



CITY OF VINCENT

"Enhancing and celebrating our diverse community"

MINUTES

22 APRIL 2014

This document is available in the following alternative formats upon request for people with specific needs; large print, Braille and computer disk

**INDEX
(22 APRIL 2014)**

ITEM	REPORT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9.1 PLANNING SERVICES		
9.1.1	No. 2 (Lot: 507 D/P: 36870) Alma Road, Mount Lawley – Proposed Change of Use from Single Residential House to Short Term Accommodation (Unlisted Use) (Retrospective Application) (PRO6178; 5.2013.509.1)	7
9.1.2	No. 199 (Lot 176; D/P: 1791) Scarborough Beach Road, Corner of Kalgoorlie Street, Mount Hawthorn – Proposed Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Construction of Two-Storey Single House with Loft (PRO5277; 5.2013.290.1)	70
9.1.3	Nos. 423-425 (Lot 4; D/P: 7426) William Street, Perth – Change of Use from Shop to Lodging House (Backpackers) including Additions and Alterations to Existing Building (PRO5652; 5.2013.396.1)	81
9.1.4	No. 5 (Lots 13 and 14) Scott Street, Leederville – Proposed Demolition Of Existing Building and Construction of Two (2) Grouped Dwellings and Two (2) Single Bedroom Multiple Dwellings, Four (4) Multiple Dwellings and Associated Car Parking (PRO4106; 5.2013.474.1)	43
9.1.5	Amendment No. 37 to City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 – Rezone from Residential R80 to Residential R50 in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts (PLA0262)	58
9.1.6	Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2 - Progress Report No. 14 (PLA0140)	13
9.2 TECHNICAL SERVICES		
9.2.1	Reintroduction of Two-Way Traffic on Brisbane Street and William Streets Perth - Progress Report No. 9 (TES0473)	65
9.2.2	City of Vincent Garden Competition 2014 (CVC0007)	90
9.2.3	Parking Related Matters in North Perth and Mount Hawthorn (TES0518, TES0077, PKG0188, PKG0001)	94
9.3 CORPORATE SERVICES		
9.3.1	Investment Report as at 31 March 2014 (FIN0033)	17
9.3.2	Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 – 31 March 2014 (FIN0032)	19
9.3.3	Financial Statements as at 31 March 2014 (FIN0026)	22
9.3.4	Beatty Park Leisure Centre – Four (4) year Financial Forecast (FIN0040)	29
9.3.5	No. 62 (Lots 26, 27 & 28) Frame Court, Leederville – YMCA of Perth Incorporated – Lease Approval (PRO0946) [ITEM WITHDRAWN BY ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER]	42
9.4 COMMUNITY SERVICES		
9.4.1	Ticket Parking Machine Inventory (LEG0047)	31
9.4.2	Public Transport Community Forum - Bus Services to Public High Schools (CMS0064)	35
9.4.3	Ellington Jazz Club, No 191 (Lot 651; D/P: 49287) Beaufort Street, Perth – Extended Trading Permit (ETP) for Special Occasion or Function (PHI0425; PRO4096; ENS0053)	38
9.4.4	Biennial Vincent Art Awards 2014 (CVC0017)	98
9.4.5	Noongar Acknowledgement Plaque (CMS0121) [Absolute Majority Decision Required]	101

**INDEX
(22 APRIL 2014)**

ITEM	REPORT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9.4.6	Festivals Programme 2014/2015 (FIN0207)	103
9.4.7	Urban Campout Event – Funding approval (CMS0084)	119
9.4.8	Beaufort Street Enhancement Project – Progress Report No 10 (TES0237)	122
9.5	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	
9.5.1	Information Bulletin	41
10.	COUNCIL MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	
10.1	NOTICE OF MOTION: Cr Joshua Topelberg request to investigate reducing the speed limit on Vincent Street to 40km/h between William Street and Fitzgerald Street	128
11.	QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (Without Discussion)	
	Nil.	129
12.	REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES	
	Nil.	129
13.	URGENT BUSINESS	
	Nil.	129
14.	CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED (<i>“Behind Closed Doors”</i>)	
14.1	CONFIDENTIAL LATE REPORT: Beaufort Street Enhancement – Major Artwork – Progress Report No. 11	130
15.	CLOSURE	132

3. (a) PUBLIC QUESTION TIME & RECEIVING OF PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

The following submissions were made by persons in the Public Gallery:

1. Debbie De Fiddes of 5 Scott Street, Leederville – Item 9.1.4 Stated the following:
 - I have an application before the Council based on minor changes to Planning approval given back in September 2012. These changes are based on an internal review of the plans and design development over the past twelve months and a recommendation from our builder and real estate agent to further enhance the development by giving a good mix of accommodation and appealing to market demand.
 - The changes under this application mainly refer to two (2) of the apartments on first floor at the rear of the site, number three (3) and four (4) they have been converted from large one (1) bed apartments to two (2) bed apartments, there is no effect on the carpark requirements. The overall changes have had a negligible effect on the open space and plot ratio.
 - One of the issues concerns my neighbour's potential noise from their swimming pool and the second issue was about overlooking as they did not realise there were screens on the balcony and had misinterpreted the plans and the final issue was the length of the west boundary wall had increased from the original plan. We have changed the boundary parapet wall back to the original DA approval, we understand that we have now addressed the neighbours' concerns.

2. Dudley Maier of 51 Chatsworth Road, Highgate – Item 9.1.5 Stated the following:
 - I have already contacted Council Members and I won't rehash everything I have said before. I think that the dual coding is better than the R 80 but it is a compromise and is a compromise between a mistake and what is correct, so it does not have a good start.
 - The staff has just taken an image and said oh look you can do this in the block that I live without looking at the impact, now I sent Councillors the image of what that would actually do to the backs of the blocks, so if you lose the hundred (100) sq metres from the back you lose all the greenery there, they have not thought that through the impact, they have not thought about the fact that laneway has to be widened to six or five metres possibly, so to me it seems like it's basically policy on the run and it is just looking at numbers rather than going back to basics and saying what are we trying to achieve.
 - The Council currently is advertising the Town Planning Scheme and the Local Planning Strategy, that is the basis on which we should be making decisions that, portray the vision for the future, that the local Planning Strategy does, this is not consistent with that Local Planning Strategy. It is basically again policy on the run looking at the numbers. I think you should continue with the amendment there has been enough support from the community, and the support from the community they did not bother filling in the form. I would urge you just to continue on in the process and not delay it with this dual coding, if you want to do the dual coding do it as part TPS 2.

3. Simon Chester of 93 Chelmsford Road, North Perth – Item 9.1.5 Stated the following:
 - I just ask Councillors to have all the information before you and have a well considered recommendation before you and as we have just heard, I think that the proposal before you, whilst it does promote development of the area, which I support. I don't think it is fully thought through and I think there is better provisions that could be provided with a more thorough and thoughtful process. I would actually ask you to defer the item tonight for further consideration.

4. Kim Owen of 28 Brisbane Terrace, Perth – Item 9.1.5 Stated the following:
- I actually oppose the Town Planning Scheme Amendment No. 37, even with the Alternative Recommendation to change the coding to 50-80. I do have a question though “*one of it was that had a Clause to you have to retain the existing house*”? Does that affect if you just want to knock down the house and just rebuild one house.
 - Just to say that I am on Brisbane Terrace and there is a lot of development already and I just walk past my street and trucks are already developing, so there is no significance on that street, no heritage, no trees. So I think that focusing on individual properties and looking at development potential is better than doing a blanket over two (2) precincts which gives no choice to property owners.

There being no further speakers, Public Question Time closed at approx. 6.10pm. The Mayor allowed an additional late speaker to Public Question Time who came into the Chamber late.

5. David Charnock of 225 Brisbane Street, Perth – Item 9.2.1 Stated the following:
- You would have all received a statement from me this morning; it is in relation to Agenda Item 9.2.1. My concern is as I expressed in my message that the impact on that will most certainly be to increase traffic flow on the section of Brisbane Street, between William Street and Palmerston Street and will also presumably ongoing additional impact on Palmerston Street as well.
 - During this process there has been to my knowledge no formal consultation with any of the residents in that section of Brisbane Street and my request was to you was that given that the process appears to have got to a stage where it is impossible to fall back.

There being no further speakers Public Question Time closed at 6.19pm.

(b) RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

[3.1](#) Allier Mata – Cardinals Junior Football Club use of Charles Veryard Reserve

[3.2](#) Debbie Saunders – Place Maker for each city centre.

4. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

5. THE RECEIVING OF PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

6. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

6.1 Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 25 March 2014

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Cole

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 25 March 2014 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

6.2 Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 8 April 2014

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Harley

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 8 April 2014 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

7. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Presiding Member Mayor John Carey read the following;

7.1 National Youth Week

In conjunction with YMCA HQ, the City held a National Youth Week Festival on Saturday 12 April 2014.

The Event was held at the Leederville Skate Park and funded in part by the Department of Local Government and Communities. The event featured all day live music by young artists (under 25), Create to Cope Graffiti workshops, 'Bungee Slam' Challenge, a mobile record store, free skate lessons (which the girls got involved in too!) and free sausage sizzle.

The event was a huge success with over 200 young people attending. This event was attended by young people aged 12-25 and was successful in introducing new demographics to HQ.

7.2 Withdrawal Of Item 9.3.5

It is announced that Item 9.3.5 on tonight's Agenda relating to No. 62 Frame Court, Leederville – YMCA of Perth Incorporated – Lease Approval has been WITHDRAWN by the Acting Chief Executive Officer due to a requested change in the lease area and as a result of the potential removal of the fencing and surrounding Skate Park.

7.3 Consultation for the Bike Network Plan

Our major consultation for the City of Vincent Bike Network Plan is going out over the next month, there will be a flyer going out to every residential home. In addition we will be hand delivering to all the individually affected streets, with specific plans of the proposals for embayed parking and the bike plans. In addition there will be also full page advertising encourage people to make public comment. The public comment period will be beyond the stock standard two weeks, to ensure that we give people plenty of time and in particular for the streets will be impacted by the changes, which is off course Vincent Street, Bulwer Street, Oxford Street and Scarborough Beach Roads.

8. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

8.1 Mayor John Carey declared a financial interest in Item 9.1.5 – Amendment No. 37 to City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 – Rezone from Residential R80 to Residential R50 in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts. The extent of his interest being that the proposed plan endorses the dual up zoning of his property at 213 Brisbane Street, Perth.

8.2 Cr McDonald declared a Proximity interest in Item 9.1.5 – Amendment No. 37 to City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 – Rezone from Residential R80 to Residential R50 in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts. The extent of her interest being that she owns a unit located at a 144 Lincoln Street, which is in the affected area and requested the Council to vote in the debate.

8.3 Cr Topelberg declared an Impartiality interest in Item 9.2.1 – Reintroduction of Two-Way Traffic on Brisbane Street and William Streets Perth - Progress Report No. 9. The extent of his interest being that his business is located on William Street in an area affected by the proposed introduction of two way traffic, the premises is owned by his family.

Cr McDonald departed the Chamber 6.20pm.

PROCEDURAL MOTION:

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That Cr McDonald is allowed to participate in the debate.

PROCEDURAL MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (5-0)

(Cr McDonald was absent from the Chamber and did not vote.)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

Cr McDonald returned to the Chamber at 6.21pm.

**9. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
(WITHOUT DISCUSSION)**

Nil.

10. REPORTS

The Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey, requested that the Acting Chief Executive Officer advise the meeting of:

10.1 Items which are the subject of a question or comment from Members of the Public and the following was advised:

Items 9.1.4, 9.1.5 & 9.2.1

10.2 Items which require an Absolute Majority decision which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment and the following was advised:

Item 9.4.5

10.3 Items which Council Members/Officers have declared a financial or proximity interest and the following was advised:

Item 9.1.5

Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey, requested Council Members to indicate:

10.4 Items which Council Members wish to discuss which has not already been the subject of a public question/comment or require an absolute majority decision and the following was advised:

COUNCIL MEMBER	ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED
Mayor John Carey	Nil
Cr Buckels	Apology for the Meeting
Cr Cole	9.1.2, 9.2.3 & 9.4.7
Cr Harley (Deputy Mayor)	Nil
Cr McDonald	Nil
Cr Peart	Apology for the Meeting
Cr Pintabona	Approved Leave of Absence
Cr Topelberg	9.1.3, 9.2.2, 9.4.4, 9.4.6 & 9.4.8
Cr Wilcox	Nil

The Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey, requested that the Acting Chief Executive Officer to advise the meeting of:

10.5 Unopposed items which will be moved "En Bloc" and the following was advised:

Items 9.1.1, 9.1.6, 9.3.1, 9.3.2, 9.3.3, 9.3.4, 9.4.1, 9.4.2, 9.4.3 & 9.5.1

10.6 **Confidential Reports which will be considered behind closed doors and the following was advised:**

Item 14.1

New Order of Business:

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised the meeting of the New Order of business, in which the items will be considered, as follows:

(a) Unopposed items moved *En Bloc*;

Items 9.1.1, 9.1.6, 9.3.1, 9.3.2, 9.3.3, 9.3.4, 9.4.1, 9.4.2, 9.4.3 & 9.5.1

(b) Those being the subject of a question and/or comment by members of the public during "Question Time";

Items 9.1.4, 9.1.5 & 9.2.1

(c) Those items identified for discussion by Council Members;

The remaining Items identified for discussion were considered in numerical order in which they appeared in the Agenda.

(d) Confidential Items – to be considered ("Behind Closed Doors").

The Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey ruled that the Items raised during public question time for discussion are to be considered in numerical order as listed in the Agenda index.

ITEMS APPROVED "*EN BLOC*":

The following Items were approved unopposed and without discussion "*En Bloc*", as recommended:

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the following unopposed items be approved "En Bloc", as recommended;

Items 9.1.1, 9.1.6, 9.3.1, 9.3.2, 9.3.3, 9.3.4, 9.4.1, 9.4.2, 9.4.3 & 9.5.1

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

9.1.1 No. 2 (Lot: 507 D/P: 36870) Alma Road, Mount Lawley – Proposed Change of Use from Single Residential House to Short Term Accommodation (Unlisted Use) (Retrospective Application)

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Norfolk; P10	File Ref:	PRO6178: 5.2013.509.1
Attachments:	001 – Property Information Report and Development Application Plans		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	A Groom, Planning Officer (Statutory)		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1, **APPROVES** the application submitted by S Campbell on behalf of the owners, S & R Campbell, for Proposed Change of Use from Single Residential House to Short Term Accommodation (Unlisted Use) (Retrospective) at No. 2 (Lot: 507 D/P: 36870) Alma Road, Mount Lawley as shown on plans stamp dated 4 November 2013, subject to the following conditions:

1. **WITHIN TWENTY-EIGHT (28) DAYS OF THE ISSUE DATE OF THIS ‘APPROVAL TO COMMENCE DEVELOPMENT’, the owner(s) or the applicant on behalf of the owner(s) shall comply with the following requirements:**
 - 1.1 **A Detailed Management Plan including:**
 - 1.1.1 **Control of noise and other disturbances;**
 - 1.1.2 **Complaints management procedures, which is to include the provision of the telephone number of the accommodation owner and operator to adjoining neighbours;**
 - 1.1.3 **Security of guests, residents and visitors;**
 - 1.1.4 **Control of anti-social behaviour and the potential conflict between temporary residents and permanent residents of the area. A Code of Conduct shall be prepared detailing the expected behaviour of guests/residents in order to minimise any impact on adjoining residents;**

 Temporary residents must be made aware of the ‘House Rule’ and Code of Conduct and they must be displayed in a prominent position within the premises at all times; and
 - 1.1.5 **A commitment to advising occupiers of the premise, verbally and in writing, of the negative impact that inappropriate car parking can have on permanent residents. Details are to include any relevant car parking restrictions applicable to the area in relation to parking vehicles on surrounding properties and within the streets, and instructions that parking of vehicles on the verge is not permitted;**
2. **This approval for Short Term Accommodation is for a period of Twelve (12) months only and should the applicant wish to continue the use after that period, it shall be necessary to reapply to and obtain approval from the City prior to continuation of the use; and**
3. **The development is to comply with all Building, Health, Engineering and Parks Services conditions and requirements to the satisfaction of the City.**

ADVICE NOTES:

1. All signage that does not comply with the City's Policy No. 3.5.2 relating to Signs and Advertising shall be subject to a separate Planning Application, and all signage shall be subject to a separate Building Permit application, being submitted to and approved by the City prior to the erection of the signage;
2. All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennas, satellite dishes, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive from Alma Road;
3. Any new street/front wall, fence and gate within the Alma Road setback areas including along the side boundaries within these street setback areas, shall comply with the City's Policy provisions relating to Street Walls and Fences; and
4. No street verge tree(s) shall be removed. The street verge tree(s) is to be retained and protected from any damage including unauthorised pruning.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.1

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The application is referred to the Council for determination given the proposal relates to an 'SA' use, whereby one (1) objection and one (1) general concern were received.

BACKGROUND:

The property at No. 2 Alma Road was purchased by Sandra and Robert Campbell in November 2008. The property at the time of purchase was operating as short term accommodation. On 9 September 2013, the landowners sent a letter to the City requesting confirmation of Planning Approval. The landowner was advised in a letter dated 10 October 2013, that there was no formal verification that the property complies with current regulations and is approved for the use of short stay accommodation, and the option to achieve such verification would be through lodgement of a formal development application. A planning application was submitted to the City on 4 November 2013.

DETAILS:

Landowner:	R & S Campbell
Applicant:	S Campbell
Zoning:	Metropolitan Region Scheme: Urban Town Planning Scheme No. 1 (TPS1): Residential R60
Existing Land Use:	Single House
Use Class:	Short Term Accommodation (Unlisted Use)
Use Classification:	'SA'
Lot Area:	150 square metres
Right of Way:	N/A

Short term accommodation is not specifically mentioned in the Zone Table and cannot reasonably be determined as falling within the interpretation of one of the Use Class categories, as such is classified an 'SA' use whereby the applicant was required to advertise in accordance with Clause 37 of the City's Town Planning Scheme No. 1, whereby any written submission received was to be considered.

The proposal is for a change of use from Single Residential House to Short Term Accommodation, which is to operate 24 hours per day, 7 days a week. The maximum number of tenants at any one time is six (6). The owners will not be living at the house. The single house is two-storeys in height, with meals, family area, kitchen and a bathroom on the ground floor. The upper floor includes three (3) bedrooms and two (2) bathrooms. One (1) on-site car parking bay is provided within the carport accessed off Alma Road.

ASSESSMENT:

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Initial Assessment

Design Element	Complies 'Deemed-to-comply' or TPS Clause	OR	'Design Principles' Assessment or TPS Discretionary Clause
Density/Plot Ratio	N/A		
Streetscape	N/A		
Front Fence	N/A		
Front Setback	N/A		
Building Setbacks	N/A		
Boundary Wall	N/A		
Building Height	N/A		
Building Storeys	N/A		
Open Space	N/A		
Bicycles	N/A		
Access & Parking	✓		
Privacy	N/A		
Solar Access	N/A		
Site Works	N/A		
Essential Facilities	N/A		
Surveillance	N/A		

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Detailed Assessment

Car Parking	
Car parking requirement (nearest whole number)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Short Term Dwelling As per the R Codes for the dwelling type 2+ bedroom – Location A = 1 car bay 	
Total car bays required = 1 car bay	= 1 car bay
Minus the car parking provided on-site	1
Resultant shortfall/surplus	Nil.

