter all commuters to the City. The changing of the restrictions on Vincent Street, would also allow Administration to address the issue of vehicles parking for an unlimited time.

Further, residents will be eligible for resident and visitor parking permits other than those exclusions such as a condition of a development approval.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

All affected property owners and occupiers within the immediate area of the proposed restrictions, were notified of the proposal and asked to provide comment. The Public Consultation postcard is attached in Attachment 1, and all responses are attached in Attachment 2.

The City advertised in accordance with the City's Policy No. 4.1.5 – Community Consultation Appendix 2 through the following means:

- Mail out to all properties and non-resident owners;
- The City's Imagine Vincent website;
- Posts on the City's social media pages;
- Corflute signage erected in Hyde Park; and
- Postcards distributed by the City's Rangers to patrons utilising Hyde Park.

Note: All persons who commented or provided submissions during the public notice/consultation period for this matter, will be notified that this item is going before Council.

LEGAL/POLICY:

The City's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law states the local government may, by resolution, prohibit or regulate by signs or otherwise, the stopping or parking of any vehicle or any class of vehicles in any part of the parking region, but must do so consistently with the provisions of this local law.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Low: It is low risk for Council to undertake parking restrictions.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the City's *Strategic Community Plan 2018-2028*:

Thriving Places

Our physical assets are efficiently and effectively managed and maintained.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the following key sustainability outcomes of the *City's Sustainable Environment Strategy 2019-2024*.

*Sustainable Transport***PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS:**

This does not contribute to any public health outcomes in the *City's Public Health Plan 2020-2025*.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Signage and line-marking for the new parking restrictions would be expected to cost in the order of \$5,000, to be funded from the existing operational budget.

COMMENTS:

Over the past decade there has been a significant increase in demand for on-street parking by non-residents in the City's CBD fringe areas including the streets surrounding Hyde Park. This demand rises as the cost of parking in the CBD increases.

This local area has also seen significant infill development which only increases the demands on street parking given the popularity of Hyde Park.

The proposed restrictions will assist residents to find parking in the vicinity during the peak times.

Administration recommends a 12 month trial of the parking restrictions, which will allow further car count surveys to be conducted, which will allow for the varying seasonal activity and give Council a better snapshot of the parking demands over a 12 month period. Survey results for August 2021 are provided in **Attachment 4**.



CITY OF VINCENT

Have your say


HYDE PARK PARKING

We are reviewing the parking restrictions around Hyde Park and want to hear your thoughts.

The proposed restrictions for parts of Vincent, Hyde, William and Glendowner Streets aim to improve parking availability while ensuring local residents can park close to their homes.

PARKING RESTRICTIONS

- 3hr, 8am – 6pm
Monday – Sunday
- 3hr, 9am – 6pm
Monday – Sunday
- 3hr, 8am – 4.15pm
Monday – Friday
- 3hr, 8am – 6pm
Saturday & Sunday
- Retains existing 3hr
parking restrictions
(at all times)
- Change existing
3hr parking to 1hr
parking restrictions
(at all times)



These time restrictions don't apply to residents with an active e-permit.

Have your say before 5pm, 30 June 2021 on [imagine.vincent.wa.gov.au](https://www.imagine.vincent.wa.gov.au)

ATTACHMENT 2

HYDE PARK PARKING RESTRICTIONS (64)

Web Survey

1) Comments:

- Undecided
- I support the 3 hour restrictions however disagree with the proposed change to one hour on the south side of Glendower St. This is not long enough for visitors to the park and will simply move the traffic to streets further out. Residents cannot live overlooking the park and be surprised when there are demands on parking. Changing the conditions to one hour parking will essentially restrict parking to residents only which is not in the best interests of the neighbourhood. A three hour limit will stop the issue of commuter parking which I don't believe is an issue for the streets surrounding the park.

2) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- People love going to Hyde Park to relax and unwind. We drive there for a picnic, walk the dog, or just sit down and read a book. Some of these activities will go for more than 3 hours. Why put a restriction? I walk to Hyde Park sometimes too, don't always drive. But regardless, I don't think your intention is to benefit the community. I feel that you just want your Rangers to come and start fining people who are just trying to enjoy their day. 3 hours go by so fast, I'd go with 5 hours limit. If they can't get a parking, then let them be. If they want to enjoy Hyde Park, they will find a way.

3) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- No additional comments provided.

4) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- 3 has is a decent length of time to enjoy the park. Could maybe consider William St as 5 hr to provide options for those that MAY wish to stay longer than 3hr?

5) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Support as people park there all day and walk into the city

6) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Half the people who park around the park don't even go to it - many just walk to work

7) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal

- 3hrs is not long enough, also very inconvenient when visiting friends along Vincent St. This would just make me park on the surrounding narrow residential streets instead.

8) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- We love going to Hyde Park, stroll around the park and walk to nearby cafes and shops for meals. It's hard enough to find a parking spot and the amount of rangers walking around these days giving out tickets is outrageous. I really hope this is not another way COV uses to raise revenue. We drive further up to Inglewood more these days because of parking and rangers. City of Vincent you have let us down again.

9) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I think all bays should have a minimum of 3 hours (none with 1 hour) and preferably most with 4 hours.

10) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Increase availability of parking for the park.

11) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- No additional comments provided

12) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I think that having a consistent 3-hour parking limit in surrounding areas will provide a clear and transparent signal for all users of Hyde Park. That length of time is sufficient, in my opinion, for a visit to the park and to enjoy the amenities. It would also prevent CBD commuters from using the streets for free parking all day, to the detriment of park users and others who need short-period parking in the area for other purposes.

13) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- Please make the time longer. 4 hours would be ideal. We often go to events or plan picnics. 4 hours is really great. No rush.

14) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- We support but concerned about parking on our street as it's nearby on Grosvenor and worried people will park on our street instead.
- We already have problems with people parking regularly and getting bus to city or visitors at the apartments on corners of William and Grosvenor road.
- We would support 4 hour parking on our street and neighbouring areas. We have neighbors that also agree. Sometimes we have people park in front of our driveways and we can't access our driveway. Also sometimes we can't park our bins on the street as too many cars.

- Thanks for allowing us to fill in this survey.

15) Comments:

- Undecided
- I think a 3hr limit is appropriate (to stop people parking and commuting to the city). This allows enough time for people to enjoy Hyde Park.
- To limit it to residents only on Glendower St (where I am a resident myself) is unnecessary. I believe this would be exclusionary to people wanting to use the park and local businesses.
- One thing that I think should change is that there never seem to be any rangers monitoring parking. 3hrs is great but I don't believe people are being ticketed for parking considerably longer.

16) Comments:

- Undecided
- As long as there are parking alternatives as less parking spaces is not good.

17) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I live on Glendower street and we have a lot of trouble street parking around our house

18) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Large amount of parking is taken up by city workers who commute to work and park all day in this suburb limit access for residents and park visitors.

19) Comments:

- Undecided
- Living in Grosvenor Road, I'm concerned that timed parking in Hyde Street with extending to surrounding streets will just push the issue into neighbouring residential streets that don't have parking restrictions

20) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- The problem has nothing to do with time limits. The insufficient amount of parking results in trawling for parking which slows and blocks traffic. Parallel parking blocks through traffic completely. The solution is to provide more parking and make accessing it easier. There appears to be no long term plan for enjoying this unique space.

21) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I fully support the changes however I fail to see how Vincent will be able to enforce the new parking code. As a resident of Glendower Street with off road parking I am constantly having to ask visitors to the park not to park across my driveway obstructing my ability to drive my car off my property. This is despite NO STOPPING in bold yellow painted on the road. This has been an ongoing issue for the past 25 years I would like to arrange a meeting with a council representative to provide so ideas as to how this ongoing problem can be rectified.

22) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I whole hearted agree due to the huge increase in people visiting the park. I often have difficulty in parking especially with people parking over my driveway. For instance on Good Friday mum had a STROKE I couldn't get out I rang the ranger 5 times and no one answered. In the end I had to get an Uber. It is very frustrating because people don't give a damn. It's an absolute nightmare, often on the weekends we have traffic jams on Glendower Street. It's supposed to be a residential street. Not a carpark.

23) Comments:

- In favour or the proposal
- I support this as too many people park their cars and then commute to the CBD - they get pretty much free parking all day.
- I take it that the current 2 hour parking in Chatsworth Road at the William Street end will remain the same.

24) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I live in Chatsworth Rd and go down Vincent St several times a day and notice cars park there all day and get the bus to the city and lately there have been some 12 cars parked there for the past 10 days at least and they haven't moved. This is really unfair on the users of Hyde Park and the local Vincent St residents who would be losing their own and visitors parking spaces. I support all the proposed changes.

25) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- need to avoid abandoned vehicles many with lpg tanks on their roof

26) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- There are still quite a number of perth city workers who use the area as an extension of city car parks. They park all day, don't put any money into the local businesses and in the case of William street (eastern side) block clear vision of oncoming cars if we're trying to exit via our rear laneways. The sooner City of Vincent implements the proposed time restricted parking around Hyde park streets the better.

27) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I can never find parking on either side of Vincent Street between William and Hyde Street due to mainly the backpackers who park long term for weeks or months at a time! Very annoying when back from food shopping with no access to my home. Have resorted to parking in a neighbouring driveway when possible.

28) Comments:

- Undecided
- As a resident in a share house with no on-site parking I support this. It has been very noticeable and frustrating people are using Vincent st as a longer term parking option.

- I would like to have visitor permits available for our household to use so that when our partners stay over night they won't have to move their car in the morning

29) Comments:

- Undecided
- It will move the problem to adjoining streets. As a resident of Harley Street we struggle to find parking in the street during the weekend due to park visitors & or customers of Chu bakery. Poor control on 'resident' parking adding to the problem

30) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- As a resident on the south side of Glendower Street I welcome the change to 1 hour parking.
- I assume that my visitors and visiting tradespeople are able to park longer than 1 hour as long as they are listed on the e-parking website using one of my 3 epermit allocation?
- I am concerned that the development of the park kiosk will mean even more of the public coming to the park by car and staying longer putting further pressure on the parking around the Park.
- Another concern are the people who park daily in Glendower Street, and possibly other surrounding streets then catch the bus to the city. Many of these people pay the fine and keep parking on a regular basis believing it is still cheaper than inner city parking fees. Maybe there should be monitoring of regular offenders with a sliding scale of infringement fees according to the number of infringements.
- I would be against seeing high infringement fees implemented for everyone just to catch a few. It would be sad to see everyday families being hit with unreasonably high fees for accidentally overstaying the time limit.
- Any changes to parking restrictions should be very clearly signed and additional notices placed strategically advising of changes and penalties so that all residents and visitors to the area are aware of the changes.
- During the phasing in of these changes City of Vincent Rangers should be instructed to give warnings rather penalties in the first instance of non compliance.

31) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I agree with the need for necessary changes but would like Vincent to assure us that there is enough manpower to ensure that these changes are enforced. Otherwise have smart ticket machines that ensure that a vehicle owner doesn't just go and get another 3hr ticket to extend their parking time as soon as their time is up. ie. Make the drivers register their vehicle registration and they can't park again within a 2-3hour window.

32) Comments:

- Undecided
- I support the introduction of 3hr parking limits around Hyde park (with the exception of resident permits), however as a nearby resident, the most common problem for my visitors is understanding the number of different parking restrictions in the area.

I believe a simplified and uniform approach would be far easier for visitors to understand. I don't support having slightly different hours for each of the streets and believe this will cause confusion.

33) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- It is important for people visiting the park, particularly parents with young children, to be able to park close to Hyde Park.

34) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I don't think there should be 1 hour parking..make it all 3 hours.

35) Comments:

- Undecided
- These proposed changes all allow for short term users to have a chance to get parking near their local park. Parking is quite difficult nearby now with the all-day users/commuters using it like a park n ride station. While higher density living near CBD causes some parking issues, many of them park in their buildings.

36) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I don't believe there is an issue with parking . I come at various hours of the day either by car or walking and have never noticed a lack of parking or difficulty in finding a parking spot.

37) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- There shouldn't be such limited parking when wanting to visit the park or surrounding residents for hours longer than the proposed restrictions

38) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I prefer to keep parking as is in these streets to allow those who want to use the parking for longer periods to do so. I think there's already enough timed parking in the area to allow for turn over of visitors.

39) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- We don't need more restrictions and costs

40) Comments:

- Undecided
- Would prefer the three hour limit was retained and enforced by Rangers. Currently a lot of vehicles park along the park to access the Perth city for free (not the park or City of Vincent) or to use as an overnight camping/parking spot. A one hour turn over on the South side of Glendower St will create increased vehicle and passenger traffic/noise/air pollution outside of residential premises. This would also increase the footpath clutter of prams/car doors/visitors. Suggest the one hour turn over (if deemed necessary) be relocated to the North side of Glendower or Vincent St along

the park where there is more space for prams, visitor movement and encourage use of the park/nearby cafes instead of cheap parking.

41) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- Thanks for asking for feedback.
- I do not support changing the current 3hr limit on Glendower St south side to 1hr since as a resident this will simply create far more vehicle movements directly outside my residence. It's noisy enough already. If we must have a 1hr zone to increase vehicle turnover then I would suggest Vincent or William streets are more appropriate since these are busy and noisy arterial roads already.
- I would however suggest the 3hr limit is more regularly enforced since plenty of motorists seem to leave their vehicles all day or overnight without being challenged.

42) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- The parking changes seem fair, especially for those residents located directly next to Hyde Park.

43) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- One hour is an unreasonably short time. A two hour restriction on the south side of Glendower would increase turnover while allowing sufficient time for a park visit.

44) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- That makes NO SENSE and is wrong. Do you really expect anyone to believe that the City workers come back twice during the day and move their cars ???
- Please don't come to incorrect/fake conclusions to further the scenario you want to proceed with.

45) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- Glendower Street is where I often park when I bring grandchildren including babies, and a disabled elder to the park. There is time enough to park and enjoy the ambience of the park without rushing. It feels safe as it is the quietest of the streets surrounding Hyde Park, allowing us all to exit the car safely and the children walk straight on to the grass, whilst I manage the baby and assist the elder, with the children safely in sight in front of me. Decreasing the parking limit to 1 hour would be stressful. Perhaps all the parking signage around Hyde Park could state that the parking is for Hyde Park visitors only, similar to King's Park signage.

46) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- 1. Agree with only the southern side of Glendower st having parking restrictions to help residents there.
- 2. The restrictions on other streets as proposed, will only lead to increased parking on the streets surrounding the park area, and push the problem out to residents.

- 3. Further, these proposed restrictions would also prevent locals from having visitors to their homes being able to park for visits.
- 4. I live on Lincoln st and have already requested that parking on this small stretch be made residents only (we only have 5 parking bays for 16 dwellings).
- Chu bakery has made parking impossible for residents already with cars backing up and queuing in the street for a space so that patrons don't have to walk a few metres to have access to the bakery. Chu bakery customers should ideally park around the park, not congest Lincoln St.
- I'd like to re submit my request for serious consideration regarding the first stretch of Lincoln st becoming residents only parking between William st and Knebworth avenue please (I have written to the City of Vincent before and have previously been advised that Lincoln st would be considered along with the current review but it is clear that it has not been - please contact me directly for copies of correspondence to date, I would appreciate a dialogue on this matter. Further I was advised that residents only parking was not possible in Vincent, however I have since discovered that parking around Chinta cafe is now residents only and we share the same issues).
- Thanks for your consideration and I look forward to hearing from you on this matter.

47) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- 'I regularly visit the park for exercise and walking my Sister's dog. Its also nice to have some lunch at one of the food caravans in the park itself.
- As my Sister lives on Lincoln street, I prefer to park on Vincent street on the "all day" side as this means I can get some more exercise walking through the Park on the way there and back to her house, plus I don't need to worry about setting off home again too soon.
- -I need to drive as I live outside of the city, and the public transport does not cater well for my area.
- If the parking was set to just 3 hours, this would detract from the duration and flexibility of how I can enjoy my time at the park.
- I do not support the proposed changes.

48) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I would like to see the one hour parking limit for non residents extended to the north side of Bulwer St between William and Palmerston Streets

49) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- No additional comments provided

50) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- It frustrates me seeing cars/vans parked on Vincent St that appear to belong to tourists/campers taking advantage of free all-day parking. The parking space should be for short term visitors to the park - families, exercisers, wedding guests etc. I am

vehemently against paid parking options and it is pleasing that this appears not to be a consideration.

51) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I am a full-time worker at a local business situated near Hyde Park (on Fitzgerald Street). Due to the limited parking on-site I have found the all-day street parking along Vincent Street extremely beneficial for both professional and personal reasons. Being able to drive to and from work means that I save on commute time (20 minutes of driving as opposed to over an hour on public transport) as well as the ability to being able to readily access my vehicle to travel to the bank or meet with clients; even something as simple as driving to Leederville, North Perth, Mount Lawley or Mount Hawthorne to grab some lunch or do my weekly grocery shopping.

52) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- I am a very regular visitor to both the park and my daughter who resides close to the park.
- Parking is always difficult around the surrounding streets (due to park and cafe goers parking in surrounding streets) and I often have to use the parking around the park itself to be assured of a spot that I can use to visit my daughter for a decent length of time.
- I think that there needs to be more residents only areas as well as leaving the parking around the park flexible. I do however believe that glendower residents should have 1 side of their street with restrictions upon them so they are able to park outside their homes. Thank you

53) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I want resident only parking for residents along resident side of the street after 6pm. I come home late from work and consistently find non residents parked along the resident side of the street - despite the fact there is available parking along the park side. I am forced to park my vehicle further away from my home which is both inconvenient and unsafe. These commuters do not appear to be using the park.

54) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- Worker in the city of Vincent. Rely on hyde park for parking. No decent alternatives.

55) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- I agree with the parking restrictions, but I hope the COV can put something in place to increase the number of registered vehicles per household, as i believe we can only register two vehicles.

56) Comments:

- Undecided
- I'm sceptical. I think Glendower and Throssell Streets provide adequate opportunities for parking so long as too many residents don't take up the kerb space.

I certainly agree that the area immediately around Chu's Bakery needs to be looked at, not only for their customers' parking needs but also to increase the safety of people crossing over from there to the Park. As for commuters using the Vincent Street edge and the northern section of William for free parking, I'd need to know if you have established a need for extra parking there during working hours.

57) Comments:

- Undecided
- 1. I support introducing restrictions on William and Vincent to remove CBD commuters. I think it would be reasonable to extend those restrictions to 4 hours to enable people to have picnics etc. One option could be to make it 4 hour on Vincent and William, and 3 hour on Glendower in order to encourage some park users to preference William and Vincent thus taking some pressure off Glendower.
- 2. Every dwelling along Glendower has off-road parking with the majority being from Primrose Street. The fact that some people choose to use these parking spaces for other uses or to park a less frequently used vehicle is an issue for those residents to resolve. While one person said that they had issues unloading their car when they came back from shopping or from school, this could easily be solved by using their off-road parking spot for trips of this nature.
- 3. The suggestion to introduce 1P on the south side of Glendower seems an overreaction to pander to a small number of Glendower residents. It may have been more acceptable if the proposal was to make the south side of Glendower 2P to make the treatment consistent with Throssel, but I think, given the length of Glendower, it is not justified at this stage.
- 4. Analysis of the March 2021 counts shows that there seems to be a peak on the south side of Glendower around about lunch time, particularly on Friday. At other times of the day the north side of Vincent Street has similar or heavier occupancy. The north side of Vincent and south side of Glendower are similar in that they front residential dwellings. So any argument used for the changing restrictions on the south of Glendower would equally apply to the north side of Vincent.
- 5. Hyde Park is designated as a 'Regional Public Open Space' and as such the expectation is that a significant number of people will drive to the park (i.e. the catchment spans local governments and people will drive more than 5 minutes to get to the park). We should not treat visitors like lepers by making it unattractive to visit.
- 6. The argument that the City will use parking restrictions to generate a greater turnover thus allowing more people to access the park and its facilities is fanciful. If that was seriously the intention then the restrictions would all be 1 hour.

58) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Timed parking, with resident parking permit needs to be also implemented onto the sections of Chelmsford Rd that don't already have it, Such as between William and Norfolk.

- As the commuters using Vincent street and Chelmsford Rd for day parking will all congregate onto Chelmsford Rd.

59) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- Camping vans & cars are parked for extended periods on Vincent Street.
- City commuters take up the space that park users need, forcing them into side streets such as Ethel Street.

60) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- At the April meeting of council a proposal was put forward to seek community input into a proposed revision into parking around Hyde Park. If accepted by council, the proposal would see 3 hour parking limits around Hyde Park, inclusive of Hyde Street between Vincent Street and Chelmsford Road. As residents of Hyde Street between Vincent Street and Chelmsford Road, we support the proposal which, as we understand it, is to be further considered by council at the June meeting.

