

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

Proposal: Possible Traffic Management Improvements – Intersection of Elma Road and Walcott Street, Mount Lawley

<b>In favour (12)</b>	<b>Officer comments where relevant</b>
9 in support with no further comment.	
Poor visibility when turning right in Walcott Street. Severe accident has occurred. Parking on the road worsens the risk. Drivers often cut the corner due to the narrowed ends. Speed humps don't slow traffic.	The proposed ½ seagull island will eliminate the left and right in from Walcott Street and the straight through movements significantly improving the safety of the intersection. Provision will be made for pedestrians and cyclists.
Need to also restrict verge parking to improve sightline.	Difficult to justify removing a resident's verge parking if it complies with Australian Standards, however sight distances and safety will be improved with proposed concept.
Rubbish collection and cars parked on verge makes it difficult to see.	Rubbish a weekly service and residents have no option other than to present their bins on the verge. As above, sight distances and safety will be improved with proposed concept.

<b>Against (25)</b>	<b>Officer comments where relevant</b>
1 in favour with no further comment.	
20 comments saying that it will only funnel people into Bedford Street and Selkirk Street which will impact Kyilla Primary School – there is no perceived issue.	It does have the potential to direct more traffic onto Selkirk Street and Bedford Street however this is not a direct route between Charles Street and Walcott Street, as Elma Street is. Therefore not all of the diverted through traffic (rat running) would be expected to use Selkirk Street and Bedford Street as an alternative. This would be assessed during the trial period.
2 comments saying that it will cause additional travel time for residents.	Would require residents of Elma, Lawler and Doris Streets, who currently may use Walcott Street south bound to use Bedford Street instead, however the additional travel distance/time would be minimal.
No requirement as shown by data collection.	Agreed - traffic data alone doesn't support the change however the benefits from a road safety perspective is considerable.
Will be unable to leave the parking at the rear of my property.	Diagram misinterpreted as it will have nil impact upon property access.

<b>Neither for nor against (1)</b>	<b>Officer comments where relevant</b>
Study of speed and vehicle numbers should also be undertaken on Bedford Street and Hilda Street. Study the area, not a single street.	Data previously collected for the surrounding streets shows similar speeds and fewer vehicles and therefore well within criteria.