Car parking standards for all Special Residential Accommodation is to comply with the requirements of the City of Vincent's Planning Policy No. 7.7.1 relating to Parking and Access. The policy states that short term dwelling car parking requirements are as per the R-Codes for the dwelling type. The R-Codes 2013 requires only one (1) car parking bay is to be provided on site.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Required by legislation:	No	Required by City of Vincent Policy:	Yes
--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------	-----

Comments Period:	6 February 2014 to 27 February 2014
Comments Received:	One (1) objection and One (1) general concern

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
<p>Issue: Occupant length of stay</p> <p><i>"I'm happy with owner's occupier on long term rentals".</i></p>	<p>Not supported. The proposal is for a short term dwelling which is defined as <i>"the provision of temporary accommodation, lodging or boarding within a residential dwelling for a maximum of six (6) persons, inclusive of the keeper if they reside at the dwelling, for a continuous period of less than six (6) months within any twelve month period"</i>. The property has been used for short term accommodation for approximately 6 years, during which time no complaints have been submitted to the City. In the instance that planning approval is granted it shall be for a period of 12 months only, ensuring that during this time a high standard of amenity for the long-term residents is maintained.</p>
<p>Issue: Parking</p> <p><i>"Street parking is acute in this one way section of Alma Road from Walcott Street to Hutt Street".</i></p>	<p>Noted. As noted above car parking requirements are as per the R-Codes for the dwelling type.</p> <p>The proposed short term dwelling is located within 'Location A' thereby only one (1) car bay is required to be provided. The applicant has demonstrated that one (1) car bay can be provided on-site. The subject site is located in close proximity to public transport networks provided in the Mount Lawley Local Centre and along William Street. It is anticipated that the public infrastructure provided in such close proximity to the proposed change of use will sufficiently reduce the requirement for occupants to have private cars. A detailed management plan is required to be submitted to the City, if planning approval is granted, advising the occupiers of the premise of the negative impact that inappropriate car parking can have on permanent residents.</p>

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter for clarity.

Design Advisory Committee:

Referred to Design Advisory Committee: No

LEGAL/POLICY:

- Planning and Development Act 2005;
- Residential Design Codes of Western Australia 2013;
- City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1;
- Norfolk Precinct Policy No. 7.1.10;
- Temporary Accommodation Policy No. 7.4.5; and
- Parking and Access Policy No. 7.7.1.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Should the Council refuse the application for development approval, the applicant may have the right to have the decision reviewed in accordance with Part 14 of the *Planning and Development Act*.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

1.1 *Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City.*

Economic Development

2.1 *Progress economic development with adequate financial resources*

2.1.1 *Promote business development and the City of Vincent as a place for investment appropriate to the vision for the City."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Encourage the incorporation of sustainable design principles and features in existing and new development within the City as standard practice."

The following tables outline the applicable sustainability issues for this proposal:

ENVIRONMENTAL	
Issue	Comment
The proposal uses an existing building. The adaptive re-use of this existing space has a lower environmental impact compared to constructing a new building for this purpose.	

SOCIAL	
Issue	Comment
The proposal provides for temporary accommodation within the locality.	

ECONOMIC	
Issue	Comment
The proposed land use provides employment opportunities.	

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

COMMENTS:

Compliance Services:

Compliance Services have not received any complaints regarding the short term dwelling since first occupation in 2007.

Building Services:

There are no further requirements, as the proposal is for a maximum of 6 persons, with no structural changes proposed.

Health Services:

There are no further requirements, as the proposal is for a maximum of 6 persons.

Policy No. 7.4.5 Temporary Accommodation defines *Short Term Dwelling* as “*the provision of temporary accommodation, lodging or boarding within a residential dwelling for a maximum of six (6) persons, inclusive of the keeper if they reside at the dwelling, for a continuous period of less than six (6) months within any twelve month period*”. The proposal is compliant with the definition of short term dwelling.

In the letter dated 10 October 2013, the applicant was advised that “*the premises is permitted to continue operation, however should a justified complaint be received it will still be required to undergo the development approval process*”. Given that the applicant was not required to submit a planning application and no complaints were received prior to this, in this instance it is considered that the application be viewed favourably.

CONCLUSION:

On the above basis, it is considered that the continued operation of the short term dwelling will not have an undue impact on the residential amenity of the area and planning approval be granted subject to the above conditions.

9.1.6 Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2 - Progress Report No. 14

Ward:	Both Wards	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	PLA0140
Attachments:	001 – Town Planning Scheme No. 2 Community Engagement Plan		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	J O’Keefe, Senior Strategic Planning Officer		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **NOTES** the progress of the advertising of the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2 as outlined in the Details section of this report; and
2. **AUTHORISES** the Acting Chief Executive Officer to not proceed with the remaining Focus Group sessions as identified in the Town Planning Scheme No. 2 Community Engagement Plan.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.6

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to provide the Council with an update on the advertising of the Draft Local Planning Strategy (LPS) and Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2) and for the Council to authorise the Acting Chief Executive Officer to not proceed with the remaining focus group sessions.

BACKGROUND:

The City commenced advertising of its Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2 on 11 March 2014. This is scheduled to conclude on 27 June 2014.

Following the endorsement of the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No 2 on 20 December 2011, the Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 9 October 2012 endorsed a Community Engagement Plan which outlined how the City proposed to consult the community on the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

History:

Date	Comment
20 December 2011	Council endorsed the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2
23 December 2011	The draft documents were sent to the Western Australian Planning Commission and Minister for Planning for consent to advertise
9 October 2012	Council endorsed a Community Engagement Plan for the advertising of the LPS and TPS2.
3 December 2013	Council endorsed an amended Community Engagement Plan to identify 'Claisebrook North' as its own precinct for the purposes of advertising the draft LPS and TPS2.
September 2013	Consent to advertise the Draft LPS and TPS2 received from the Minister for Planning.
11 March 2014	Advertising of Draft LPS and TPS2 commences.

Previous Reports to Council:

The Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No 2 has been the subject of many previous reports to the Council. On the matter of the Community Engagement Plan, the last report presented to the Council was on 3 December 2013.

The Minutes of Item 9.1.6 from the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 3 December 2013 relating to this report is available on the City's website at the following link:
http://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/Your_Council/Agenda_Minutes.

DETAILS:

In order to ensure all members of the community had an opportunity to review and understand the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2, a number of measures were taken.

Community Consultation Process:

In the first instance, almost 7,000 letters were sent to landowners who were directly affected by any proposed changes to the zoning or the Scheme text. This was co-ordinated by the City's Officers and the letters were sent on 10 March 2014. This has resulted in many phone call and counter enquiries to City Staff for those who required clarification on what the changes meant for their properties.

In addition to this, consultants were engaged to assist with various elements of the advertising process including a graphic designer and a community consultation facilitator. Both were provided briefs which outline their contribution to maximise community participation and education.

The graphic designer assisted with building a new website called www.yourvincentyoursay.com.au. This has become a one stop shop containing all the information regarding the proposed changes to the zoning and the Town Planning Scheme Text as well as the draft Local Planning Strategy and Precinct Policies and includes an online submission form. A brochure was also developed which was distributed to every resident of the City of Vincent which contained a tear off submission form that folds into a reply paid envelope. These brochures and large advertising banners are now located at the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, Beatty Park and the library.

The community consultation facilitator has been critical in the implementation of the community based events that are currently occurring across the City. The amended Community Engagement Plan identified 30 events to be held across the 6 Precincts. These included:

- 2 Information nights per precinct
- 1 Open Day per precinct
- 1 Resident based Focus Group
- 1 Non-resident based Focus Group (business owners)

The role of the facilitator is to act as an independent third party for the process ensuring all attendees are heard and have the opportunity to express their opinion about the proposed changes. They are also integral in making sure the most efficient process is employed throughout the information exchange and will provide a summary report to the City of each event.

Community Consultation Progress Report:

Attendance at the events so far has varied, with the following table providing a summary.

Event	Date	Attendees
Mount Hawthorn Open Day	Sat - 22 March 2014	6 groups of people
Leederville Info Session	Mon - 24 March 2014	18 people attended
Leederville Focus Group	Mon - 24 March 2014	3 people attended
North Perth Info Session	Wed - 26 March 2014	22 people attended
North Perth Focus Group	Wed - 26 March 2014	Cancelled – no attendees
Mount Lawley/Highgate Open Day	Sat - 29 March 2014	8 groups of people
Perth Info Session	Mon - 31 March 2014	17 people attended
Perth Focus Group	Mon - 31 March 2014	4 people attended
Claisebrook Info Session	Thu - 3 April 2014	7 people attended
Mount Lawley/Highgate Info Session	Thu - 10 April 2014	
Mount Lawley/Highgate Focus Group	Thu - 10 April 2014	

The information nights held in venues throughout the City has proved to be a very effective way for City Officers to present the draft documents to each precinct community. Having a professional facilitator, several staff from the City and Councillors in attendance has provided an opportunity for one on one time with staff and a group question and answer session during the presentation, in addition to allowing the City to present in detail what changes are proposed.

Focus groups:

The Community Engagement Plan adopted by the Council on 9 October 2012 identified that two focus groups be undertaken within each precinct. One of the focus groups is with residential landowners and one with non-residential landowners. The purpose of these is to focus on some of the local issues which may affect the interests of these specific groups.

The focus groups were originally intended to have up to 10 participants involved. 100 letters were sent to residents at random using the City's residential property system to invite landowners to attend. It was intended to then randomly select 10 of those interested in attending. Of all the sessions undertaken so far, a response of three persons has been the highest number received. Attendees at the information nights, held immediately prior to the focus group sessions were then invited to remain, however, of all the sessions held, only one person agreed to participate.

Having now completed the residential focus groups, the highest number of attendees has been 4 people. Whilst these events have been informative and constructive, the low attendance rate does not represent the most efficient use of resources or time. Invites for the non-residential focus groups have not yet been sent and approval is sought from the Council for City Staff not to proceed with these remaining sessions.

Submissions received:

The City has received almost 60 submissions relating to the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2. It is expected for this number to significantly rise as the closing date for submissions draws closer.

The comprehensive marketing of this process has been extensive with the use of advertising in the Guardian Express, the City's main website and social media. The new website created specifically for this project www.yourvincentyoursay.com.au has received more than an overwhelming response. When the project was the subject of a dedicated e-news blast, the website received almost 400 'clicks', the highest of any topic in an e-news blast since they began.

Following the close of advertising on 27 June 2014, it is expected to have the modified Town Planning Scheme No 2 presented back to the Council by September/October for final adoption before being sent to the Minister for final approval and gazettal.

LEGAL/POLICY:

The Planning and Development Act 2005 and Town Planning Regulations 1968 is the state government legislation which requires the documents be advertised to the community for a period of no less than three months. The Community Engagement Plan has identified the events to occur over this time.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

High: Implementing a comprehensive Community Engagement Plan is essential in the appropriate management of the advertising of the Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme No. 2, as is required in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Expenditure for this matter will be incurred under the following budgeted item:

Town Planning Scheme Amendments and Policies:

Budget Amount:	\$73,000
Spent to Date:	<u>\$21,617</u>
Balance:	\$51,383

COMMENTS & CONCLUSION:

The events scheduled as part of the community consultation for the Draft Local Planning Strategy and Town Planning Scheme is now half complete. The implementation of the Community Engagement Plan adopted by the Council in 2012 has largely been a success. Since advertising commenced in March, more than 100 people have had direct contact with the City through these events, in addition to the more than 300 phone calls received.

More events are scheduled following the Easter school holidays and it is expected that further interest will occur as the submission closing date draws near. It is however, recommended that the Council authorise City Staff not to proceed with the remaining focus groups. It is not considered an efficient use of resources with City Staff already providing more than enough opportunity for the community, both resident and non-resident to be informed about the changes occurring and provide a submission to the City.

9.3.1 Investment Report as at 31 March 2014

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	FIN0033
Attachments:	001 – Investment Report		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	B Wong, A/Manager Financial Services; N Makwana, Accounting Officer		
Responsible Officer:	M Rootsey, Director Corporate Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council **NOTES** the Investment Report for the month ended 31 March 2014 as detailed in Appendix 9.3.1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3.1

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to advise the Council of the level of investment funds available, the distribution of surplus funds in the short term money market and the interest earned to date.

BACKGROUND:

Interest from investments is a significant source of funds for the City, where surplus funds are deposited in the short term money market for various terms. Details are attached in Appendix 9.3.1.

Council’s Investment Portfolio is spread across several Financial Institutions in accordance with Policy Number 1.2.4.

DETAILS:

Total Investments for the period ended 31 March 2014 were \$16,811,000 compared with \$17,811,000 at 28 February 2014. At 31 March 2013, \$17,111,000 was invested.

Investment comparison table:

	2012-2013	2013-2014
July	\$18,211,000	\$9,611,000
August	\$30,511,000	\$21,411,000
September	\$28,511,000	\$20,411,000
October	\$26,711,000	\$20,411,000
November	\$24,711,000	\$19,811,000
December	\$20,711,000	\$17,811,000
January	\$20,711,000	\$17,811,000
February	\$18,711,000	\$17,811,000
March	\$17,111,000	\$16,811,000

Total accrued interest earned on Investments as at 31 March 2014:

	Annual Budget	Budget Year to Date	Actual Year to Date	%
Municipal	\$281,340	\$256,431	\$254,073	90.31
Reserve	\$386,610	\$322,509	\$258,356	66.83

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Not applicable.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Funds are invested in accordance with the City's Investment Policy 1.2.4.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

High: Section 6.14 of the Local Government Act 1995, section 1, states:

“(1) Subject to the regulations, money held in the municipal fund or the trust fund of a local government that is not, for the time being, required by the local government for any other purpose may be invested in accordance with Part III of the Trustees Act 1962.”

COMMENT:

As the City performs only a custodial role in respect of monies held in Trust Fund Investments these monies cannot be used for Council purposes. Key deposits, hall deposits, works bonds, planning bonds and unclaimed money were transferred into Trust Bank account as required by Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, Section 8 (1b).

The interest earned is below budget. This is due to the decrease in the Reserve Bank of Australia cash rate from 3.50% in September 2012 to 2.50% in September 2013. Current cash rate is maintained at 2.50%.

The funds invested have decreased from previous period due to payment to creditors.

The report comprises of:

- Investment Report;
- Investment Fund Summary;
- Investment Earnings Performance;
- Percentage of Funds Invested; and
- Graphs.

9.3.2 Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 – 31 March 2014

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	FIN0032
Attachments:	001 – Creditors Report		
Tabled Items:	-		
Reporting Officers:	O Wojcik, Accounts Payable Officer; B Wong, Acting Manager Financial Services		
Responsible Officer:	M Rootsey, Director Corporate Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council **CONFIRMS** the;

1. **Schedule of Accounts for the period 1 March – 31 March 2014 and the list of payments;**
2. **direct lodgement of payroll payments to the personal bank account of employees;**
3. **direct lodgement of PAYG taxes to the Australian Taxation Office;**
4. **direct lodgement of Child Support to the Australian Taxation Office;**
5. **direct lodgement of creditors payments to the individual bank accounts of creditors; and**
6. **direct lodgement of Superannuation to Local Government and City of Perth superannuation plans;**

paid under Delegated Authority in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 as shown in Appendix 9.3.2.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3.2

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Members/Officers	Voucher	Extent of Interest
Nil.		

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To present to the Council the expenditure and list of accounts approved by the Chief Executive Officer under Delegated Authority for the period 1 March – 31 March 2014.

BACKGROUND:

The Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer (Delegation No. 3.1 the exercise of its power to make payments from the City's Municipal and Trust funds. In accordance with Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 a list of accounts paid by the Chief Executive Officer is to be provided to the Council, where such delegation is made.

The Local Government Act provides for all payments to be approved by the Council. In addition the attached Schedules are submitted in accordance with Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Finance Management) Regulations 1996.

DETAILS:

The Schedule of Accounts to be passed for payment, cover the following:

FUND	CHEQUE NUMBERS/ PAY PERIOD	AMOUNT
Municipal Account		
Automatic Cheques	75812 - 75962	\$247,187.58
Transfer of Creditors by EFT Batch	1642, 1644, 1645, 1647 – 1650, 1652	\$3,804,984.41
Transfer of PAYG Tax by EFT	March 2014	\$377,375.01
Transfer of GST by EFT	March 2014	
Transfer of Child Support by EFT	March 2014	\$1,826.70
Transfer of Superannuation by EFT:		
• City of Perth	March 2014	\$68,181.95
• Local Government	March 2014	\$114,849.11
Total		\$4,614,404.76
Bank Charges & Other Direct Debits		
Bank Charges – CBA		\$11,661.42
Lease Fees		\$4,607.45
Corporate MasterCards		\$12,808.21
Loan Repayment		\$162,968.63
Rejection fees		\$90.00
Total Bank Charges & Other Direct Debits		\$192,135.71
Less GST effect on Advance Account		0.00
Total Payments		\$4,806,540.46

LEGAL POLICY:

The Council has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer (Delegation No. 3.1) the power to make payments from the municipal and trust funds pursuant to the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996. Therefore, in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 a list of accounts paid by the Chief Executive Officer is prepared each month showing each account paid since the last list was prepared.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

In accordance with Section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995, a local government is not to incur expenditure from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expenditure is authorised in advance by an absolute majority decision of the Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Strategic Plan 2013-2017:

- “4.1 Provide good strategic decision-making, governance, leadership and professional management:*
- 4.1.2 Manage the organisation in a responsible, efficient and accountable manner;*
- (a) Continue to adopt best practice to ensure the financial resources and assets of the City are responsibly managed and the quality of services, performance procedures and processes is improved and enhanced.”*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Expenditure has been incurred in accordance with the adopted Budget which has been structured on financial viability and sustainability principles.

ADVERTISING/CONSULTATION:

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

All expenditure from the municipal fund was included in the Annual Budget adopted by the Council.

COMMENT:

All municipal fund expenditure included in the list of payments is in accordance with the Council's adopted Annual Budget or has been authorised in advance by the Council where applicable.

Vouchers, supporting invoices and other relevant documentation are available for inspection at any time following the date of payment.

9.3.3 Financial Statements as at 31 March 2014

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	FIN0026
Attachments:	001 – Financial Reports		
Tabled Items:	002 – Significant Accounting Policies		
Reporting Officers:	B Wong, A/Manager Financial Services; N Makwana, Accounting Officer		
Responsible Officer:	M Rootsey, Director Corporate Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council **RECEIVES** the Financial Statements for the month ended 31 March 2014 as shown in Appendix 9.3.3.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3.3

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to present the Financial Statements for the period ended 31 March 2014.

BACKGROUND:

Regulation 34 (1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 requires a local government to prepare each month a statement of financial activity reporting on the sources and applications of funds, as set out in the budget.

A financial activity statements report is to be in a form that sets out:

- the annual budget estimates;
- budget estimates for the end of the month to which the statement relates;
- actual amounts of expenditure, revenue and income for the end of the month to which the statement relates;
- material variances between the year-to-date income and expenditure; and
- includes other supporting notes and other information that the local government considers will assist in the interpretation of the report.

A statement of financial activity and any accompanying documents are to be presented at the next Ordinary Meeting of the Council following the end of the month to which the statement relates, or to the next Ordinary Meeting of Council after that meeting.

In addition to the above, under Regulation 34 (5) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, each financial year a local government is to adopt a percentage of value, calculated in accordance with AAS 5, to be used in statements of financial activity for reporting material variances.