Note that while the council management proposal put to council focused on the volume of 'city worker' traffic involving residents of outer suburbs taking advantage of the 'free' parking in the Hyde Park area (which we agree with), no mention was made of the large number of 4WD and backpacker vehicles that remain parked on Vincent Street for weeks or months on end. Both categories of vehicles are a problem for Hyde Park residents throughout the week. Further, the 4WD and backpacker vehicles are a problem on weekends when the wider community seek to utilise Hyde Park for recreational purposes and are denied a parking spot. The wedding contractors have even taken to marking out parking spots on the night before the wedding with orange witches hats so as to ensure that the wedding couple are guaranteed a parking spot within walking distance of the Park.

It has also come to our attention that a number of backpacker and short term rental businesses within the suburb are in the habit of directing customers to the fact that there is 'free' long terms parking around the Hyde Park area, often several hundred metres from where the accomodation is actually provided. We have no hard evidence of it but it would appear that this cohort of vehicle owners often venture off to the north of the state for weeks or months on end, leaving their vehicles parked on Vincent Street without fear of prosecution. There are at least two vehicles that we can give you the registration details of have been parked on Vincent Street for in excess of 6 months, never moved. On speaking to Vincent rangers about these cars we are told that nothing can be done.

We ask that you support the proposal when it comes up for consideration at the June meeting.

61) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal

- I agree that 3 hour parking should be endorsed. As I live in Vincent Street I witness every day people parking and catching the bus into the city each weekday morning and returning in the afternoon. A number of people who do not live nearby, drive to the park to exercise and walk in the park and have to drive around looking for a park in nearby streets. Also with both sides of the street lined with cars it is more dangerous for people entering and exiting the park, particularly along Vincent Street as it is a very busy street. I really believe this change would be of benefit to the community using the park.

62) Comments:

- In favour of the proposal
- We support the new planned parking restrictions around Hyde Park.

63) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- To whom it may concern
- I am writing in relation to the proposed changes to the parking around Hyde Park.
- Myself, and many of my colleagues located on the corner of Fitzgerald & Vincent St, rely on the parking along the side of Vincent St between Monday and Friday.
- The proposed changes to turn this area to a 3 hour parking zone would have an enormous negative impact on us as local workers in the City of Vincent. There is a lack of alternative parking options in the area for workers, so the removal of all day parking would represent a huge problem for us, especially as public transport is not a viable alternative for many.
- We ask for consideration as members of the City of Vincent community that our below interests on this issue are taken into account:
 - 1) The proposed time restrictions on parking on Vincent St are not imposed.
 - 2) If restrictions are imposed, that we as local workers are taken into consideration and offered some assistance. This could include the ability to apply for a parking permit allowing us to park all day in the area.
- Many thanks for your consideration.

64) Comments:

- Oppose the proposal
- Attached is a petition signed by Glendower Street residents requesting the City to include the section between Throssell and Fitzgerald Streets (south side) in the proposed plan for 1-hour parking, on Glendower Street. We were under the impression that the proposal was to include all of Glendower Street.
- I work from home out of my front room. People park on this section of the street around 7.30 am and catch busses (or cycle) into the city. The bays are being used by people for all-day parking and longer than the 3-hour limit. I see parking inspectors marking parked car wheels about once every 6 weeks or so, and, I then rarely see them come back to check.
- If the current proposal goes ahead, as per the City's information brochure, it would mean that the entire Glendower Street would be 1-hour parking except for a section (of 3-hour parking) on the southern side between Throssell and Fitzgerald Streets. (Note: Currently there is 1-hour parking on the northern side).
- As residents, we formally wish to request the City extended the proposed 1-hour parking changes to include the section between Throssell and Fitzgerald Streets (on the south side).

Hyde Park Parking

Petition to extend the Glendower Street proposed parking changes to include the section from Throssell to Fitzgerald Street. Residents in favour listed below.

