## 6.2 TENDER IE164/2021 MENZIES PARK PAVILION AND ABLUTIONS REFURBISHMENT

Attachments: 1. Tender Evaluation Summary - Confidential

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

### **That Council:**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE164/2021 Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment; and
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submission of Devco Holdings for Tender IE164/2021 Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment.

### **PURPOSE OF REPORT:**

For Council to accept the tender submission of Devco Holdings for Tender IE164/2021 Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment.

## **BACKGROUND:**

In alignment with the Innovative and Accountable priority of the City's Strategic Community Plan 2018-2028, refurbishment of the Menzies Park Pavilion & Ablutions in Mt Hawthorn was budgeted for in the 2021/2022 capital works program for Land and Building Asset Renewal Projects. As such a tender was prepared and issued, seeking an appropriately qualified contractor to deliver the refurbishment of the existing kitchen servery, ablutions and change rooms.

# **Tender Submissions**

Submissions were received from the following five (5) Respondents:

- Devco Holdings Pty Ltd
- Geared Construction Pty Ltd
- LKS Constructions (WA) Pty Ltd
- Prova Construction Ptv Ltd
- Solution 4 Building Pty Ltd

## **Evaluation Panel**

The Evaluation Panel comprised of four members, being:

- one with tender preparation skills
- two with the appropriate operational expertise and involvement in supervising the contract
- one with probity advice provided by a Procurement and Contracts Officer

## **Compliance Assessment**

All offers received were assessed as fully compliant and progressed to the qualitative assessment.

### **Evaluation Method and Weighting**

The qualitative weighting method of tender evaluation was selected to evaluate the offers for this requirement.

The qualitative criteria and weighting used in evaluating the submissions received for both portions were as follows:

Item 6.2 Page 1

Qualitat	Weighting	
1	Understanding of the Project	45%
2	Capacity, Skills and Experience	45%
3	Environmental and Social	10%

### **Qualitative Assessment**

Recommended Respondent	Weighted Percentage Score	Qualitative Ranking	Comment
Devco Holdings	74%	1	The response complies, is convincing and credible. The response demonstrates excellent capability, capacity and experience relevant to, or understanding of, the requirements. Low risk.

Refer to Confidential Attachment 1 for further detail.

### **Price Assessment**

The panel carried out a comparison of the submitted pricing offered and made a value judgement as to the cost affordability, qualitative ranking and risk of each submission, in order to determine which Respondent presented the best value for money to the City.

Devco Holdings provided the lowest total cost out of all five (5) submissions.

Refer to Confidential Attachment 1 for further detail.

## **Evaluation Summary**

The panel concluded that the tender from Devco Holdings provides best value for money to the City and is therefore recommended for the provision of the Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment for the following reasons:

- Compliance with the submission requirements;
- Ranked first in the Qualitative Assessment;
- Provided the lowest cost; and
- References supported the Evaluation Panel's recommendation.

It is therefore recommended that Council ACCEPTS the tender submitted by Devco Holdings for the Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment.

# **CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:**

The Request for Tender IE164/2021 was advertised in the West Australian on 29 January 2022 and on both the City's website and Tenderlink portal between 29 January 2022 and 24 February 2022.

# **LEGAL/POLICY:**

The Request for Tender was prepared and advertised in accordance with:

- Section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995;
- Part 4 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996; and
- City of Vincent Purchasing Policy

## **RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS**

Low: It is low risk for Council to accept the recommended Respondent.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the City's Strategic Community Plan 2018-2028:

Item 6.2 Page 2

## Innovative and Accountable

Our resources and assets are planned and managed in an efficient and sustainable manner.

# **Enhanced Environment**

Our parks and reserves are maintained, enhanced and well utilised.

### Connected Community

Our community facilities and spaces are well known and well used.

## **Thriving Places**

Our physical assets are efficiently and effectively managed and maintained.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

This does not contribute to any specific sustainability outcomes of the City's Sustainable Environment Strategy 2019-2024, although the sustainability and environmental management practices of Respondents was a weighted qualitative criteria of this request, and the preferred Respondent provided convincing evidence of excellent sustainability practices.

### **PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS:**

This does not contribute to any specific priority health outcomes of the City's Public Health Plan 2020-2025.

### FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The total cost provided by Devco Holdings is within the allocated budget for the project. Further details are provided in **Confidential Attachment 1**.

The funds for this Capital Project have been provisioned for in the 2021/2022 annual budget.

# **COMMENTS:**

The tender submission from Devco Holdings complies with all the tender requirements. The submission was satisfactorily presented and included all relevant and specific information required and requested within the tender specification.

The Evaluation Panel deemed the response to be convincing and credible, demonstrating the capability, capacity and experience to all Evaluation Criteria.

The submission was the highest ranked in the qualitative assessment and provided the lowest cost.

The Evaluation Panel recommends that Devco Holdings be accepted for Tender IE164/2021 for the Menzies Park Pavilion and Ablutions Refurbishment, as it offers the best overall value for money to the City.

Item 6.2 Page 3