DETAILS:

The following documents represent the Statement of Financial Activity for the period ending 31 March 2014:

Note	Description	Page
1.	Summary of Income and Expenditure by Service Areas	1-30
2.	Statement of Financial Activity by Programme Report	31
3.	Statement of Financial Activity by Nature or Type Report	32
4.	Statement of Financial Position	33
5.	Statement of Changes in Equity	34
6.	Capital Works Schedule	35-41
7.	Restricted Cash Reserves	42
8.	Sundry Debtors Report	43
9.	Rate Debtors Report	44
10.	Beatty Park Leisure Centre Report – Financial Position	45
11.	Major Variance Report	46-54
12.	Monthly Financial Positions Graph	55-57

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NOTES

The significant accounting policies and notes forming part of the financial report are 'Tabled' and shown in electronic Attachment 002.

Comments on the financial performance are set out below:

2. As per Appendix 9.3.3.

3. Statement of Financial Activity by Programme Report

Operating Revenue excluding Rates

YTD Actual	\$18,364,105
YTD Revised Budget	\$21,842,903
YTD Variance	(\$3,478,798)
Full Year Budget	\$28,176,497

Summary Comments:

The total operating revenue is currently 84% of the year to date Budget estimate.

Major contributing variances are to be found in the following programmes:

- General Purpose Funding – 4% over budget;
- Governance – 259% over budget;
- Law, Order, Public Safety – 31% under budget;
- Education and Welfare – 42% under budget;
- Community Amenities – 4% under budget;
- Recreation and Culture – 1% over budget;
- Transport – 22% under budget;
- Economic Services – 3% over budget;
- Other Property and Services – 76% under budget; and
- General Administration (Allocated) – 122% over budget.

Operating Expenditure

YTD Actual	\$37,161,177
YTD Revised Budget	\$36,155,184
YTD Variance	\$1,005,993
Full Year Budget	\$48,927,550

Summary Comments:

The total operating expenditure is currently 103% of the year to date Budget estimate.

Major contributing variances are to be found in the following programmes:

- Governance – 5% over budget;
- Law and Order – 6% under budget;
- Health – 6% under budget;
- Education and Welfare – 3% under budget;
- Community Amenities – 5% under budget;
- Recreation & Culture – 3% over budget;
- Transport – 6% over budget;
- Economic Services – 7% under budget;
- Other Property & Services – 34% over budget; and
- General Administration (Allocated) – 243% over budget.

Net Operating and Capital Excluding Rates

The net result is Operating Revenue less Operating Expenditure plus Capital Revenue, Profit/(Loss) of Disposal of Assets and less Capital Expenditure.

YTD Actual	\$17,905,759
YTD Revised Budget	\$19,524,980
Variance	(\$1,619,221)
Full Year Budget	\$29,136,897

4. Statement of Financial Activity by Nature and Type Report

This statement of Financial Activity shows operating revenue and expenditure classified by nature and type.

5. Statement of Financial Position and

6. Statement of Changes in Equity

The statement shows the current assets of \$18,812,677 and non-current assets of \$205,058,448 for total assets of \$223,871,125.

The current liabilities amount to \$6,522,286 and non-current liabilities of \$19,400,907 for the total liabilities of \$25,923,193.

The net asset of the City or Equity is \$197,947,932.

7. Net Current Funding Position

	31 March 2014 YTD Actual \$
Current Assets	
Cash at Bank	6,166,223
Cash Restricted	8,887,808
Receivables – Rates and Waste	628,651
Receivables – Others	2,908,404
Inventories	210,591
	18,801,677
Less: Current Liabilities	
Trade and Other Payables	(3,546,812)
Provisions	(2,767,442)
	(6,314,254)
Less: Restricted Cash Reserves	(8,887,808)
Net Current Funding Position	3,599,615

8. Capital Expenditure Summary

The Capital Expenditure summary details projects included in the 2013/2014 budget and reports the original budget and compares actual expenditure to date against these.

	Budget	Year to date Revised Budget	Actual to Date	%
Furniture & Equipment	\$201,750	\$159,250	\$61,337	39%
Plant & Equipment	\$3,269,666	\$2,369,596	\$694,844	29%
Land & Building	\$1,229,000	\$922,000	\$456,377	49%
Infrastructure	\$12,198,585	\$9,061,997	\$3,279,233	36%
Total	\$16,899,001	\$12,512,843	\$4,491,791	36%

Note: The actual to date value for Plant and Equipment is the net of trade in value of the purchase price.

Note: Detailed analyses are included on page 35 – 41 of Appendix 9.3.3.

9. Restricted Cash Reserves

The Restricted Cash Reserves schedule details movements in the reserves including transfers, interest earned and funds used, comparing actual results with the annual budget.

The balance as at 31 March 2014 is \$8.8m. The balance as at 31 March 2013 was \$10m.

10. Sundry Debtors

Other Sundry Debtors are raised from time to time as services are provided or debts incurred. Late payment interest of 11% per annum may be charged on overdue accounts. Sundry Debtors of \$514,975 is outstanding at the end of March 2014.

Out of the total debt, \$347,819 (75.1%) relates to debts outstanding for over 60 days, which is related to Cash in Lieu Parking. The Cash in Lieu Parking debtors have special payment arrangement for more than one year.

The Sundry Debtor Report identifies significant balances that are well overdue.

Finance has been following up outstanding items with debt recovery by issuing reminders when it is overdue and formal debt collection if reminders are ignored.

11. Rate Debtors

The notices for rates and charges levied for 2013/14 were issued on the 22 July 2013.

The Local Government Act 1995 provides for ratepayers to pay rates by four (4) instalments. The due dates for each instalment are:

First Instalment	26 August 2013
Second Instalment	28 October 2013
Third Instalment	3 January 2014
Fourth Instalment	7 March 2014

To cover the costs involved in providing the instalment programme the following charge and interest rates apply:

Instalment Administration Charge (to apply to second, third, and fourth instalment)	\$11.00 per instalment
Instalment Interest Rate	5.5% per annum
Late Payment Penalty Interest	11% per annum

Pensioners registered with the City for rate concessions do not incur the above interest or charge.

Rates outstanding as at 31 March 2014 including deferred rates was \$695,049 which represents 2.69% of the outstanding collectable income compared to 2.87% at the same time last year.

12. Beatty Park Leisure Centre – Financial Position Report

As at 31 March 2014 the operating deficit for the Centre was \$283,064 in comparison to the year to date revised budgeted surplus of \$214,069.

The cash position showed a current cash surplus of \$291,248 in comparison year to date revised budget estimate of a cash surplus of \$649,093. The cash position is calculated by adding back depreciation to the operating position.

Budget on revenue has been adjusted in various areas during mid year budget review to show a better operating position.

13. Major Variance Report

The material threshold adopted this year is 10% or \$10,000 to be used in the preparation of the statements of financial activity when highlighting material variance in accordance with FM Reg 34(1) (d).

The comments will be for the favourable or unfavourable variance of greater than 10% of the year to date budgeted. The Council has adopted a percentage of 10% which is equal to or greater than the budget to be material. However a value of \$10,000 may be used as guidance for determining the materiality consideration of an amount rather than a percentage as a minimum value threshold.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Not applicable.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Section 6.4 of the Local Government Act 1995 requires a local government to prepare an annual financial report for the preceding year and such other financial reports as are prescribed.

Regulation 34 (1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 requires the local government to prepared, each month, a statement of financial activity reporting on the source and application of funds as set out in the adopted Annual Budget.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: In accordance with Section 6.8 of the Local Government Act 1995, a local government is not to incur expenditure from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expenditure is authorised in advance by an absolute majority decision of the Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

Strategic Plan 2011-2016:

- “4.1 Provide good strategic decision-making, governance, leadership and professional management:*
- 4.1.2 Manage the organisation in a responsible, efficient and accountable manner;*
- (a) Continue to adopt best practice to ensure the financial resources and assets of the City are responsibly managed and the quality of services, performance procedures and processes is improved and enhanced.”*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Expenditure has been incurred in accordance with the adopted Budget which has been structured on financial viability and sustainability principles.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

COMMENT:

All expenditure included in the Financial Statements is incurred in accordance with the Council's adopted Annual Budget or has been authorised in advance by the Council where applicable.

9.3.4 Beatty Park Leisure Centre – Four (4) year Financial Forecast

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Smith's Lake (6)	File Ref:	FIN0040
Attachments:	001 – Four (4) Year Forecast 002 – Summary Position 003 - Assumptions		
Tabled Items:	Nil.		
Reporting Officers:	D Morrissy, Manager Beatty Park Leisure Centre M Rootsey, Director Corporate Services		
Responsible Officer:	M Rootsey, Director Corporate Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

- ACCEPTS** the four (4) year Financial Forecast for the Beatty Park Leisure Centre; and
- NOTES** the projected improved financial performance of the Beatty Park Leisure Centre following the opening of the redeveloped Centre.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3.4

Moved Cr McDonald, **Seconded** Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To provide the Council an updated financial forecast for the Beatty Park Leisure Centre following the opening of the redeveloped Centre.

BACKGROUND:

The last detailed forecast for the Centre was completed in 2011 and was based on a number of assumptions, the most significant factor being the membership numbers that would be achieved as a result of the redevelopment.

A number of scenarios were presented on the base of 2,500, 3,000 and 3,500 members. The assumption was that the number of 3,500 could be achieved over a three (3) year period.

DETAILS:

This forecast has been prepared on the assumptions as outlined in the report Attachment 003.

It is pleasing to note that at the time of preparing this report the membership number was 3,615, this has exceeded the expectations of the previous forecast.

As a result the revenue figures for the centre have exceeded expectations, however not only memberships have exceeded budgeted expectations but also with the new gymnasium and group fitness area, there has been a significant increase in the numbers using personal trainers and also casual users of this area.

Following the redevelopment increased attendances have also been experienced on the “wet side” of the facility with increased pool use and the swim school returning to record numbers that it held prior to the upgrade of the facility.

It is now important that the Centre management put in place strategies to ensure retention of this level of membership for future years.

It is however pleasing to report that the Centre is forecasted to return a surplus in the 2013/14 and all of the following three (3) years.

This surplus is attained after accounting for both the principal and interest payments of the borrowings taken out to fund the redevelopment of the Centre. The cash position as highlighted in the summary forecast Attachment 002 is positive throughout the forecast period.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Not applicable.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Not applicable.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Medium: The nature of the operation centre can be affected by seasonal fluctuations and operational failures, which may affect attendances at the Centre, these will in turn have an impact on the financial position.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's Strategic Plan 2013 – 2017:

"1.1.4 Enhance and maintain the City's infrastructure, assets and community facilities to provide a safe, sustainable and functional environment

(e) Implement the Stage 2 redevelopment of the Beatty Park Leisure Centre."

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The Centre has fully embraced the actions outlined in the City's Sustainability Plan to ensure that the Centre operates in line with the City's Sustainability policies and direction.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

These projections will be incorporated into the City's Long Term Financial Plan and the relevant Annual Budgets.

COMMENTS:

There have been a number of recent comments made regarding the financial position of the Centre in recent time. The Administration is of the opinion that this updated forecast which has been in main based on the current first year of operations of the redeveloped centre indicates the financial viability of the Centre for the future and justifies the commitment that the Council made in undertaking the redevelopment.

The Council can be justifiably proud of this centre which provides a first class facility for the community.

9.4.1 Ticket Parking Machine Inventory

Ward:	Both	Date:	10 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	LEG0047
Attachments:	001 – APARC/Parkeon parking machine 002 – Cale/PSA2000 parking machine		
Reporting Officers:	S Butler, Manager Ranger and Community Safety Services		
Responsible Officers:	J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council **NOTES** the information outlined in the report below in relation to the number, status and location of ticket parking machines within the City.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.1

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to detail the status, number, brand and capability of City's ticket parking machines, and an inventory of machines held in the City's Depot in Osborne Park.

BACKGROUND:

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 10 September 2013, the purchase of up to twenty-five (25) EMV compliant ticket machines was approved and authorisation was given for a Tender to be advertised for the purchase, installation and maintenance of these machines.

On 23 November 2013, a tender was advertised calling for purchase of up to twenty-five (25) Europay, Mastercard and Visa (EMV) compliant parking ticket machines. The five (5) Tenders received were from APARC, Cale, Global Integrated Solution Limited (GIS), Duncan and TMA. A non-conforming Tender was also received from Sanbar which, as it did not meet selection criteria, was not considered further.

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 11 March 2014, the Council resolved the following;

“That the Council;

- 1. ACCEPTS the Tender submitted by Australian Parking and Revenue Control (APARC) for the Supply, Installation, Commissioning and Associated Maintenance of up to twenty-five (25) Europay, Mastercard and Visa Card (EMV) Compliant Ticket Issuing Machines and the amount at a cost of up to \$186,750 excluding GST, in accordance with the specifications as detailed in Tender 480/13; and*
- 2. REQUESTS a report to be provided to the Council at the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on 22 April 2014 detailing the status of the number of machines, the brand of machines, the capability and an inventory of the City's machines that are held in the Depot or yet to be placed out.”*

DETAILS:

The City currently has 193 ticket parking machines in operation. 114 are APARC/Parkeon parking machines and seventy nine (79) are Cale/PSA2000 parking machines.

The breakdown of type, quantity and at each location is as follows:

Location	Type of Machine	Number of Machines
nib Stadium Car Park	APARC/Parkeon	5
Barlee Street	APARC/Parkeon	1
Beaufort Street	APARC/Parkeon	13
Brewer Street	APARC/Parkeon	4
Broome Street	APARC/Parkeon	2
Chelmsford Road	APARC/Parkeon	1
Clarence Street	APARC/Parkeon	2
Fitzgerald Street	APARC/Parkeon	2
Forbes Road	APARC/Parkeon	4
Grosvenor Road	APARC/Parkeon	1
Harold Street	APARC/Parkeon	3
Leederville Parade	APARC/Parkeon	1
Lindsay Street	APARC/Parkeon	9
Mary Street	APARC/Parkeon	2
Money Street	APARC/Parkeon	6
Monger Street	APARC/Parkeon	7
Newcastle Street	APARC/Parkeon	13
Oxford Street	APARC/Parkeon	12
Pier Street	APARC/Parkeon	5
Raglan Road	APARC/Parkeon	2
Richmond Street	APARC/Parkeon	7
Stirling Street	APARC/Parkeon	2
Leederville Hotel	APARC/Parkeon	2
Braid Street	APARC/Parkeon	1
Parry Street	APARC/Parkeon	7
The Avenue Car Park,	Cale/PSA2000	6*
Frame Court Car Park,	Cale/PSA2000	5*
Brisbane Street Car Park,	Cale/PSA2000	4*
Chelmsford Road Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2*
Raglan Road Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2*
Oxford Street Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2*
Barlee Street Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2*
Wasley Street Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2
View Street Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	2
Loftus Centre Car Park	Cale/PSA2000	8
Brisbane Street	Cale/PSA2000	10
Forbes Road	Cale/PSA2000	2
Frame Court	Cale/PSA2000	1
Newcastle Street	Cale/PSA2000	6

Location	Type of Machine	Number of Machines
Oxford Street	Cale/PSA2000	1
Stirling Street	Cale/PSA2000	6
Stuart Street	Cale/PSA2000	4
Vincent Street	Cale/PSA2000	6
William Street	Cale/PSA2000	8

Currently none of the credit card ticket parking machines within the City are compliant with the new EMV credit card requirements. The City has obtained an extension from the Commonwealth Bank until August 2014 to complete the required EMV upgrade.

The 114 APARC/Parkeon machines are currently being retrofitted with EMV credit card compliant readers. This upgrade is due for completion by the end of April 2014.

The twenty three (23) Cale/PSA 2000 machines (marked with an asterisk) which have credit card readers are all currently being replaced by the APARC/Parkeon machines, as a result of APARC being awarded the tender at the Council meeting held on 11 March 2014. All twenty three (23) machines being replaced will be EMV compliant. Forty four (44) of the Cale/PSA 2000 machines are cash only, with the remaining twelve (12) Cale/PSA 2000 machines issuing tickets at no charge. Two (2) of the machines located in Oxford Street; one (1) kerbside and one (1) from the Oxford Street car park have been temporarily removed due to the Oxford Street Reserve Redevelopment Project.

The City currently has fourteen (14) Parkeon ticket machines at the Depot. Five (5) machines are missing parts and are unserviceable. A further two (2) Parkeon machines have been damaged by vandalism and have been decommissioned as they are beyond repair. The seven (7), remaining machines are operational; however, they will require an EMV upgrade with associated cost implications. They will be able to be deployed pending identification of suitable locations and further Council approval.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Nil.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Nil.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

High: Failure to upgrade the parking meters to EMV compliance will impact on revenue as credit cards will not be able to be used at the City's parking facilities.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2017* states:

"Natural and Built Environment

Objective 1.1: Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.

1.1.5: Take action to improve transport and parking in the City and mitigate the effects of traffic.

(b) Investigate the City's existing landholding and car parks for multi-use purposes."

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Expenditure for this matter will be incurred under the following budgeted item:

Purchase of new machines: \$188,991

A purchase order has been raised for the new machines with payments made in 3 stages.

Stage 1 – 10% on order of the machines.

Stage 2 – 30% on delivery.

Stage 3 – 60% on installation and fully operational.

COMMENTS:

The new machines will be delivered by the end of July 2014. Foundation work will commence three (3) weeks prior to delivery.

The lease agreement for the EMV upgrade kits is currently being compiled by ALLEASE for signing by the Acting Chief Executive Officer. The EMV upgrades are scheduled to be complete by end of April 2014.

9.4.2 Public Transport Community Forum

Ward:	All	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	CMS0064
Attachments:	Nil		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	E Everitt, A/Senior Community Development Officer A Birch, A/Manager Community Development		
Responsible Officer:	J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **RECEIVES** the report regarding the lack of appropriate public transport options for Vincent residents that need to travel to Churchlands Senior High School and Mount Lawley Senior High School;
2. **APPROVES** the recommendation by the Children and Young People Advisory Group to hold a Community Forum regarding the lack of appropriate public transport options for Vincent resident that need to travel to Churchlands Senior High School and Mount Lawley Senior High School; and
3. **REQUESTS** a Progress Report detailing the outcomes of the Community Forum and recommendations no later than 24 June 2014.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.2

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To seek support from the Council to host a Community Forum to discuss the topic of public transport from the City of Vincent to Churchlands Senior High School (Churchlands SHS) and Mount Lawley Senior High School (Mount Lawley SHS).

BACKGROUND:

At the Children and Young People Advisory Group (CYPAG) meeting held on 20 March 2014, a motion was passed to address the lack of appropriate public transport options for Vincent public high school students through the hosting of a Community Forum

Historically, Perth Modern School, located in Subiaco, was a public high school zoned for City of Vincent residents and incorporated a designated bus services from Mount Hawthorn to Perth Modern School. Perth Modern School became an independent academic select school in 2014 and, as a result, Churchlands SHS and Mount Lawley SHS are the two (2) public high schools zoned for Vincent residents to attend. However, when changes were made to the high school local intake areas a designated bus route was never allocated from Mount Hawthorn, or anywhere in the City, to either of these public high schools.

DETAILS:

As a result of the changes to public high school zonings, students of Churchlands SHS and Mount Lawley SHS must take an indirect public transport route that is more time consuming than necessary for the short distances they are travelling. The current route options from Mount Hawthorn to Churchlands SHS takes between 35 to 50 minutes and consists of two (2) transfers and approximately 1 kilometre of walking.