PARKING RESTRICTIONS

- 3hr, 8am – 6pm
Monday – Sunday
- 3hr, 9am – 6pm
Monday – Sunday
- 3hr, 8am – 4.15pm
Monday – Friday
- 3hr, 8am – 6pm
Saturday & Sunday
- Retains existing 3hr parking restrictions (at all times)
- Change existing 3hr parking to 1hr parking restrictions (at all times)

These time restrictions don't apply to residents with an active e-permit.
Have your say before 5pm, 30 June 2021 on imagine.vincent.wa.gov.au

House No	Name	Signature	Date
137	GWEN NZISKIOTIS	<i>[Signature]</i>	25/6/21
136	malcolm Tull	<i>[Signature]</i>	25/6/21
134	C. Thompson	<i>[Signature]</i>	27/6/21
124	Sherry Donaldson	<i>[Signature]</i>	24/6/21
139	Jim Walker	<i>[Signature]</i>	25/6/21
109	Amanda Alderdon	<i>[Signature]</i>	26/6/21
133	STEPHAN COLE	<i>[Signature]</i>	26/6/21
135	LEIGH CATTICAE	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/7/21
129	GUIDO BURRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/7/21
111	Jan Wright	<i>[Signature]</i>	13/7/21
121	TOM TROONEY	<i>[Signature]</i>	13/7/21
117	Mitchell Reddell	<i>[Signature]</i>	13/7/21
128	JULIA STEWEN	<i>[Signature]</i>	13/7/21
123A	KEITH BINNS	<i>[Signature]</i>	18/7/21



 <p>CITY OF VINCENT 244 VINCENT STREET LEEDERVILLE, 6007 TECHNICAL SERVICES</p>	<p>AMENDMENTS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>REVISION</th> <th>DESCRIPTION</th> <th>AUTHORISED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>AJ 04.08.21 CD Addition of 1P Glendower, Fitzgerald to Palmerston (SS)</td> <td>CD 04.08.21</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			REVISION	DESCRIPTION	AUTHORISED	1	AJ 04.08.21 CD Addition of 1P Glendower, Fitzgerald to Palmerston (SS)	CD 04.08.21													<p>THIS DRAWING REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF VINCENT AND MUST NOT BE RETAINED, COPIED OR USED WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF THE MANAGER, TECHNICAL SERVICES</p>	<p>BUDGET: -</p> <p>CHECKED: SF 20/04/20</p> <p>APPROVED: DTS / ME</p>	<p>DESIGNED: AJ 20/04/20</p> <p>DRAWN: AJ 20/04/20</p> <p>SEAN FOSTER (CD)</p>	<p>SURVEYOR: TOPO 31/08/20</p> <p>GRID: MGA AHJ DATUM: MGA 2020</p> <p>SCALE: 1:1000</p>	<p>PROJECT: PROPOSED PARKING MODIFICATION</p> <p>TITLE: HYDE PARK, PERTH</p>	<p>A1 SHEET 1 OF 1 REVISION 1</p> <p>DRAWING NUMBER: 3636-PP</p>
	REVISION	DESCRIPTION	AUTHORISED																								
1	AJ 04.08.21 CD Addition of 1P Glendower, Fitzgerald to Palmerston (SS)	CD 04.08.21																									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th> <th>DRN</th> <th>DATE</th> <th>CHKD</th> <th>BY</th> <th>DATE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	No	DRN	DATE	CHKD	BY	DATE							<p>WARNING: THIS DRAWING MAY ONLY BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES IF APPROVED AND SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED ENGINEER ONLY.</p>														
No	DRN	DATE	CHKD	BY	DATE																						


Survey Results by Street

The following table shows the peak and average occupancy of both week 1 (5 August to 11 August 2021) and week 2 (12 August to 18 August 2021) surveys. The date and time that each of the peak occupancies were reached for both survey periods are also shown in the table below.

Street	Week 1 Occupancy (%)		Date & Time Peak Parking Reached	Week 2 Occupancy (%)		Date & Time Peak Parking Reached
	Peak	Ave		Peak	Ave	
Vincent Street (North)	69	46	12pm Thu 5/8	91	51	12pm Tue 17/8
Vincent Street (South)	78	51	12pm Fri 6/8	84	56	12pm Sat 14/8
William Street (East)	100	45	2pm Thu 5/8	100	54	12pm Thu 12/8 2pm Sat 14/8 12pm Sun 15/8
William Street (West)	73	24	12pm Thu 5/8	100	32	12pm Sun 15/8
Hyde Street	75	23	2pm Thu 5/8	63	26	2pm Fri 13/8
Glendower Street (North)	61	33	2pm Thu 5/8	89	41	12pm Tue 17/8
Glendower Street (South) (between William & Throssell)	98	41	12pm Sat 7/8	100	59	2pm Sun 15/8