The current route options from North Perth to Mount Lawley SHS take approximately 30 minutes and include one (1) bus and approximately 1.5 kilometres of walking.

There are currently 203 Vincent residents attending Mount Lawley SHS and 113 Vincent residents attending Churchlands SHS. From 2015, Churchlands SHS will be accepting students from year six (6) onwards and it is predicted there will be an additional ninety (90) year six (6) and seven (7) students from Mount Hawthorn Primary School enrolling in Churchlands SHS, increasing the number of Vincent residents enrolled at Churchlands SHS from 113 to 203.

As previously mentioned, the current options for transport to the above listed schools are problematic and CYPAG would like to address these issues as one of the outcomes of the group. CYPAG request the City's assistance in hosting a Community Forum with appropriate stakeholders to determine options and establish actions to provide appropriate public transport for public high school students.

This Community Forum is proposed to be held in the Function Room at the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre. Invitations would be open to all with a specific focus on representatives from Churchlands SHS, Mount Lawley SHS, Primary Schools located within the City of Vincent, Parents and Citizens groups, members of the CYPAC, the Transport Minister, the Shadow Minister, representatives from the Public Transport Authority, members of the public with children who are affected by the current transport options and other relevant stakeholders.

Following the forum, the City's Officers will report back to Council with the outcome of the Community Forum and subsequent recommendations.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Invitations will be distributed to representatives from Churchlands SHS, Mount Lawley SHS, Primary Schools located within the City of Vincent, Parents and Citizens groups, members of the CYPAC, the Transport Minister, the Shadow Minister, representatives from the Public Transport Authority, members of the public with children who are affected by the current transport options and other relevant stakeholders.

The Community Forum will also be promoted through the City's website, social media and networks.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Nil

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: Upon careful assessment of this project, it has been deemed as low risk.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2017* where the following objective states:

"Community Development and Wellbeing

3.1 *Enhance and promote community development and wellbeing;*

3.1.6 *Build capacity within the community for individuals and groups to meet their needs and the needs of the broader community."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Support for the proposed Community Forum will potentially lead to more sustainable transport options for Vincent residents. If favourable outcomes are reached, a broader review of public transport services available to Vincent residents may result.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The estimated cost of holding this forum is \$2000.

Expenditure for this matter will be incurred under the Community Development Programmes budgeted item:

Budget Amount:	\$19,000
Spent to Date:	<u>\$11,272</u>
Balance:	\$7,728

COMMENTS:

The current available public transport requires extensive travel for those attending high school, particularly as from 2015 the age for attending high school can be as young as eleven (11) years old. A review of the current available options and consideration of options to improve the access to public high schools for Vincent residents is required and the proposed Community Forum will begin this conversation.

9.4.3 Ellington Jazz Club, No. 191 (Lot 651; D/P: 49287) Beaufort Street, Perth – Extended Trading Permit (ETP) for Special Occasion or Function

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	MRA	File Ref:	PHI0425; PRO4096; ENS0053
Attachments:	001 – Map of Licensed Premises		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	P Reddingius, Environmental Health Officer W Pearce, Manager Health and Compliance Services		
Responsible Officer:	J Anthony, Acting Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **RECEIVES** the report regarding the Extended Trading Permit (ETP) for Special Occasion or Function for the Ellington Jazz Club located at No. 191 (Lot 651; D/P: 49287) Beaufort Street, Perth;
2. **SUPPORTS** the application for the ETP for Special Occasion or Function for the Ellington Jazz Club, for the additional hour from 12 midnight Sunday, 11 May 2014 to 1.00am Monday, 12 May 2014, given the premises' history of compliance and low risk nature of the event; and
3. **AUTHORISES** the Acting Chief Executive Officer to submit a formal letter of support for the ETP for a Special Occasion or Function to the Director of Liquor Licensing, Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.3

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to advise the Council that the Ellington Jazz Club located at No. 191 Beaufort Street, Perth has applied to the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor (DRGL) for an ETP for a Special Occasion or Function for an additional hour from 12 midnight Sunday, 11 May 2014 to 1.00am Monday, 12 May 2014, as part of the Perth International Jazz Festival 2014.

BACKGROUND:

Ellington Jazz Club's currently trades with a 'nightclub licence' during the following hours:

Ground Floor

- Between 6.00pm and 1.00am Monday to Thursday;
- Between 6.00pm and 3.00am Saturday; and
- Between 8.00pm and 12 midnight Sunday.

Upper Floor

- Between 6.00pm and 12 midnight Monday to Thursday;
- Between 6.00pm and 2.00am Saturday; and
- Between 8.00pm and 11.00pm Sunday.

Courtyard

- Between 6.00pm and 12 midnight Monday to Saturday; and
- Between 8.00pm and 12 midnight Sunday.

* Note: the Event, if approved, will be held on the ground floor of the premises.

The City has recently received an application from the Ellington Jazz Club to extend its trading hours on multiple dates throughout the year. The dates are:

- Wednesday, 1 January 2014 between the hours of 1.00am – 4.00am;
- Monday, 27 January, 3 March, 2 June and 29 September 2014 between the hours of 12 midnight – 1.00am; and
- Thursday, 1 January 2015 between the hours of 1.00am – 3.00am.

All of the above dates occur during long weekends and have been supported by the City in previous years.

A total number of eighteen (18) licensed premises (see map in Appendix 9.4.1) are located in Perth with the City's boundaries:

- 1 x Club Restricted Licence;
- 1 x Hotel Licence;
- 3 x Nightclub Licences;
- 3 x Restaurant Licences;
- 2 x Small Bar Licences;
- 1 x Wholesaler Licence;
- 1 x Tavern Licence;
- 3 x Special Facilities Licences; and
- 2 x Special Facilities (Tourism) Licenses.

DETAILS:

History of Compliance

A search of the City's records over the past twelve months revealed that the City has not received a complaint relating to the Ellington Jazz Club.

Amenity of the Locality

The immediate vicinity of this venue includes the Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority Zone, Commercial and Residential/Commercial Zones. The closing time sought in the ETP would potentially result in a maximum number of 200 people leaving the venue an hour later than the existing 12 midnight closing time.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

In accordance with the Clause 7 of the City's *Community Consultation Policy No. 4.1.5*, consultation was waived by the Acting Chief Executive Officer for this application as search of the City's records over the past twelve months revealed that the City has not received a complaint relating to the Ellington Jazz Club. Clause 7 of the policy states:

<p>ALL LICENSED PREMISES</p> <p>This applies to the following licensed premises and associated liquor licenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Small bars;• Hotel;• Tavern;• Night Club;• Restaurant;• Extended Trading Permits; and	<p>New Applications:</p> <p>Consultation will be carried out with owner(s) and occupier(s) of all properties within 200 metres of the proposed premises or wherever applicable a specified greater distance (as determined by the CEO) of the premises providing them 14 days to provide comment.</p> <p>Renewals and Applications for Variation to Trading Hours and Conditions of Existing Licensed Premises:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Letter to owner(s) and occupier(s) of all properties within 200 metres or wherever applicable a specified distance (as determined by the CEO) of the premises, providing them 14 days to provide
---	--

<p>Any other premises prescribed by the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor (DRGL). *(amended at OMC 03.12.13)</p>	<p>comment. Advertising of conditions (deemed minor) will be at the discretion of the CEO.</p> <p>2. At the discretion of the Chief Executive Officer, consultation will be carried out only if there have been justified complaints lodged with the City during the previous 12 months of the date of application for renewal and/or variation to hours.</p> <p>*(amended at OMC 03.12.13)</p>
--	---

LEGAL/POLICY:

- Liquor Control Act 1988;
- Health (Public Building) Regulations 1992; and
- Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: The proposed extension may contribute to noise and antisocial behaviour complaints in the local area. However, given the venue's history of compliance, a one hour extension of their existing approval is not expected to have a significant negative impact on the local community and is therefore considered low risk.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013 –2017*, the following Objectives state:

"Economic Development

2.1.1 *Promote business development and the City of Vincent as a place for investment appropriate to the vision for the City.*

Community Development and Wellbeing

3.1.2 *Promote and foster community safety and security."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

It is essential within mixed land use areas that the City balances the needs of both residents and businesses.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Application for an Extended Trading Permit - \$120.00

COMMENTS:

Given the low risk nature of the proposed extended hours and the fact there have been no complaints received regarding the Ellington Jazz Club in the past, it is suggested that the 'Officer Recommendation' be supported by the Council.

9.5.1 Information Bulletin

Ward:	-	Date:	10 April 2014
Precinct:	-	File Ref:	-
Attachments:	001 – Information Bulletin		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	J Highfield, Executive Assistant		
Responsible Officer:	M, Rootsey, Acting Chief Executive Officer		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council **RECEIVES** the Information Bulletin dated 10 April 2014, as distributed with the Agenda.

DETAILS:

The items included in the Information Bulletin dated 10 April 2014 are as follows:

ITEM	DESCRIPTION
IB01	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Design Advisory Committee Meeting held on 19 March 2014
IB02	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Group Meeting held on 4 March 2014
IB03	Local History Collection – Progress Report No 25 – July to December 2013
IB04	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Leederville Town Centre Enhancement Working Group Meeting held on 30 October 2013
IB05	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Britannia Reserve Masterplan Working Group Meeting held on 25 November 2013
IB06	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Integrated Transport Advisory Group (ITAG) Meeting held on 19 December 2013
IB07	Unconfirmed Minutes of the Leederville Town Centre Enhancement Working Group Meeting held on 4 February 2014

9.3.5 No. 62 (Lots 26, 27 & 28) Frame Court, Leederville – YMCA of Perth Incorporated – Lease Approval

ITEM WITHDRAWN BY THE ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER DUE TO A REQUESTED CHANGE IN THE LEASE AREA AND AS A RESULT OF THE POTENTIAL REMOVAL OF THE FENCING AND SURROUNDING SKATE PARK.

9.1.4 No. 5 (Lots 13 and 14) Scott Street, Leederville – Proposed Demolition Of Existing Building and Construction of Two (2) Grouped Dwellings and Two (2) Single Bedroom Multiple Dwellings, Four (4) Multiple Dwellings and Associated Car Parking

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Leederville, P3	File Ref:	PRO4106; 5.2013.474.1
Attachments:	001 – Property Information Report & Development Application Plans		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	R Narroo, Acting Co-ordinator Statutory Planning		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

CORRECTED OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, APPROVES the application submitted by Gary Batt & Associates on behalf of the owner D De Fiddes for Proposed Demolition of Existing Single House and Construction of Two (2) Grouped Dwellings and Two (2), Two Storey Buildings Comprising Two (2) Single Bedroom Multiple Dwellings, Four (4) Multiple Dwellings and Associated Car Parking at No. 5 (Lots 13 and 14) Scott Street, Leederville and as shown on amended plans stamp-dated 3 April 2014, subject to the following conditions:

1. **Boundary Wall**

The owners of the subject land shall finish and maintain the surface of the boundary (parapet) walls facing Nos. 10 and 12 Burgess Street and Nos. 3 and 9 Scott Street in a good and clean condition. The finish of the walls is to be fully rendered or face brickwork;

2. **PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF A BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION, the following shall be submitted to and approved by the City:**

2.1 **Section 70 A Notification under the Transfer of Land Act**

The owner(s) shall agree in writing to a notification being lodged under section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act notifying proprietors and/or (prospective) purchasers of the property of the following:

2.1.1 the use or enjoyment of the property may be affected by noise, traffic, car parking and other impacts associated with nearby non-residential activities; and

2.1.2 the City of Vincent will not issue a residential or visitor car parking permit to any owner or occupier of the residential units as at the time of assessment, the on-site car parking was in accordance with the requirements of the Residential Design Codes and the City's Policy No. 7.7.1 relating to Parking and Access.

This notification shall be lodged and registered in accordance with the Transfer of Land Act prior to the first occupation of the development;

2.2 Construction Management Plan

A Construction Management Plan, detailing how the construction of the development will be managed to minimise the impact on the surrounding area, shall be submitted to and approved by the City, in accordance with the requirements of the City's Policy No. 7.5.23 relating to Construction Management Plans, and Construction Management Plan Guidelines and Construction Management Plan Application for Approval Proforma;

2.3 Schedule of External Finishes

A detailed schedule of external finishes (including materials and colour schemes and details) shall be submitted;

2.4 Landscape and Reticulation Plan

A detailed landscape and reticulation plan for the development site and adjoining road verge shall be submitted to the City's Parks and Property Services for assessment and approval.

For the purpose of this condition, a detailed landscape and irrigation plan shall be drawn to a scale of 1:100 and show the following:

- 2.4.1 Provision of increased soft landscaping of ten (10) percent of the total site common areas with a view to significantly reduce areas of hardstand and paving;
- 2.4.2 the location and type of existing and proposed trees and plants;
- 2.4.3 all vegetation including lawns;
- 2.4.4 areas to be irrigated or reticulated;
- 2.4.5 proposed watering system to ensure the establishment of species and their survival during the hot and dry months; and
- 2.4.6 separate soft and hard landscaping plans (indicating details of plant species and materials to be used); and

The Council encourages landscaping methods and species selection which do not rely on reticulation.

All such works shall be undertaken prior to the first occupation of the development, and maintained thereafter by the owner(s)/occupier(s);

2.5 Amalgamation

Prior to the issue of a Building Permit, the subject Lots 13 and 14 shall be amalgamated into one lot on one Certificate of Title; OR alternatively, prior to the issue of a Building Permit the owner(s) shall enter into a legal agreement with and lodge an appropriate assurance bond/bank guarantee to the satisfaction of the City, which is secured by a caveat on the Certificate(s) of Title of the subject land, prepared by the City's solicitors or other solicitors agreed upon by the City, undertaking to amalgamate and subdivide the subject land into one lot within 6 months of the issue of the subject Building Permit. All costs associated with this condition shall be borne by the applicant/owner(s);

2.6 Privacy

Revised plans shall be submitted to and approved by the City demonstrating the following:

- 2.6.1 living room to apartment 4 on the western elevation;
- 2.6.2 living room to apartment 5 on the western elevation;
- 2.6.3 windows to sitting room of House 2 on the northern elevation;
- 2.6.4 balcony (House 2) on the western elevation 2013;

being screened with a permanent obscure material and be non-openable to a minimum of 1.6 metres above the respective finished floor level, any point within the cone of vision less than 7.5 metres (balcony), 6 metres (living room) and 4.5 metres (bedroom) respectively from neighbouring boundaries. A permanent obscure material does not include a self-adhesive material that is easily removed. The whole windows can be top hinged and the obscure portion of the windows openable to a maximum of 20 degrees; OR prior to the issue of a Building Permit application, revised plans shall be submitted and approved demonstrating the above major openings being provided with permanent vertical screening or equivalent, the subject windows not exceeding one square metre in aggregate in the respective subject walls so that they are not considered to be major openings, in accordance with the Residential Design Codes;

2.7 Bond

A Road and Verge security bond for the sum of \$2,500 shall be lodged with the City by the applicant, prior to the issue of a building permit, and will be held until all building/development works have been completed and any disturbance of, or damage to the City's infrastructure, including verge trees, has been repaired/reinstated to the satisfaction of the City's Director Technical Services. An application for the refund of the security bond shall be made in writing. The bond is non-transferable;

2.8 Pedestrian Access

All pedestrian access and vehicle driveway/crossover levels shall match into existing verge, footpath and road levels to the satisfaction of the City's Technical Services Directorate;

2.9 Crossovers

An application for a crossover is to be submitted to, and approved by the City's Technical Services. Any redundant or "blind" crossovers shall be removed and the verge and kerb made good to the satisfaction of the City's Technical Services Directorate, at the applicant/owner's full expense; and

2.10 Screens

All the screens provided shall comply with the requirements of the Residential Design Codes 2013;

3. PRIOR TO THE FIRST OCCUPATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT, the following shall be completed to the satisfaction of the City:

3.1 Car Parking

The car parking area(s) on the subject land shall be sealed, drained, paved and line marked in accordance with the approved plans prior to the first occupation of the development and maintained thereafter by the owner(s)/occupier(s) to the satisfaction of the City;

3.2 Clothes Dryer

Each multiple dwelling shall be provided with a screened outdoor area for clothes drying;

3.3 Residential Car Bays

A minimum of six (6) and two (2) car bays shall be provided for the residents and visitors of the multiple dwellings. The eight (8) car parking spaces provided for the residential component and visitors of the development shall be clearly marked and signposted for the exclusive use of the residents and visitors of the development; and

3.4 Visitor Bays

The car parking area shown for the visitor bays shall be shown as 'common property' on any strata or survey strata subdivision plan for the property;

~~4. The planning approval for this development is valid from the date of issue of the original approval (25 September 2012); and~~

5 4. The development is to comply with all Building, Health, Engineering and Parks Services conditions and requirements to the satisfaction of the City's Acting Chief Executive Officer.

Note: The above Officer Recommendation was corrected and distributed prior to the meeting. Changes are indicated by strike through and underline.

ADVICE NOTES:

1. With regard to condition 1, the owners of the subject land should obtain the consent of the relevant owners of relevant adjoining properties before entering those properties in order to make good the boundary walls;
2. All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennas, satellite dishes, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive from Scott Street;
3. Any new street/front wall, fence and gate within the Scott Street setback area, including along the side boundaries within this street setback area, shall comply with the City's Policy provisions relating to Street Walls and Fences;
4. A Demolition Permit shall be obtained from the City prior to commencement of any demolition works on the site; and
5. No street verge tree(s) shall be removed. The street verge tree(s) is to be retained and protected from any damage including unauthorised pruning.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.4

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Cole

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The proposal is referred to the Council for determination, given it was previously determined by the Council.

BACKGROUND:

Date	Comment
21 October 2008	The Council at its Ordinary Meeting, at the applicant's request, deferred the application for demolition of existing single house and construction of four (4) three-storey grouped dwellings and two (2) single bedroom two-storey grouped dwellings.
18 November 2008	The Council at its Ordinary Meeting conditionally approved the demolition of existing single house and construction of four (4) three-storey grouped dwellings and two (2) single bedroom two-storey grouped dwellings.
11 September 2012	The Council at its Ordinary Meeting conditionally approved demolition of existing single house and construction of two (2) grouped dwellings and two, two storey buildings comprising four (4) single bedroom multiple dwellings, two (2) multiple dwellings and associated car parking. The approval was issued on 25 September 2012.

DETAILS:

Landowner:	D De Fiddes
Applicant:	Gary Batt & Associates
Zoning:	Metropolitan Region Scheme: Urban Town Planning Scheme No. 1 (TPS 1): Residential R40
Existing Land Use:	Single House
Use Class:	Multiple Dwelling, Grouped Dwelling
Use Classification:	"P"
Lot Area:	Lots 13 and 14= 1215 square metres Proposed Lot 1= 245 square metres Proposed Lot 2= 245 square metres Proposed Lot 3= 725 square metres
Right of Way:	Not Applicable.

The minutes of Item 9.1.4 from the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 11 September 2012 are available on the City's website at the following link:

http://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/Your_Council/Agenda_Minutes/Minutes_2012

The Agenda Report on 11 September 2012 outlined that three (3) objections were received relating to high density development, street walls/fences, building height, car parking and concealed roof which were addressed in the consultation/advertising table.

The main difference between the development approved by the Council on 11 September 2012 and this new proposal is that the four (4) single bedroom multiple dwellings, two (2) multiple dwellings have been changed to two (2) single bedroom multiple dwellings, four (4) multiple dwellings. Given the change in the title, the proposal is assessed as a new application. However, it is noted the new proposal is generally the same as the one approved by the Council previously except the following changes:

- The layout of the first floor for the multiple dwellings has been modified. Apartments 4 and 5 have changed from single bedroom to two bedrooms multiple dwellings and also the kitchen and balcony have been relocated to the western boundary.
- A bedroom is added to the first floor of House 1 (grouped dwelling).
- The circular stair has been replaced by straight wall stair.
- It is noted that the changes to the multiple dwellings do not have an impact on the plot ratio. The amended proposal complies with the required plot ratio.

The applicant has provided the following justification for the changes:

"These changes are based on an internal review of the plans (design development) and recommendations from our builder and real estate agent."

ASSESSMENT:

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Initial Assessment

Design Element	Complies 'Deemed-to-Comply' or TPS Clause	OR	'Design Principles' Assessment or TPS Discretionary Clause
Density/Plot Ratio	✓		
Streetscape			Variations to the front setback approved by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.
Front Fence	✓		
Lot Boundary Setbacks			Variations to the lot boundary setbacks approved by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.
Boundary Wall			Variation to the front setback approved by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.
Building Height			Variation to the height approved by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.
Building Storeys	✓		
Roof Forms			Variation to the height approved by Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012
Open Space			✓
Bicycles	✓		
Access & Parking	✓		
Privacy			✓
Solar Access	✓		
Dwelling Size	N/A		
Site Works	✓		
Utilities and Facilities	✓		
Surveillance	✓		
Landscaping			✓
Outdoor Living Areas	✓		

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Detailed Assessment

Issue/Design Element:	Front Setback
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Elements SADC 5 Ground Floor = 4.835 metres First Floor Balcony= 5.835 metres Building= 6.835 metres</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p>Ground Floor = 3.1 metres to 5.2 metres First Floor: Balcony= 3.1 metres Building= 5.24 metres</p>
Performance Criteria:	<p>Residential Design Elements SPC 5 Development is to be appropriately located on site to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain streetscape character; • Ensure the amenity of neighbouring properties is maintained; • Allow for the provision of landscaping and space for additional tree plantings to grow to maturity; • Facilitate solar access for the development site and adjoining properties; • Protect significant vegetation; and • Facilitate efficient use of the site. <p>Variations to the Acceptable Development Criteria relating to upper floor setbacks may be considered where it is demonstrated that the lesser upper floor setbacks incorporate appropriate articulation, including but not limited to; varying finishes and staggering of the upper floor walls to moderate the impact of the building on the existing or emerging streetscape and the lesser setback is integral to the contemporary design of the development.</p>
Applicant's Justification Summary:	<p><i>This proposal will maintain the façade and rhythm of the street which is mostly single dwellings. The homes are contemporary in nature but with a front veranda and low fencing so that they integrate with the more traditional elements of existing houses on Scott Street. This is sympathetic to the streetscape and facilitates community interaction which is important to the surrounding residents. A single crossover will be maintained and all verge trees will be preserved</i></p>
Officer Technical comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed street setbacks are considered supportable in this instance as they maintain the streetscape character and the amenity of the adjoining properties as the two corner sites along the north and southern sides of the street have nil setbacks and one of the single house is setback 4 metres from the street. • It is noted the ground floor varies from 3.1 metres to 5.2 metres which it is considered will not have an undue impact on the existing open streetscape along Scott Street as the buildings are not set close to the street. • The first floor is located directly above the lower floor; the presence of open balconies in this area assists the reduction of bulk to the street and is consistent with maintaining an open streetscape. The upper floor incorporates articulation with open balcony, staggering of the wall, varying materials which minimise the impact on the streetscape and the lesser setback is integral to the contemporary design of the development.

Issue/Design Element:	Front Setback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is also considered the use of vehicular access and parking to the rear of the site, whilst necessitating the design of the building forward of the required front setback, facilitates the most effective layout of uses on the site. The front setback area is proposed to be landscaped accordingly.
Issue/Design Element:	Building Setbacks
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Codes Clause 6.3.1 A1 Lot 3- Multiple Dwellings Ground Floor Western boundary= 1 metre First Floor Southern and northern boundaries = 2.1 metres Western boundary= 4.9 metres Lot 1- Grouped Dwelling Ground Floor Southern boundary= 1.5 metres First Floor Southern boundary= 2 metres Lot 2- Grouped Dwelling Ground Floor Northern boundary= 1.5 metres First Floor Northern boundary= 2.2 metres</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p>Lot 3- Multiple Dwellings Ground Floor Western boundary = 0.9 metre First Floor Southern and northern boundaries = 1.8 metres to 3 metres Western boundary= 3.6 metres to 6 metres Lot 1- Grouped Dwelling Ground Floor Southern boundary= 1 metre to 2.25 metres First Floor Southern boundary= 1 metre to 1.9 metres Lot 2- Grouped Dwelling Ground Floor Northern boundary= 1 metre to 2.25 metres First Floor Northern boundary= Nil to 2.25 metres</p>
Performance Criteria:	<p>Residential Design Codes Clause 6.3.1 P1 Buildings setback from boundaries other than street boundaries so as to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide adequate direct sun and ventilation to the building; ensure adequate direct sun and ventilation being available to adjoining properties; provide adequate direct sun to the building and appurtenant open spaces; assist with protection of access to direct sun for adjoining properties; assist in ameliorating the impacts of building bulk on adjoining properties; and assist in protecting privacy between adjoining properties.

Issue/Design Element:	Building Setbacks
Applicant's Justification Summary:	<i>No specific justification provided by the applicant</i>
Officer technical comment:	<p>The proposed development is considered to comply with the performance criteria in this instance for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The staggered nature of the development from the side/rear boundaries, allows for the provision of adequate daylight, direct sun and ventilation to the proposed dwellings on both the ground and first floors. • The proposed setbacks do not vary significantly from the required setbacks. Therefore it is considered there will no undue impact on the adjoining properties in terms sunlight and ventilation. • The staggering of setbacks that have been provided to the ground and first floors along the southern, northern and western facades allow for a reduction in building bulk to the adjoining properties. • The provision of screening and obscure windows along all facades allows for the retention of privacy to the adjoining property owners from all the dwellings proposed. • The adjoining neighbours to the subject site did not object to the setback variations.

Issue/Design Element:	Boundary Wall
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Codes Clause 6.3.2 A2 Walls not higher than 3.5 metres with an average of 3 metres for two-thirds the length of the balance of the boundary behind the front setback, to one side boundary only.</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p>Three (3) Boundary Walls. <u>Northern and southern boundary walls</u> Average Height= 3.2 metres <u>Western boundary wall</u> Maximum and average heights = 3.6 metres</p>
Performance Criteria:	<p>Residential Design Codes Clause 6.3.2 P2 Buildings built up to boundaries other than the street boundary where it is desirable to do so in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • make effective use of space; or • enhance privacy; or • otherwise enhance the amenity of the development; • not have any significant adverse effect on the amenity of the adjoining property; and • ensure that direct sun to major openings to habitable rooms and outdoor living areas of adjoining properties is not restricted.
Applicant's Justification Summary:	<i>No specific justification provided by the applicant</i>
Officer Technical Comment	<p>The proposed development is considered to comply with the Performance Criteria provisions in this instance as the proposal makes effective use of space, with the proposed boundary walls not occupying the full extent of boundaries; no objections were received by the adjoining neighbours living next to the subject site with respect to the boundary walls.</p>

Issue/Design Element:	Building Height
Requirement:	Residential Design Elements BDADC 5 Two storeys plus loft. Top of external wall (concealed roof): 7.0 metres.
Applicants Proposal:	Stair-well= 9 metres
Performance Criteria:	Residential Design Elements BDPC 5 Building height is to be considered to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limit the height of dwellings so that no individual dwelling dominates the streetscape; • Limit the extent of overshadowing and visual intrusion on private space of neighbouring properties; and • Maintain the character and integrity of the existing streetscape.
Applicant's Justification Summary:	<i>No specific justification provided by the applicant</i>
Officer technical comment:	The proposed development is considered to comply with the performance criteria in this instance for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally the proposed buildings comply with the required 7 metres height except the stair well and shade sails. • The stair well and shade sails will not occupy the whole roof area of the site and are setback significantly from the side/rear boundaries which minimise any visual impact on the adjoining properties. • If the proposal were a building with a pitched roof, the acceptable height would be 9 metres. Therefore the stair-well and shade sails will not have any visual impact on the adjoining properties. • The proposed height is in response to a need for the stair-well to provide more light to the dwellings and allowing access to the roof garden. The shade sail will provide shade to the roof garden during summer time.

Issue/Design Element:	Roof Forms
Requirement:	Residential Design Elements Clause 7.4.3 BDADC 3 Roof Pitch to be 30 - 45 degrees
Applicants Proposal:	Concealed Roof
Performance Criteria:	Residential Design Elements Clause 7.4.3 BDPC 3 The roof of a building is to be designed so that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>It does not unduly increase the bulk of the building;</i> • <i>In areas with recognised streetscape value it complements the existing streetscape character and the elements that contribute to this character; and</i> • <i>It does not cause undue overshadowing of adjacent properties and open space.</i>
Applicant's Justification Summary:	<i>No specific justification provided by the applicant.</i>
Officer technical comment:	The proposed roofing is considered to comply with the Performance Criteria of Clause 7.4.3 <i>Roof Forms</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed roofing is contemporary in nature, and it is argued that the height and bulk of the structure with a skillion roof is less bulky and of a lesser height than what would be allowed if the development were of a pitched roof design. • It is also noted that any overshadowing proposed would be of a greater degree and impact if the development were of a pitched roof format rather than skillion.

Issue/Design Element:	Open Space
Requirement:	House 1= 45 per cent
Applicants Proposal:	House 1= 42.46 per cent
Design Principles:	<p>R-Codes Clause 5.1.4</p> <p>P4 Development incorporates suitable open space for its context to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reflect the existing and/or desired streetscape character or as outlined under the local planning framework; • provide access to natural sunlight for the dwelling; • reduce building bulk on the site, consistent with the expectations of the applicable density code and/or as outlined in the local planning framework; • provide an attractive setting for the buildings, landscape, vegetation and streetscape; • provide opportunities for residents to use space external to the dwelling for outdoor pursuits and access within/around the site; and • provide space for external fixtures and essential facilities.
Applicant justification summary:	<i>“House 1 has 42 per cent open space, but the overall open space for the site is 45 per cent and there is no effect on the overall amenity.”</i>
Officer technical comment:	Supported. Overall the proposed development complies the required 45 per cent of open space. Therefore it is considered that the proposed development will respect the existing or desired future character of the locality, and will provide for the needs of the occupants. In this instance the variation to the open space is supported.

Planning Element:	Landscaping
Requirement:	<p>Multiple Dwellings Policy 7.4.8 Clause 4.2</p> <p>30 per cent of total site for landscaping = 217.5 m² 10 per cent of total site for soft landscaping = 72.5 m² 5 per cent of total site for soft landscaping within the private outdoor living area– 36.25 m²</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p>Multiple Dwellings Policy 7.4.8 Clause 4.2</p> <p>5.8 per cent or 42.53 m²</p> <p>5.8 per cent or 42.53 m²</p> <p>Nil</p>
Comments	Supported. When the Council approved the development at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012, there were no requirements for landscaping. Given this new proposal is generally the same as the one approved by the Council previously, if the applicant is required to comply with the above requirements, it will have a major impact on the layout of the proposal. In this instance it is considered the variation to the landscaping be supported.

Car Parking for the Proposed Multiple Dwellings

Residential Car Parking	
Small Multiple Dwelling (75 square metres or less)- 1 bay per dwelling (6 dwellings)= 6 car bays	Provided 8 car bays (6 Residential/2 visitors)
Visitors= 0.25 per dwelling (6 multiple dwellings) = 1.5 car bays – 2 car bays	
Total= 8 car bays (6 Residential/2 Visitors)	
Shortfall	Nil

Bicycle Parking		
Bicycle Parking	Residential component (as per the R-Codes- 1 bicycle space to each 3 multiple dwellings for residents (6 Dwellings Proposed – 2 bicycle spaces required) and 1 bicycle space to each 10 dwellings for visitors – 6 Dwellings proposed – 0.6 bicycle space required= 1 bicycle space): Two (2) bicycle bays for the residents and one (1) bicycle bay for the visitors.	4 bicycle bays are provided

It is noted that the proposed Two Grouped Dwellings comply with the parking requirements as per Residential Design Codes 2013, with the provision of 2 car parking bays for each grouped dwelling.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Required by legislation:	Yes	Required by City of Vincent Policy:	Yes
--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	-----

Comments Period:	2 December 2013 to 16 December 2013
Comments Received:	During the consultation period two (2) objections were received.

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
Issue: Visual Privacy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern about balcony of Apartment 4 directly overlooking the swimming pool located on the adjoining rear property. 	Supported – If this application is supported the balcony will be required to be screened and any screens shown on the plans will have to comply with the requirements of the Residential Design Codes 2013.
Issue: Boundary wall <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern about boundary wall along the rear boundary which will be located adjacent to back yard/entertaining area of the rear property. 	Not Supported – The boundary wall was supported by the Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter for clarity.

Design Advisory Committee:

Referred to Design Advisory Committee: No.

Given the new proposal does not involve major changes to the plans approved by the Council previously, it was not referred back to the Design Advisory Committee. However, it is noted that the proposal was initially referred to DAC prior to the Council Meeting held on 12 September 2012. In the Agenda Report of 11 September 2012 it was mentioned that the applicant had generally addressed the recommendations of the DAC.

LEGAL/POLICY:

- Planning and Development Act 2005;
- City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1;
- Residential Design Codes of Western Australia 2013;
- Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1; and
- Leederville Precinct Policy No. 7.1.3.
- Multiple Dwellings Policy No. 7.4.8

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Should the Council refuse the application for development approval, the applicant may have the right to have the decision reviewed in accordance with Part 14 of the *Planning and Development Act*.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Natural and Built Environment

1.1 *Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Encourage the incorporation of sustainable design principles and features in existing and new development within the City as standard practice."

The following tables outline the applicable sustainability issues for this proposal:

ENVIRONMENTAL
The introduction in to the design of a light-well opening to the roof space may reduce the reliance on artificial heating, lighting and cooling.
SOCIAL
The proposed development provides opportunities for a greater housing choice within the Leederville area.
ECONOMIC
The construction of the building will provide short term employment opportunities.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

COMMENTS & CONCLUSION:

Heritage Services

Heritage Services have assessed the proposed demolition of the existing single house and advised no objection to the proposal.

In light of the above, an advice note is listed above regarding the requirement of a demolition permit.

Planning

It is considered that the new proposal will not result in any additional impact on the surrounding area. The amendments will not result in an increase in the plot ratio, no additional impact in terms of height, setbacks and overshadowing. The variation to the open space will not impact on the character of the area and the needs of the future residents of these units. The development is proposed in an area experiencing transition from single residential dwellings to a higher density inner city area, and was supported by the Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012.

Conclusion

In light of the above, the application is supportable, given the new proposal will not result in additional impact on the surrounding area from what was approved by the Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on 11 September 2012, comply with the City's Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and the Residential Design Codes Design Principles, it is recommended the proposal be approved, subject to the above-mentioned conditions.

9.1.5 Amendment No. 37 to City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 – Rezone from Residential R80 to Residential R50 in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Cleaver Precinct (P5); Hyde Park Precinct (P12)	File Ref:	PLA0262
Attachments:	001 – Scheme Amendment No. 37 Report 002 – Summary of Submissions Confidential – Hyde Park and Cleaver Precinct Submissions		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	C Smith, Planning Officer (Strategic)		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. Pursuant to section 75 of the Planning and Development Act 2005, **RESOLVES** to **RE-ADVERTISE** Scheme Amendment No. 37 to the City’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1 to:
 - 1.1 Rezone the areas zoned Residential R80 within the Hyde Park Precinct and Cleaver Precinct, where multiple dwellings are currently not permitted, to Residential R50/R80;
 - 1.2 Amend the reference to the zoning in Clause 20(4)(a)(i) and 20(4)(e)(i) of the City’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1 from Residential R80 to Residential R50/R80;
 - 1.3 Include a new Clause 20(4)(a)(iv) and 20(4)(e)(iii) in the City’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1 as follows:

“Dual Coding: Within the area coded R50/R80, development will only be permitted to R80 standards where the existing dwelling is retained”;
 - 1.4 Amend the reference to the zoning in the ‘Additional Information’ boxes of Scheme Map 5 and Scheme Map 12, from Residential R80 to Residential R50/R80; and
 - 1.5 Amend Scheme Map 5 and Scheme Map 12 to make reference to the new clauses 20(4)(a)(iv) and 20(4)(e)(iii) relating to the Dual Coding
2. **ENDORSES** the Scheme Amendment No. 37 Report as shown in Attachment 001;
3. **FORWARDS** the City’s decision to the Western Australian Planning Commission for their information;
4. **REFERS** Scheme Amendment No. 37 to the City’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1, to the Environmental Protection Authority to seek approval prior to advertising; and
5. **APPROVES** the advertising of Scheme Amendment No. 37 to the City’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1 for a period of forty-two (42) days, in accordance with regulation 25 of the Town Planning Regulations 1967 and the City’s Consultation Policy.

The Presiding Member Mayor Carey departed the Chair and Chamber at 6.25pm.

Deputy Mayor Cr Harley assumed the Chair at 6.25pm.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.5

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Topelberg

That the recommendation be adopted.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr McDonald

That the item be DEFERRED to the Council Forum to be held on 20 May 2014.

PROCEDURAL MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (5-0)

(Mayor Carey was absent from the Chamber and did not vote.)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

Presiding Member Mayor Carey assumed the Chair at 6.27pm.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to present the findings from the public consultation period regarding Scheme amendment No. 37 to the City's Town Planning Scheme No. 1.

BACKGROUND:

Scheme Amendment No. 37 originated as a result of the Western Australian Planning Commissions review of State Planning Policy No. 3.1, the Residential Design Codes (R Codes). The reviewed R Codes were gazetted on 2 August 2013 and came into effect on the same day. Prior to 2 August 2013, the areas zoned R80 required an average site area of 180 square metre per lot and a minimum site area of 160 square metres per lot for single house and grouped dwellings. The R Codes review introduced new requirements for single houses and grouped dwellings for the R80 standards. The R80 zone now requires an average site area of 120 square metres per lot and minimum site area of 100 square metres per lot.

Overall, there are 578 lots across the City now able to be subdivided, where previously they were unable to due to minimum lot size restrictions. A significant portion of these lots are located in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts, where multiple dwellings are not permitted. These areas are considered to contain extensive examples of architectural and heritage character including highly intact examples of late nineteenth to early twentieth century residential development. The overall form, style, height, setback and selection of materials of the historic dwellings contribute to the uniformity of the streetscapes, providing a coherent character with a high level of retained original detailing.

The introduction of reduced average and minimum site area requirements for Residential R80 zoned land is considered to significantly impact on the character of some areas within the City zoned R80 where multiple dwellings are not permitted.

On 27 August 2013, Council resolved to further investigate the creation of Heritage Areas in the Hyde Park and Cleaver Precincts and also resolved that City Officers were to advise affected residents of the investigation and seek comment if they would support a down-zoning of their area to R50.