Street	Week 1 Occupancy (%)		Date & Time Peak Parking Reached	Week 2 Occupancy (%)		Date & Time Peak Parking Reached
	Peak	Ave		Peak	Ave	
Glendower Street (South) (between Throssell & Fitzgerald)	89	56	2pm Wed 11/8	89	16	8am Tue 17/8 12pm Tue 17/8
Throssell Street (East)	88	22	12pm Fri 6/8	73	36	2pm Sun 15/8
Throssell Street (West)	72	17	12pm Thu 5/8	89	26	2pm Sun 15/8

*Note: Whilst conducting the Parking Surveys, Rangers also observed illegal parking on William St and Glendower St at the peak periods highlighted.




CITY OF VINCENT
244 VINCENT STREET LEEDERVILLE, 6007
TECHNICAL SERVICES


AMENDMENTS			
REVISION	DESCRIPTION	AUTHORISED	
No	DRN	DATE	CHKD
			BY DATE

THIS DRAWING REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF VINCENT AND MUST NOT BE RETAINED, COPIED OR USED WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF THE MANAGER, TECHNICAL SERVICES


BUDGET:	DESIGNED: AJ 09/09/21
CHECKED: SF 09/09/21	DRAWN: AJ 09/09/21
APPROVED: DTS / ME	SEAN FOSTER (CDD)

HYDE PARK PARKING SURVEY
AUGUST 2021

A1	SHEET 1 OF 1	REVISION 0
DRAWING NUMBER		3673-1.2-PP



 <p>CITY OF VINCENT 244 VINCENT STREET LEEDERVILLE, 6007 TECHNICAL SERVICES</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">AMENDMENTS</th> </tr> <tr> <th>REVISION</th> <th>DESCRIPTION</th> <th>AUTHORISED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>DRN</td> <td>DATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>CHKD</td> <td>BY</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>DATE</td> <td>DATE</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			AMENDMENTS			REVISION	DESCRIPTION	AUTHORISED	No	DRN	DATE		CHKD	BY		DATE	DATE	<p>THIS DRAWING REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF VINCENT AND MUST NOT BE RETAINED, COPIED OR USED WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF THE MANAGER, TECHNICAL SERVICES</p>	<p>BUDGET: -</p> <p>CHECKED: SF 09/09/21</p> <p>APPROVED: DTS / ME</p>	<p>DESIGNED: AJ 09/09/21</p> <p>DRAWN: AJ 09/09/21</p> <p>SEAN FOSTER (COD)</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>A1</td> <td>SHEET 1 OF 1</td> <td>REVISION 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">DRAWING NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">3673-2.2-PP</td> </tr> </table>	A1	SHEET 1 OF 1	REVISION 0	DRAWING NUMBER			3673-2.2-PP		
	AMENDMENTS																														
REVISION	DESCRIPTION	AUTHORISED																													
No	DRN	DATE																													
	CHKD	BY																													
	DATE	DATE																													
A1	SHEET 1 OF 1	REVISION 0																													
DRAWING NUMBER																															
3673-2.2-PP																															
<p><small>WARNING: THIS DRAWING MAY ONLY BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES IF APPROVED AND SIGNED BY AN AUTHORIZED ENGINEER ONLY.</small></p>																															



 CITY OF VINCENT 244 VINCENT STREET LEEDERVILLE, 6007 TECHNICAL SERVICES	AMENDMENTS	THIS DRAWING REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF VINCENT AND MUST NOT BE RETAINED, COPIED OR USED WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF THE MANAGER, TECHNICAL SERVICES	BUDGET: -	DESIGNED: AJ 09/09/21	A1	SHEET 1 OF 1	REVISION 0																					
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 5%;">No</th> <th style="width: 5%;">DRN</th> <th style="width: 5%;">DATE</th> <th style="width: 5%;">CHKD</th> <th style="width: 5%;">BY</th> <th style="width: 5%;">DATE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>		No	DRN	DATE	CHKD	BY	DATE																			CHECKED: SF 09/09/21 DRAWN: AJ 09/09/21 APPROVED: DTS / ME SEAN FOSTER (COD)	3673-3.4-PP
No	DRN	DATE	CHKD	BY	DATE																							