On 8 October 2013, former Councillor Dudley Maier put forward a Notice of Motion, which was then carried, to change the previous Council decision of 27 August 2013. On 8 October 2013 Council resolved as follows:

"Requests the Chief Executive Officer to:

- 4.1 Initiate a scheme amendment to rezone the areas coded R80 and where multiple dwellings are prohibited, to R50;*

- 4.2 *Contact Officers of the Department of Planning/Western Australian Planning Commission, to discuss the possibility of fast tracking the processing of the scheme amendment, as it is aimed at maintaining the status quo and reducing the potential unintended consequences of the new provisions for single and grouped dwellings in areas coded R80; and*
- 4.3 *Provide a report to the council no later than 5 November 2013 concerning this matter.”*

As a result, a Scheme Amendment No. 37 was prepared by City Officers and initiated by the Council on 19 November 2013.

History:

Date	Comment
2 June 2013	The WAPC released a media statement stating the R-Codes have been amended and will be gazetted on 2 August 2013.
9 July 2013	Report to Council outlining the changes to the R-Codes and the possible impacts for the City.
16 July 2013	City Officers presented to a council member forum explaining the changes to the R-Codes.
2 August 2013	Revised R-Codes gazetted and in effect.
3 August 2013	The City held a community forum explaining the impacts of the R-Codes changes. The majority of community participants were in favour of pursuing the introduction of Heritage Areas in the City.
27 August 2013	The outcomes of the forum were presented to Council and further investigation of Heritage Areas was endorsed. It was also resolved that City Officers were to advise affected residents of the investigation and seek comment if they would support a down-zoning of their area to R50.
8 October 2013	A Notice of Motion was put forward and carried, to change the previous Council decision of 27 August 2013. Council resolved to initiate a scheme amendment to rezone the areas coded R80 where multiple dwellings are prohibited, to R50. It was also resolved that Officers would attempt to expedite the amendment process with the Department of Planning/Western Australian Planning Commission.
31 October 2013	The City's Officers contacted the Department of Planning staff and expressed the desire for an expedited amendment process. The Department of Planning Staff indicated that a fast-tracked amendment process is highly unlikely as they are understaffed with a significant backlog of scheme amendments waiting to be processed already.
19 November 2013	Report to Council to initiate Scheme Amendment No. 37 to rezone those areas zoned Residential R80 and where multiple dwellings are not allowed, to Residential R50.
28 January 2014	The public consultation period for Amendment No. 37 commenced.
19 February 2014	Scheme Amendment Information Session. 15 people attended.
11 March 2014	Public consultation period for Scheme Amendment No. 37 closed.

Previous Reports to Council:

This matter was previously reported to the Council on the following dates: 19 November 2013.

The Minutes of Item 9.1.6 from the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 19 November 2013 relating to this report is available on the City's website at the following link:

http://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/Your_Council/Agenda_Minutes.

DETAILS:

Community Consultation and Advertising

Although the Council initiated the amendment in November 2013, the City was unable to advertise over the Christmas and New Year Holiday Period and therefore advertising took place between 28 January 2014 and 11 March 2014.

The City carried out consultation with all affected landowners and occupiers within the subject areas for a period of forty-two (42) days, in line with the Town Planning Regulations 1967 and the City's Community Consultation Policy. The Amendment was advertised on the City's website, in the City's e-newsletter and in The Guardian newspaper once a week for the duration of the public consultation period.

To ensure the Community had an informed understanding of the Amendment and to encourage community participation in the decision making process, the City held a community information night at the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre on 10 February 2014. All affected landowners and occupiers were invited to attend and the event was advertised on the City's website, e-newsletter and on Facebook. Approximately twenty (20) people attended the information session.

During the advertising period 118 submissions were received. Fifty-six (56) submissions supported the amendment and fifty-one (51) submissions objected to the amendment. One (1) submission did not express a comment. Ten (10) submissions were received from Government Authorities neither objecting nor supporting the amendment.

A summary of each submission is included in Attachment 002 – Summary of Submissions. It is noted that the officers have not provided comments to each individual submission in the attachment as generally the comments from the submissions are statements regarding the overall intent of the Amendment which is to remove subdivision potential and retain heritage character. As described below, the City's officers have taken all submissions into account and responded to them by proposing a change within the amendment to a dual coding of R50/R80.

A map showing where the support and where the objections came from is shown in Confidential Attachment 003 and Confidential Attachment 004. The main themes raised by those who supported the Amendment were:

- The R50 zone will assist in retaining the existing amenity and heritage value;
- The R50 zone will assist in retaining backyards and trees;
- There was no consultation for the R80 zone in the first place;
- The R80 zone attracts developers and not occupiers; and
- Parking and infrastructure is already an issue in the area and will be further exacerbated by the R80 zone.

The main issues raised by those who objected to the Amendment were:

- The R50 zone reduces the flexibility for future development and takes away development choice;
- The R50 zone devalues the land;
- The R50 zone will stunt the growth and development of the area;
- Down zoning is against sustainable urban infill development; and
- Down zoning is not the correct planning tool for heritage preservation.

In light of the above, City officers cannot report a clear majority vote either way regarding Amendment No. 37.

However, the outcomes of advertising did reveal several key principles which have assisted in developing an alternative approach to the amendment.

Proposed Amendments for Re-Advertising

As explained in the background of this report, one of the main reasons for initiating the amendment was to ensure the character of the area would not be adversely affected by the ability to subdivide under the new Residential R80 zone lot area requirements. It is assumed that under the R80 zone, because there is increased development potential, there would be more incentive for landowners to demolish the existing residence to maximise development capacity.

Both the Cleaver Precinct and the Hyde Park Precinct contain many intact examples of late nineteenth to early twentieth century residential development. The retention of this historic character was the main issue raised by those who supported the down zoning. Development 'flexibility' and 'choice' were important to those against the down zoning.

One planning tool available to the City is that of a dual R50/R80 coding. Dual Coding is a tool often employed by Local Governments to provide opportunities for the redevelopment of land, to a higher or lower R Code, provided certain requirements are met. In this instance, landowners would be able to develop to the R80 code provided the existing dwelling was retained. A dual code of R50/R80 would also make more efficient use of land near commercial, community and public transport facilities and provide a range of housing types in the area.

Under the new Residential Design Codes, there are 578 lots zoned R80 and with a site area between 240 square metres and 359 square metres which are now able to subdivide. It should be noted that a large majority of these lots have access to a Right of Way which would allow vehicular access for the new dwelling from the rear of the lot.

Furthermore, using Chatsworth Road, Harley Street, Cavendish Street and Lincoln Street as examples, it is visible from the aerial photograph below that there is ample room in the majority of lots to place a new dwelling at the rear of the property while retaining the front house.



It should be noted that any additions to the original dwelling can be removed if need be, in order to assist in complying with site area requirements.

To this effect, a dual coding would allow landowners the flexibility to develop to the R80 potential, whilst also preserving the neighbourhood amenity and value of the area through the retention of the existing housing stock. This would assist in satisfying those in support of the R80 zoning whilst also encouraging the retention of the existing built environment which is recognised as contributing to the cultural heritage and diversity of the City of Vincent.

A Dual Coding of R50/R80 would function as a type of development incentive by allowing development to the R80 potential, where the existing dwelling is retained. This would ensure land owners have the choice of developing their sites, without compromising the heritage character of the area. City Officers would ensure the house is retained during development via a caveat, similar to the Dual Coding in North Perth.

A Dual Coding zone is already in operation in the North Perth Precinct, where some lots are zoned R30/R40. In this area, development is only permitted to the R40 standards if the existing house is retained. The Dual Coding of R50/R80 in the Cleaver and Hyde Park Precincts would operate in the same manner.

Scheme Text

As a result of Amendment No. 37, the Scheme text would be amended as follows:

- New Clauses 20(4)(a)(iv) and 20(4)(e)(iii) would be included in the City's Town Planning Scheme No. 1 to read as follows:

"Dual Coding: Within the area coded R50/R80, development will only be permitted to R80 standards where the existing dwelling is retained."

- Clause 20(4)(a)(i) will be amended to read as follows:

"Multiple Dwellings are not permitted in the areas east of Cleaver Street coded Residential R50/R80 excluding lots which front Newcastle, Charles, Vincent and Cleaver Streets."

- Clause 20(4)(e)(i) will be amended to read as follows:

"Multiple Dwellings are not permitted in the area east of Fitzgerald Street coded Residential R50/R80 excluding the area bounded by Fitzgerald, Randell, Palmerston and Stuart Streets, Perth."

Scheme Maps

The following Town Planning Scheme No. 1 Maps will require amendments as a result of the zoning change:

Cleaver Precinct – Scheme Map 5: The areas currently zoned Residential R80 where multiple dwellings are not permitted, will be rezoned to Residential R50/R80. 'The 'Additional Information' text boxes will need to be amended to read:

- '(i) *Multiple Dwellings are not permitted in the area east of Cleaver Street coded residential R50/R80 excluding lots which front Newcastle, Charles, Vincent and Cleaver Streets.'*
- '(iv) *Dual Coding: Within the area coded R50/R80 development will only be permitted to R80 standards where the existing dwelling is retained.'*

Hyde Park Precinct – Scheme Map 12: The areas currently zoned Residential R80 where multiple dwellings are not permitted, will be rezoned to Residential R50/R80, except for lots facing Bulwer Street. 'The 'Additional Information' text boxes will need to be amended to read:

- '(i) *Multiple Dwellings are not permitted in the area east of Fitzgerald Street coded Residential R50/R80 excluding the area bounded by Fitzgerald, Randell, Palmerston and Stuart Streets, Perth.'*
- '(iii) *Dual Coding: Within the area coded R50/R80 development will only be permitted to the R80 standards where the existing dwelling is retained.*

The Additional Information text boxes refer to Clause 20 of Town Planning Scheme No. 1.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

In relation to Scheme Amendment No. 37, the City will carry out consultation with all affected landowners and occupiers within the subject areas for a period of forty-two (42) days, in line with the Town Planning regulations 1967 and the City's Community Consultation Policy.

The consultation letter will explain the outcomes of the former advertising period and the City's new approach to the amendment.

Whilst it is noted that additional consultation on this matter may create some disconcertion amongst the community, it is considered that due to the significant impact and strong community interest in the proposal, that Council seeks community opinion and input in the decision making process.

LEGAL/POLICY:

- City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and associated Policies;
- Residential Design Codes 2013;
- Planning and Development Act 2005; and
- Town Planning Regulations 1967.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

High: it is considered that the amendments to the R Codes in relation to the introduction of average and minimum site area provisions for areas zoned R80 is a high risk to the community as there are an additional 578 lots in the City that will be able to be subdivided, where previously they were unable to. These lots are located in areas where it is considered to have high levels of character and streetscape value and these provisions may cause great concern for the community.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2017* states:

"Natural and Built Environment:

Objective 1.1: Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.

1.1.1 *Develop and implement a Town Planning Scheme and associated policies, guidelines and initiatives that deliver the community vision.*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City.*

Leadership, Governance and Management:

Objective 4.1: Provide good strategic decision-making, governance, leadership and professional management.

4.1.4 *Plan effectively for the future.*

4.1.5 *Focus on stakeholder needs, values, engagement and involvement."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The following tables outline the applicable sustainability issues for this Amendment:

ENVIRONMENTAL	
Issue	Comment
The Amendment will assist in preserving lot sizes. As a result, environmental impacts as a result of use intensification, (such as increased hardstand area) will be minimal. Additionally, streetscape character, including landscaping and verge plantings, will be maintained.	

SOCIAL	
Issue	Comment
The Amendment will facilitate the City's intention to protect and promote housing and precinct character, and assist in providing a diverse housing choice within the municipality.	

ECONOMIC	
Issue	Comment
The Amendment may assist in preserving and enhancing property values in the precincts, by promoting the retention of architectural character of properties in the area.	

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Expenditure for this matter will be incurred under the following budgeted item:

Town Planning Scheme Amendments and Policies

Budget Amount: \$73,000

Spent to Date: \$21,617

Balance: \$51,383

COMMENTS & CONCLUSION:

Although the Scheme Amendment No. 37 advertising period did not reveal a clear majority for or against the down zoning, it did reveal that heritage retention and development flexibility were among those issues most important to land owners. City Officers recommend that Amendment No. 37 be re-advertised and include a dual coding of R50/R80, which would allow landowners the choice to develop their sites while also maintaining the character of these suburbs.

9.2.1 Reintroduction of Two-Way Traffic on Brisbane Street and William Streets Perth - Progress Report No. 9

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Beaufort (13)	File Ref:	TES0473
Attachments:	001 – Brisbane Reconfiguration (Plan No. 2740-CP-01D) 002 – Brisbane Street Upgrade (Plan No. 3056-CP-01A) 003 – Intersection Brisbane/William (Plan No. 2776-CP-01C) 004 – Brisbane Street Two-Way (Plan No.2740-CP-04) 005 – William Street (Plan No. 2621-CP-01A)		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	R Lotznicker, Director Technical Services C Wilson, Manager Asset and Design		
Responsible Officer:	R Lotznicker, Director Technical Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. NOTES;

1.1 that the Public Transport Authority has signed off on the proposed reconfiguration of Brisbane Street and Beaufort Street as shown on attached Plan No. 2740-CP-01D; and

1.2 the ‘Summary/Way Forward’ table contained in the report on proposed ‘two-way roads’ conversion;

2. **APPROVES IN PRINCIPLE** the proposed Brisbane Street upgrade between Beaufort Street and Stirling Street as shown on attached Plan No. 3056-CP-01A subject to consulting with affected businesses/residents; and

3. **RECIEVES** a further progress report in May 2014.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2.1

Moved Cr Topelberg, **Seconded** Cr Cole

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to update the Council on the progress of the reintroduction of two-way traffic in the City’s of Vincent and Perth streets.

BACKGROUND:

Eight (8) progress reports on the two-way streets proposal have previously been considered by the Council. Progress report No. 8 outlined the chronological order of the relevant clauses taken from the previous Council decisions specific to the two-way streets proposals.

Ordinary Meeting of Council 25 June 2013:

The Council decision in progress report No. 8 is outlined below:

“That the Council;

1. *SUPPORTS IN PRINCIPLE, the following actions to be undertaken in the 2013/2014 financial year;*
 - 1.1 *the conversion of William Street between Brisbane Street and Newcastle Street to two-way to match the existing two-way road system within the City of Perth (south of Newcastle Street) as shown on attachment 9.2.3E;*
 - 1.2 *the amended ‘reconfiguration’ of Brisbane Street between Beaufort Street and Stirling Street as shown on attachment 9.2.3C;*
 - 1.3 *the amended ‘draft’ changes to the Brisbane/Beaufort Street intersection as shown on 9.2.3F; and*
 - 1.4 *the conversion of Brisbane Street between William and Beaufort Street to two-way; and*
2. *NOTES;*
 - 2.1 *the information contained in the report regarding the progress to date with the conversion of one way roads to two-way in both the City’s of Vincent and Perth; and*
 - 2.2 *that while there is no specific budget allocation in the 2013/2014 budget to undertake the works, as mentioned in clause 1.1 existing funds are being carried forward (from 2012/2013) which can be used to undertake the required works, as outlined in the report; and*
3. *RECEIVES a further detailed progress report on the final designs and estimated costs to implement all of the works as outlined in Clause 1 above.”*

DETAILS:

Reconfiguration of the Intersection Beaufort and Brisbane Street – Update:

As previously reported to the Council (25 June 2013) PTA wanted to maintain the existing William Street bus services to Brisbane Street, and was seeking to have the above intersection designed so that buses could turn right into Beaufort Street (south).

Due to the exorbitant cost of relocating an existing Telstra Service Pit, PTA acknowledged that a ‘bus-only right turn lane’ was no longer feasible and that the east bound lane(s) in Brisbane Street would have to be open to general traffic.

Officers Comments:

The Council previously supported the amended ‘draft’ changes to the Brisbane/Beaufort Street intersection. The City’s officers and PTA have now agreed to an alternate design for the intersection as shown on attached Plan No. 2740-CP-01D and it is intended that the work be implemented in the next few months.

Brisbane Street – Stirling Street to Beaufort Street:

As previously reported to the Council prior to Beaufort Street being converted to two-way traffic, Brisbane Street between Beaufort and Stirling Streets, was a one-way street east bound.

For the duration of the Beaufort Street works Brisbane Street has been closed to traffic (at Beaufort Street).

The impact of the road closure has been minimal with only one (1) complaint being received.

The closure has resulted in simplified and safer intersection as it has eliminated a traffic movement thereby reducing the signals phasing and cycle time. The proposal also provides a fully protected (i.e. all traffic stops) east-west pedestrian crossing on the southern side of the intersection and by virtue of the road closure a protected pedestrian north-south crossing on the eastern side.

Officers Comments:

The Council previously supported the amended 'reconfiguration' of Brisbane Street between Beaufort and Stirling Streets. Now that PTA has signed off on the Brisbane/Beaufort Street intersection design, it is recommended that the affected businesses/residents be consulted regarding formalising this proposal. Funds have been allocated in the 2014/2015 draft budget for road resurfacing and greening of the street.

Intersection Brisbane Street/William Street:

A traffic signal design for this intersection is currently being finalised. Once this has been completed the intersection will be reconfigured as shown on attached Plan No. 2776-CP-01C.

Officers Comments:

The intersection modification works can be commenced/completed and Brisbane Street between William and Beaufort Streets can remain one-way until all of the other works have been completed.

Brisbane Street two-way – Beaufort Street to William Street:

Brisbane Street will not be converted to two-way traffic until all the intersection works have been completed i.e. at Brisbane/Beaufort, Brisbane/William and William/Newcastle (refer Plan No. 2740-CP-04).

Officers Comments:

Greening of the street (centrally planted trees) will be implemented in 2014/2015 and will be funded from the greening budget.

William Street – Brisbane to Newcastle Street:

In April 2013, the City of Perth converted William Street, from Roe to Newcastle Streets, to two-way traffic.

Officers met with City of Perth in late March 2014 to discuss the scope of works required at the Newcastle/William Intersection.

Most of the infrastructure is now in place requiring only some minor traffic signal modification at the intersection to facilitate the conversion from one-way to two-way.

The civil works required are relatively minor. Robinson Avenue, between Brisbane Place and William Street (adjacent to the Mosque), is currently one-way with a right turn out (south) only. This would be modified to a left turn out (north) only to eliminate the potential for right angled accidents. The opposite leg of Robinson Avenue (east of William Street) would remain as is, left turn out (south) only.

Similarly, Monger Street would remain left turn in (east) only, and continue to be one-way to Money Street.

Forbes Road would retain the right and left turns in and out, which would be reviewed after a 'settling in' period as there is potential for it to create traffic congestion and road safety concerns.

Washing Lane would be restricted to the left turn out only given its proximity to a signalised intersection and as per the concept plan previously approved by the Council.

Officers Comments:

Once the intersections of Brisbane/Beaufort and William/Brisbane have been modified (signal works etc) the William Street works (as outlined above) would be undertaken.

Summary/Way Forward:

Task	Project	Proposal	Timing	Comments
1	Brisbane/Beaufort Street Intersection	To be reconfigured as shown on Plan No. 2740-CP-01D	May/June 2014	Once works have been completed widening will be cordoned off until Brisbane street reverts to two-way.
2	Brisbane to Beaufort to Stirling	One way west to east with 90 degree angle parking/street permanently blocked off at Beaufort Street as shown on Plan No. 3056-CP-01A	May 2014	Consultation with the affected businesses/residents required. Scope for greening
3	Brisbane/William Street Intersection	To be reconfigured as shown on Plan No. 2776-CP-01C	May/June 2014	Once works have been completed widening will be cordoned off until Brisbane street reverts to two-way.
4	William Street/Newcastle Street	Signal modifications required – to be funded by COP	June 2014	Will be implemented but will not be activated until the William Street minor works have been completed.
5	William Street	Minor works as shown on Plan No. 2621-CP01A	May/June 2014	Completed ready for two-way conversion
<u>Two-way conversion</u>		Following the completion of Tasks 1 to 5 a date will be set for a co-ordinated conversion from one-way to two-way of Brisbane Street, William to Beaufort Streets and William Street, Brisbane to Newcastle Streets.		

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

It is recommended that businesses/residents in Brisbane Street and Newcastle Street between Beaufort and Stirling Streets be consulted regarding the proposal as shown on attached Plan No. 3056-CP-01A.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Brisbane, William and Beaufort Streets to Newcastle Street, are District Distributor A roads under the care, control and management of the City of Vincent.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In accordance with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2023*, Objective 1 states:

"1.1: Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.

1.1.4 Enhance and maintain the City's infrastructure, assets and community facilities to provide a safe, sustainable and functional environment.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Providing improved public transport access.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Funds remaining in the City's budget are as follows:

Beaufort/Brisbane Intersection Improvements*	\$234,000
Brisbane Street, Beaufort Street to William Street:	\$135,000
Beaufort Street, Brisbane Street to Parry Street**:	\$160,000

Note: * PTA have committed to funding a portion of these works.

**A 2/3 State to 1/3 Local Government funded project.

A full costing will be provided once the traffic signal modification works have been determined.

COMMENTS:

The City is getting closer to converting William and Brisbane Street to two-way. The report outlines what is required and maps out a way forward.

9.1.2 No. 199 (Lot 176; D/P: 1791) Scarborough Beach Road, Corner of Kalgoorlie Street, Mount Hawthorn – Proposed Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Construction of Two-Storey Single House with Loft

Ward:	North	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Mount Hawthorn; P1	File Ref:	PRO5277; 5.2013.290.1
Attachments:	001 – Property Information Report and Development Application Plans 002 – Applicants Justification 003 – Previous Council Approval – Ordinary Meeting of Council – 12 July 2011		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	A Dyson, Senior Planning Officer (Statutory)		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1, **APPROVES** the application submitted by A Petrovski on behalf of the owner L Del Borello, for Proposed Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Construction of Two-Storey Single House with Loft at No. 199 (Lot 176; D/P 1791) Scarborough Beach Road, Corner of Kalgoorlie Street, Mount Hawthorn as shown on the plans stamp dated 11 July 2013, and amended plans stamp-dated 8 October 2013 and 1 April 2014, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owners of the subject land shall finish and maintain the surface of the boundary (parapet) walls facing No. 201 Scarborough Beach Road, Mount Hawthorn in a good and clean condition. The finish of the walls is to be fully rendered or face brickwork;
2. No street verge tree(s) shall be removed. The street verge tree(s) shall be retained and protected from any damage including unauthorised pruning;
3. **PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF A BUILDING PERMIT**, revised plans shall be submitted and approved demonstrating the following;

3.1 Visual Privacy Screening

The balcony opening above the garage on the southern elevation shall be non-openable to a minimum height of 1.6 metres above the finished first floor level and the ground floor balcony at any point within the cone of vision less than 6.0 metres from a neighbouring boundary, shall be screened to the requirements of the Residential Design Codes November 2013; OR prior to the issue of a Building Permit, revised plans shall be submitted demonstrating the balcony being provided with permanent vertical screening or equivalent, preventing direct line of sight within the cone of vision to ground level of the adjoining properties in accordance with the Residential Design Codes.

All screens provided shall comply with the definition of the Residential Design Codes 2013; and

4. The development is to comply with all Building, Health, Engineering and Parks Services conditions and requirements to the satisfaction of the City.

ADVICE NOTES:

1. All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennas, satellite dishes, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive from Kalgoorlie Street and Scarborough Beach Road;
2. With regard to condition 1 above, the owners of the subject land shall obtain the consent of the owners of relevant adjoining properties before entering those properties in order to make good the boundary wall; and

3. Any new street/front wall, fence and gate within the Scarborough Beach Road setback areas, including along the side boundaries within these street setback areas, shall comply with the City's Policy provisions relating to Street Walls and Fences.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.2

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Wilcox

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Harley

That the item be DEFERRED to deal with the impact of the lift on the roof form.

PROCEDURAL MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

This proposal requires referral to the Council given the number of objections (7) received during the community consultation process.

BACKGROUND:

12 July 2011	The City at its Ordinary Meeting of Council conditionally approved the Demolition of an Existing Dwelling and the Construction of a Two Storey Residential Dwelling with Loft
--------------	---

DETAILS:

Landowner:	L Del Borello
Applicant:	A Petrovski
Zoning:	Metropolitan Region Scheme: Urban Town Planning Scheme No. 1 (TPS 1): Residential R60
Existing Land Use:	Single House
Use Class:	Single House
Use Classification:	"P"
Lot Area:	541 square metres
Right of Way:	N/A

The proposal involves the demolition of the existing dwelling and construction of a two-storey single house with loft. The single house includes a garage with balcony above to the rear of the property with a bridge which attaches to the dwelling. The subject property is on the north-west corner of Scarborough Beach Road and Kalgoorlie Street.

This development application is an amendment to a lapsed development application that was approved at the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 12 July 2011. The amendments to the previous approval include the following:

- Addition of lift to loft;
- Amendments to street elevations;
- Amendment to roof design to accommodate lift.

ASSESSMENT:

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Initial Assessment

Design Element	Deemed to Comply or TPS Clause	OR	Design Principles or TPS Discretion Clause
Density	N/A		
Streetscape	✓		
Front Fence	✓		
Roof Forms			✓
Street Setback			✓
Lot Boundary Setbacks			✓
Boundary and Retaining Walls			✓
Building Height			✓
Building Storeys	✓		
Loft			✓
Carports and Garages	✓		
Garage width	✓		
Open Space	✓		
Bicycles	N/A		
Access & Parking	✓		
Privacy	✓		
Solar Access	✓		
Site Works	✓		
Essential Facilities	✓		
Surveillance	✓		

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Detailed Assessment

Issue/Design Element:	Roof Forms
Requirement:	Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 BDADC 3 The use of roof pitches between 30 degrees and 45 degrees (inclusive) being encouraged.
Applicants Proposal:	15-25 degrees
Design Principles:	Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 BDPC 3 The roof of a building is to be designed so that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It does not unduly increase the bulk of the building; • In areas with recognised streetscape value it complements the existing streetscape character and the elements that contribute to this character; and • It does not cause undue overshadowing of adjacent properties and open space.
Applicants Justification	<i>"The design of the upper section of roof over the portico has been reduced to 15 degrees to lessen the impact of the streetscape, as above this occupies a small proportion of the streetscape. The total height impact has been reduced as the Council footpath sits approximately 0.6 metres higher than the centre line of the block from where the height of 9.55 was obtained. This new height of approximately 8.70 is shown on the plans.</i>
Officer Technical Comment	Supported. The proposed roof maintains the appearance of a standard pitched roof design and is not considered out of context along the Kalgoorlie Street or Scarborough Beach Road frontages.

Issue/Design Element:	Street Setbacks
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Elements Policy 7.2.1 Clause SADC 5 Front Setback Lower Floor - 4.5 metres Upper Floor- 4.5 metres plus 1.0 metre (Front Setback of 5.5 metres) for Balcony 2.0 metres (6.5 metres) for Upper Storey</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p>Lower Floor - 2.9 metres – 6.8 metres</p> <p>Balcony – 3.6 metres – 6.0 metres</p> <p>Upper Floor - 3.4 metres – 3.6 metres</p>
Design Principles:	<p>Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 SPC 5</p> <p>(i) Development is to be appropriately located on site to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain streetscape character; • Ensure the amenity of neighbouring properties is maintained; • Allow for the provision of landscaping and space for additional tree plantings to grow to maturity; • Facilitate solar access for the development site and adjoining properties; • Protect significant vegetation; and • Facilitate efficient use of the site. <p>(ii) Variations to the Acceptable Development Criteria relating to upper floor setbacks may be considered where it is demonstrated that the lesser upper floor setbacks incorporate appropriate articulation, including but not limited to; varying finishes and staggering of the upper floor walls to moderate the impact of the building on the existing or emerging streetscape and the lesser setback is integral to the contemporary design of the development.</p>
Applicants Justification	<i>Nil</i>
Officer Technical Comment	<p>Supported. The lots along Scarborough Beach Road and between Kalgoorlie and Buxton Streets are truncated along the Scarborough Beach Road frontage. The proposed setbacks are considered appropriate and are consistent with the existing two adjoining properties to the west. It is considered the upper storey is well articulated and features windows and interest along the façade. It is also considered that given the unusual nature of the street frontage, the required upper storey setback is supported.</p> <p>It is noted the proposed front setback has not been amended from the previous Council approval.</p>

Issue/Design Element:	Lot Boundary Setback and Boundary Walls/Retaining Walls
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Codes Clause 5.3.8 C8.1 and 5.1.3 <u>Boundary walls + Retaining walls:</u> Retaining walls 0.5 metres on the boundary and greater in height are required to be set back from lot boundaries in accordance with the setback provisions of Table 1.</p> <p><u>Boundary walls:</u> In areas coded R30 and higher, walls not higher than 3.5m with an average of 3m for two-thirds the length of the balance of the lot boundary behind the front setback, to one side boundary only.</p> <p>Residential Codes Clause 5.1.3 Lower Southern (Garage to Store) 1.0 Metres</p> <p>Portico (Eastern Side–Kalgoorlie Street Elevation) - 1.5 metres</p> <p>Upper Western (Balance – Whole Length) 2.0 metres</p> <p>Eastern (Retreat) Minimum 1.5 metres plus 0.5 metres behind lower floor (2.2 metres)</p> <p>(Void) Minimum 1.5 metres plus 0.5 metres behind lower floor (3.3 metres)</p>
Applicants Proposal:	<p><u>Boundary walls + Retaining walls:</u> 0.6 metres maximum (western boundary) – Nil Setback; and 0.6 metres maximum (southern boundary) – Nil Setback</p> <p><u>Boundary walls</u> Two Side Boundaries Western Boundary (Garage) Average Building Height – 3.2 metres Maximum Building Height- 3.6 metres</p> <p><u>Side Setbacks</u> <u>Lower Floor</u> Southern (Garage to Store) Nil</p> <p>Portico (Eastern Side–Kalgoorlie Street Elevation) 1.2 metres</p> <p><u>Upper Floor</u> Western (Balance – Whole Length)- 2.4 metres</p> <p>Eastern (Retreat) 1.7 metres</p> <p>(Void) 2.8 metres</p>

Issue/Design Element:	Lot Boundary Setback and Boundary Walls/Retaining Walls
	<p>The proposed western boundary parapet wall (garage) proposes a minor height variation to the average height requirements of the Residential Design Codes. It is considered that the variation is minimal and will not be detrimental to the adjoining property given the orientation of the lots.</p> <p>The proposed retaining walls on the western boundary of the property are a maximum of 0.6 metres in height for a small portion of the overall length of wall to a maximum length of 1.5 metres. It is considered that given the retaining wall is only marginally over the maximum height permitted, there will be no significant impact to the adjoining property.</p>

Issue/Design Element:	Building Height
Requirement:	<p>Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 Clause BDADC 5 Maximum Building Height – 9.0 metres to Ridge</p>
Applicants Proposal:	9.7 metres
Design Principles:	<p>Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 BDPC 5</p> <p>(i) Building height is to be considered to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limit the height of dwellings so that no individual dwelling dominates the streetscape; • Limit the extent of overshadowing and visual intrusion on the private space of neighbouring properties; and • • Maintain the character and integrity of the existing streetscape.
Applicant justification summary:	<i>Nil</i>
Officer technical comment:	<p>Supported. The proposed maximum height proposed to the pitch, provides for a 0.7 metre variation. This is mainly generated by the proposed loft area of the dwelling which has been designed to ensure the area is enclosed within the proposed roof space. The main portion of which is located to the front third of the lot, with the remainder of the building near compliant to the 9.0 metre ridge height requirement.</p> <p>It is considered that along Scarborough Beach Road, whilst the majority of properties which front the street are setback behind solid fences and are single storey, the potential intrusion of any new two-storey dwelling along the streetscape is not considered unreasonable. Furthermore, the subject property is adjacent to Kalgoorlie Street, Braithwaite Park, abuts a driveway, and a Church, which ameliorates the potential impact of height.</p> <p>This height of 9.7 metres was previously approved by the City at the Ordinary Meeting of Council in July 2011.</p>

Issue/Design Element:	Lofts
Requirement:	Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 BDADC 6 To be contained in a roof space – 35-45 degrees
Applicants Proposal:	15 degrees
Design Principles:	Residential Design Elements Policy No. 7.2.1 BDPC 6 BDPC 6 (i) The use of space within the roof space for habitable purposes is encouraged in lieu of a second storey, particularly in streetscapes that comprise predominantly single storey dwellings.
Applicants Justification	<i>Nil</i>
Officer Technical Comment	Supported. It is considered the proposed roof design maintains the loft appearance and is not considered to be detrimental to the design of the dwelling.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Required by legislation:	No	Required by City of Vincent Policy:	Yes
Comments Period:	29 October 2013 to 12 November 2013.		
Comments Received:	Seven (7) objections.		

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
<p>Issue: Front Setbacks The front setbacks proposed should not be supported in lieu of the requirement. The reduced setback inhibits the provision of adequate sightlines for motorists around this corner.</p>	<p>Not supported. It is considered the proposed design maintains the staggered frontage along the Scarborough Beach Road frontage. The proposed setbacks are considered appropriate and are consistent with the existing two adjoining properties to the west. It is considered the upper storey is well articulated and features windows and interest along the façade. It is also considered that given the unusual nature of the street frontage, the required upper storey setback is supported.</p> <p>It is noted the proposed front setback has not been amended from the previous Council approval in July 2011. The City's Technical Services has advised that sight lines are adequate.</p>
<p>Issue: Height and Scale Concern that the proposed height of the construction is inappropriate for the site and adjoining properties, also note the dwelling is a third storey rather than a loft. The height does not fit in with the surrounding area.</p> <p>Concern over the bulky appearance of the dwelling to the adjoining property owners.</p> <p>Concern in relation to the loss of light from the scale of the development abutting.</p>	<p>Not supported. The proposed maximum height proposed to the pitch, provides for a 0.7 metre variation. This is generated by the proposed loft area of the dwelling, which has been designed to ensure the area is contained within the proposed roof space.</p> <p>It is considered that along Scarborough Beach Road, the majority of properties are barely visible behind solid fencing, whereby the potential intrusion of any new dwelling along the streetscape is therefore increased. Furthermore, along the Kalgoorlie Street frontage, the presence of a park to the east of the subject site along with a driveway and Church to the south ameliorates the potential impact of height.</p>

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
	It is considered the adjoining properties to the west sit approximately 1.6 metres lower than the subject lot, which along with the height of a standard dividing fence, will create loss of morning sun from the east. However, given the blocks orientation (north/south), and given the calculation of overshadowing as per the Residential Design Codes, the proposal complies with the overshadowing requirements as per the Residential Design Codes.
<p>Issue: Privacy Concern that the proposed balconies will allow for overlooking of the adjoining properties. Concern that due to the build up of the ground levels of the property, which the dining room will allow for a view of the adjoining properties.</p>	Not supported. The applicant has amended the western elevation of the proposed plans to comply with the privacy requirements of the Residential Design Codes. The proposed western face of the proposed balconies is required to be screened to comply with the privacy provisions of the Residential Design Codes. The proposed design room window will be offset by the presence of a boundary dividing fence.
<p>Issue: Boundary Fencing Concern with possible removal of boundary fence and the cost of a new fence. Also concerns in relation to possible asbestos dust and fibres from the demolition of the existing dwelling. Request assurance that if any dividing fencing is removed from the boundary that the properties are safely secured during the building process.</p>	Noted. Any removal of asbestos must be done so in accordance with the applicable Environmental Health requirements. Any removal of the dividing fences must be carried out in accordance with the dividing fences act and in consultation with the adjoining land owners, which involves the securing of fencing for the adjoining landowners whilst the construction process is in place.
<p>Issue: Air Conditioning Concern over the location of air conditioning units – via noise, visual amenity and hot air expelled by these units. Would applicant consider the units be placed in the recessed area for dining room, which would reduce noise and heat generation to western fence or alternatively the front of the block.</p>	Noted. Any noise emitted by the air conditioners are to be in accordance with the Environmental Noise Regulations.
<p>Issue: Parapet Wall Concern with parapet wall proposed and specifically due to the fact the southern wall abuts an existing driveway, which provides access to the units of the adjoining property. Concern that the property owners of the adjoining property, if they hit this wall will pose significant impacts to the use of the driveway and units abutting the south west corner of the block.</p>	Not Supported. The proposed southern parapet wall abuts the driveway area of the adjoining property to the south and, therefore, it is considered that the wall will not detrimentally affect the adjoining property. The proposed western boundary parapet wall (garage) proposes a minor height variation to the average height requirements of the Residential Design Codes. It is considered that the variation is minimal and will not be detrimental to the adjoining property given the orientation of the lots.
<p>Issue: Traffic Concern over the impact of traffic whilst the development is under construction.</p>	Noted. All access to the site is to be managed in accordance with the Building Permit.

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter for clarity.

Design Advisory Committee:

Referred to Design Advisory Committee: No

LEGAL/POLICY:

City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and associated Policies.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Should the Council refuse the application for development approval, the applicant may have the right to have the decision reviewed in accordance with Part 14 of the *Planning and Development Act*.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Natural and Built Environment

1.1 *Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Encourage the incorporation of sustainable design principles and features in existing and new development within the City as standard practice".

The following tables outline the applicable sustainability issues for this proposal:

ENVIRONMENTAL	
Issue	Comment
The design of the dwelling allows for adequate natural light and cross ventilation.	

SOCIAL	
Issue	Comment
The proposal will contribute to the variety of housing available in the City.	

ECONOMIC	
Issue	Comment
The construction of the building will provide short term employment opportunities.	

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

COMMENTS & CONCLUSION:

Technical Services

The City's Technical Services have advised that the existing street tree located along the Kalgoolie Street frontage is to remain, and the proposed driveway is to be constructed to ensure appropriate access is provided.

Conclusion

It is considered the proposed development presents an extensive two-storey dwelling to the site and will be highly visible along the Scarborough Beach Road frontage, but reinvigorate the site. It is noted however, that given the unique nature of the subject property, located along a secondary street and bounded by Scarborough Beach Road to the north, a public park to the east along with a church to the south, any significant development of the site may be supported. Whilst it is noted the proposed maximum ridge height is a 0.7 metre variation to the City's Policies, the location of the property along a District Distributor (A) road will partly ameliorate it. In addition, it is considered the proposed front and side setbacks and the articulated design of the dwelling allow for minimum impact to the front and secondary street frontages.

The concerns of the adjoining owners to the west of the site are noted; however any development of a two storey dwelling to the site will provide some impact in terms of additional bulk and scale to the existing single storey dwelling located there. The design has incorporated an articulated design along the western façade of the dwelling to reduce the bulk of the building where possible, as well as concentrating the maximum height from the loft area to a small section of the overall site.

In light of the above, it is considered that the proposed two-storey with loft dwelling, be supported, subject to the conditions recommended above.

9.1.3 Nos. 423-425 (Lot 4; D/P: 7426) William Street, Perth – Change of Use from Shop to Lodging House (Backpackers) including Additions and Alterations to Existing Building

Ward:	South	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Beaufort; P13	File Ref:	PRO5652; 5.2013.396.1
Attachments:	001 – Property Information Report and Development Application Plans 002 – Applicant’s Justification 003 – Applicant’s Submission		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	T Elliott, Planning Officer (Statutory)		
Responsible Officer:	P Mrdja, Acting Director Planning Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1, APPROVES the application submitted by Ottofirm Pty Ltd on behalf of the owners, P L Kan for Proposed Change of Use from Shop to Lodging House (Backpackers) including Additions and Alterations to Existing Building at Nos. 423-425 (LOT 4; D/P: 7426) William Street, Perth, as shown on plans stamp-dated 10 September 2013, subject to the following conditions:

1. The lodging house shall accommodate a maximum of six (6) bedrooms and 35 lodgers at any one time;
2. The keeper of a Lodging House accommodation must reside on site at all times while the Lodging House is in operation;
3. The subject premises shall be used at all times as a Lodging House as defined by the Town’s Town Planning Scheme No. 1, Health Act 1911 and the City of Vincent Health Local Law 2004. The premises shall not be used for any other purpose, such as an Institutional Building, without a Planning Approval being applied to and obtained from the City prior to commencement of such use;
4. The approval is valid for twelve (12) months only from the date of approval. Should the applicant wish to continue the use after that period, it shall be necessary to reapply to and obtain approval from the City prior to continuation of the use;
5. **WITHIN TWENTY-EIGHT (28) DAYS OF THE ISSUE DATE OF THIS ‘APPROVAL TO COMMENCE DEVELOPMENT’**, the owner(s) or the applicant on behalf of the owner(s) shall comply with the following requirements:

5.1 Cash-in-Lieu

Pay a cash-in-lieu contribution of \$10,410 for the equivalent value of 2.082 car parking spaces, based on the cost of \$5,000 per bay as set out in the City’s 2013/2014 Budget; OR

lodge an appropriate assurance bond/bank guarantee of a value of \$10,410 to the satisfaction of the City. This assurance bond/bank guarantee will only be released in the following circumstances:

- 5.1.1 To the City at the date of issue of the Building Permit for the development, or first occupation of the development, whichever occurs first; or
- 5.1.2 to the owner(s)/applicant following receipt by the City of a Statutory Declaration of the prescribed form endorsed by the owner(s)/applicant and stating that they will not proceed with the subject ‘Approval to Commence Development’; or
- 5.1.3 to the owner(s)/applicant where the subject ‘Approval to Commence Development’ did not commence and subsequently expired.

The car parking shortfall and consequent cash-in-lieu contribution can be reduced as a result of a greater number of car bays being provided on-site and to reflect the new changes in the car parking requirements.

6. Prior to the submission of an Occupancy Permit, the following shall be completed to the satisfaction of the City;

6.1 **Bicycle Bays**

Four (4) Class 3 bicycle bays respectively for the residents and visitors of the residential component shall be provided. Bicycle bays for visitors must be provided at a location convenient to the entrance, publically accessible and within the development and bicycle bays for the residents and employees must be located within the development. The bicycle facilities shall be designed in accordance with AS2890.3.

7. Upon the submission of a Building Approval Certificate and Occupancy Permit, a Lodging House Management plan shall be submitted and approved by the City's Manager Health Services, outlining the following:

7.1 **Procedures on how to Manage:**

- 7.1.1 **Emergencies – In the event of a fire; including the provision of up to date/current Emergency Evacuation Plans that represent current floor layout and exit paths, for all rooms;**
- 7.1.2 **Bed bugs and other vermin;**
- 7.1.3 **Quarantine and reporting of lodgers that are unwell with an infectious disease to the City's Health Services;**
- 7.1.4 **Antisocial behaviour;**
- 7.1.5 **Noise generated by lodgers;**
- 7.1.6 **Complaints by occupants of neighbouring properties;**
- 7.1.7 **Waste generated at the property; and**
- 7.1.8 **Keeping of dormitories and rooms.**

8. **Waste Management Plan**

8.1 A Waste Management Plan is to be submitted to the City's Director Technical Services within twenty-one (21) days of approval. This plan is to include the number of waste and recycle bins required for use of the development, where the required bins are to be stored, will the City or private contractor services be used for the collection of the bins, and the collection area for the bins; and

8.2 A bin store is required to be provided, of sufficient size to accommodate the City's maximum bin requirement, as assessed by the City's Director Technical Services, prior to the submission of a Building Permit;

9. **Building Approval Certificate**

A Building Approval Certificate Application along with structural details certified by a Practising Structural Engineer and a report from FESA and Independent Fire Consultant certifying the building complies with current BCA Fire regulations, including plans and specifications of the subject unauthorised modifications shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Vincent Building Services as required under the Building Act 2011; and

10. The development is to comply with all Building, Health, Engineering and Parks Services conditions and requirements to the satisfaction of the City's Acting Chief Executive Officer.

ADVICE NOTES:

1. All signage that does not comply with the City's Policy No. 3.5.2 relating to Signs and Advertising shall be subject to a separate Planning Application, and all signage shall be subject to a separate Building Permit application, being submitted to and approved by the City prior to the erection of the signage;

2. All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennas, satellite dishes, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive from William Street; and
3. No street verge tree(s) shall be removed. The street verge tree(s) is to be retained and protected from any damage including unauthorised pruning.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1.3

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

“That Clause 4 be amended to read as follows:

4. The approval is valid for ~~twelve~~ thirty six (12-36) months only from the date of approval. Should the applicant wish to continue the use after that period, it shall be necessary to reapply to and obtain approval from the City prior to continuation of the use;

Debate ensued.

**AMENDMENT PUT AND LOST ON THE
CASTING VOTE OF THE PRESIDING MEMBER (3-4)**

For: Cr McDonald, Cr Topelberg and Cr Wilcox

Against: Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey (two votes – deliberative and casting vote), Cr Cole and Cr Harley

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND LOST UNANIMOUSLY (0-6)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

REASONS FOR REFUSAL OF OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

In appropriate change of use for the site, which would increase parking issues in the area.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The application is referred to the Council for determination given the proposal relates to an ‘SA’ use whereby six (6) objections were received.

BACKGROUND:

History:

Date	Comment
23 October 2012	A development application for the proposed Demolition of Existing Building and Construction of Four Storey Mixed Use Development Comprising of Two (2) Shops, Six (6) Multiple Dwellings, Two (2) Single Bedroom Multiple Dwellings with Associated Car Parking at No. 423-425 William Street, Perth, was not proceeded with and deemed cancelled.
2 September 2013	A development application for the proposed Change of Use from Shop to Lodging House Including alterations and additions at No. 423-425 William Street, Perth, was not proceeded with and was deemed cancelled.

DETAILS:

Landowner:	P L Kan
Applicant:	Ottofirm Pty Ltd
Zoning:	Town Planning Scheme No. 1 (TPS1): Commercial
Existing Land Use:	Shop
Use Class:	Lodging House
Use Classification:	'SA'
Lot Area:	425 square metres
Right of Way:	N/A

The City received a development application on 10 September 2013 for the proposed Change of Use from Shop to Lodging House (Backpackers) including additions and alterations to the existing building.

A total of thirty-five (35) beds are proposed for the lodging house (Backpackers) within six (6) bedrooms. The layout also includes area for a keeper and a reception/foyer to welcome guests. As noted in the applicant's submission in attachment 2, the proposed backpackers is to be operated by an owner and keeper and open 24 hours and 7 days per week. There is no car parking provided on-site.

ASSESSMENT:

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Initial Assessment

Design Element	Complies 'Deemed-to-comply' or TPS Clause	OR	'Design Principles' Assessment or TPS Discretionary Clause
Density/Plot Ratio	N/A		
Streetscape	N/A		
Front Fence	N/A		
Roof Forms	N/A		
Front Setback	N/A		
Lot Boundary Setbacks	N/A		
Boundary Wall	N/A		
Building Height	N/A		
Building Storeys	N/A		
Carports and Garages	N/A		
Garage Width	N/A		
Open Space	N/A		
Bicycles			✓
Access & Parking			✓
Privacy	N/A		
Solar Access	N/A		
Site Works	N/A		
Essential Facilities	N/A		
Surveillance	N/A		
Communal Open Space	✓		

Town Planning Scheme/R Codes/Residential Design Element's Detailed Assessment

Car Parking Calculation	
Existing Car parking requirement (nearest whole number) • Shop – 1 space per 20m ² NLA (105m ²) - 5.25 car parking bay	5.00 car bays
Apply the adjustment factors. • 0.80 (the proposed development is within 400 metres of a bus route) • 0.85 (the proposed development is within 800 metres of a Rail Station) • 0.85 (the proposed development is within 400 metres of an existing off-street public car park with in excess of 75 car parking bays. • 0.9 (the development is located within a Town Centre)	0.5202 2.6 car bays
Minus the car parking provided on-site	Nil
Resultant Shortfall	2.6 car bays
Proposed Car Parking Use requirement (nearest whole number) • Lodging House (Backpackers) 1 space per 4 beds provided Total car bays required: 35 Beds = 8.75 car bays	= 9.00 car bays
Apply the adjustment factors. • 0.80 (the proposed development is within 400 metres of a bus route) • 0.85 (the proposed development is within 800 metres of a Rail Station) • 0.85 (the proposed development is within 400 metres of an existing off-street public car park with in excess of 75 car parking bays. • 0.9 (the development is located within a Town Centre)	0.5202 4.682 car bays
Minus the car parking provided on-site	Nil
Resultant shortfall	4.682 car bays
Overall Resultant Shortfall (Proposed Use Shortfall of 4.682 car bays less the Existing Use Shortfall of 2.6 car bays) =2.082, as per methodology in the Parking and Access Policy.	2.082 car bays

Proposed Bicycle Bays	
Bicycle bay requirement (nearest whole number) • 1 space per 8 beds (35 beds) 35/8 = 4.375 bicycle bays = 4 bicycle bays Required – 0.35 (Class 1 or 2 bicycle bays) = 1 bicycle bay – 0.65 (Class 3 bicycle bays) = 3 bicycle bays	= 4 bicycle bays required.
Minus the bicycle bays provided on-site	Nil
Resultant Shortfall	= 1 Class 1 bicycle bay 3 Class 3

The subject site does not contain any on-site car parking bays and results in a shortfall of 2.082 car bays. Although there is a shortfall in car parking it is considered that the proposed change of use is well located for the provision of temporary accommodation. The site is in close proximity to the Central Business District which provides a flourish of public transport networks, inclusive of the bus routes along William Street and the main central train station. In addition the site is zoned Commercial with the predominant surrounding uses being retail, eating house and shops. This has resulted in the large provision of on-street parking and car parks within the immediate adjoining area.

It is considered that, in this instance the car parking shortfall is less than the existing use, and is not anticipated to have a detrimental impact on the adjoining residents.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Required by legislation:	No	Required by City of Vincent Policy:	Yes
--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------	-----

Comments Period:	12 February 2014 to 4 March 2014
Comments Received:	Nine (9) Comments Received: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight (8) Objections (One (1) objection was received late) • One (1) General Concern

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
<p><u>Issue: Use</u></p> <p>A lodging house is not appropriate at this site as there are existing issues that would be amplified with the approval of another use of this type. Due to the proximity of this proposed lodging house and the existing lodging house to local nightclubs, there would be increased potential for alcohol fuelled people to be in the area.</p> <p>There is existing backpackers in the area that has created problems. Approving a further backpackers establishment in the area will increase the problem, and will lead to frustration of the residents who would like to enjoy the peaceful residential aspect of the area.</p>	<p>Noted - The proposed use is located in close proximity to the Perth Central Business District, public transport networks, tourist attractions and educational facilities, well catering for the provision of temporary accommodation. In the event of an approval, the applicant would be required to submit an operational management plan, which would require solutions to address how anti-social behaviour, noise and complaints generated by occupants of the Lodging House (Backpackers) will be addressed.</p> <p>Noted – The proposed use is located in a commercial zone, whereby many of the adjoining properties are operating in a commercial manner. It should be noted that the lodging house approved on Beaufort Street is permitted to have a much larger number of occupiers (64 lodgers) than will be permitted in this change of use (35 lodgers). In the instance that planning approval is granted it shall be for a period of 12 months only, ensuring that during this time a high standard of amenity for the long-term residents is maintained.</p>
<p><u>Issue: Car Parking</u></p> <p>There is a lack of parking in the area due to the existing uses in the area.</p> <p>People visiting, park anywhere, including on the footpath, in the “no parking” zones, in the disabled parking bay on Brisbane Place and anywhere else possible.</p> <p>Parking in the area is restricted and the proposed use will increase stress on the current situation.</p>	<p>Noted - It is anticipated that the public infrastructure provided in such close proximity to the proposed change of use will sufficiently reduce the requirement for occupants to have private cars.</p> <p>Noted – Occupants of lodging houses are expected to travel by foot or utilise the public transport network to commute around, limiting their use of the public parking provided in close proximity to the proposed lodging house. In the instance of illegal parking, the City’s Rangers Services should be contacted for the issue to be rectified.</p> <p>Noted - as above.</p>

Summary of Comments Received:	Officers Technical Comment:
<p>The lack of on-site parking should be grounds for rejection of this proposal. Due to the existing uses in the area there is a lack of parking and the addition of this use to the area will exacerbate the issue.</p>	<p>Noted – The proposed change of use proposes a lower car parking shortfall than currently existing with the shop use. This reduction in combination with the provision of public transport is expected to further reduce the strain on the current parking situation. In the instance that planning approval is granted it shall be for a period of 12 months only, ensuring that during this time a high standard of amenity for the long-term residents is maintained.</p>
<p><u>Issue: Anti-Social Behaviour</u></p> <p>The other concern is the possibility of anti social behaviour and noise experienced with other backpackers in the area.</p>	<p>Noted. In the event of an approval, the applicant would be required to submit an operational management plan, which would require solutions for the possibility of dealing with anti-social behaviour generated by occupants of the Lodging House (Backpackers), such as noise.</p>
<p><u>Issue: Management of Lodging House</u></p> <p>Management policies must be strictly monitored to be effective otherwise the only people to suffer are the residents.</p>	<p>Supported. In the event of any approval, the City would require a management plan from the applicant for the operation of the premises. This plan is required to be submitted to and approved by the City's Manager Health Services prior to the submission of an Occupancy Permit.</p>

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter for clarity.

Design Advisory Committee:

Referred to Design Advisory Committee: No

LEGAL/POLICY:

The following legislation and policies apply to the lodging house at Nos. 423-425 William Street, Perth:

- Planning and Development Act 2005;
- City of Vincent Town Planning Scheme No. 1;
- Beaufort Precinct Policy No. 7.1.13;
- Temporary Accommodation Policy No. 7.4.5; and
- Parking and Access Policy No. 7.7.1.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Should the Council refuse the application for development approval, the applicant may have the right to have the decision reviewed in accordance with Part 14 of the *Planning and Development Act*.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Natural and Built Environment

1.1 *Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City.*

Economic Development

2.1 *Progress economic development with adequate financial resources*

2.1.1 *Promote business development and the City of Vincent as a place for investment appropriate to the vision for the City."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The City's Strategic Plan 2013-2017 states:

"Encourage the incorporation of sustainable design principles and features in existing and new development within the City as standard practice."

The following tables outline the applicable sustainability issues for this proposal:

ENVIRONMENTAL
The proposal maintains an existing building. The adaptive re-use of this existing space has a lower environmental impact compared to constructing a new building for this purpose.

SOCIAL
The application provides for temporary accommodation within the locality; however the scale of the development will have a negative impact on the amenity of the adjoining residential properties.

ECONOMIC
The proposed land use provides employment opportunities.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

COMMENTS:

Heritage Services

The subject property is abutting Nos. 43-45 Robinson Avenue, Perth, which is listed on the City's Municipal Heritage Inventory as a Management Category B – Conservation Recommended.

It is noted from the plans dated 10 September 2013, that the subject development involves change of use and internal alterations and additions only, which will not have any adverse impact on the front presentation of the adjacent heritage listed property. As such, the Heritage Officers have no comment on the subject development.

Health Services and Community Services

Health Services and Community Services have assessed the proposal based on 35 persons including the keeper, and noted all of the proposed rooms comply with the City of Vincent Health Local Law 2004.

Technical Services

The City's Technical Services has noted that a waste management plan is required to be submitted to the City and include the number of bins required, whether it is a private or City of Vincent collection, and who will be responsible for the bins to be taken out and returned after collection and bin cleaning location. In addition an unobstructed 1.0 metre wide pedestrian pathway access to the bins, bicycles and clotheslines is also required. Further information would be required as to the clearance height of the proposed clothesline.

Building Services

A Building Permit is required for Class 3 and to standard Building Code of Australia (BCA) requirements. An Occupancy Permit is also required for Change of Class from 6 to 3, along with information pertaining to disabled access. Private Certification is required also.

Planning Comments

The proposed change of use of the building for the purpose of a lodging house is considered an appropriate use within the locality and zoning. The context of the development provides the necessary facilities and services essential to this style of temporary accommodation. The proposal includes a 24-hour on site manager which will ensure that the lodging house maintains strict compliance with noise controls and regulations to ensure that the impacts on residential amenity are minimised.

In view of the above, it is considered that the proposed lodging house is supportable. Accordingly, it is recommended the application be approved subject to standard and appropriate conditions.

9.2.2 City of Vincent Garden Competition 2014

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	CVC0007
Attachments:	Nil		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	J van den Bok, Manager Parks and Property Services		
Responsible Officer:	R Lotznicker, Director Technical Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **APPROVES** the recommendations of the City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group as follows;
 - 1.1 the 2014 Garden Competition be continued as outlined in the report, with entries to close on Friday 26 September 2014, and the final judging to be carried out on Saturday 4 October 2014; and
 - 1.2 the final judging panel to comprise of Deputy Mayor Roslyn Harley, Crs. John Pintabona and Laine McDonald, Director Technical Services, Manager Parks and Property Services, Adele Gismondi (Water Corporation) and Community Judges Marlene Stanley (2013 winner Best Courtyard or Balcony Garden); Deidre Watts (2013 winner – Best Vegetable or ‘Food’ Garden);
2. **AUTHORISES** the Acting Chief Executive Officer in liaison with the Mayor to hold a function, inviting competition entrants/partners and sponsors to the event, to be held at the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre on Wednesday 5 November 2014 commencing at 6.00pm; and
3. **CONSIDERS** holding the 2015 Garden Competition in May 2015 prior to the commencement of the proposed Council amalgamations.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2.2

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Harley

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Wilcox

“That Clause 3 be deleted as follows:

3. ~~**CONSIDERS** holding the 2015 Garden Competition in May 2015 prior to the commencement of the proposed Council amalgamations.~~

AMENDMENT PUT AND LOST (1-5)

For: Cr Topelberg

Against: Presiding Member Mayor Carey, Cr Cole, Cr Harley, Cr McDonald and Cr Wilcox

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to seek the Council's approval for the dates and format of the 2014 Garden Competition as outlined by the City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group.

BACKGROUND:

Since the City's inception in 1995 there has been an Annual Spring Garden Competition which is open to all owners/occupiers who have lived within the City's boundaries for at least six (6) months.

This event has been a great success, with in excess of one hundred (100) category entries received each year. Residents are always keen to be a part of the competition and contact Parks Services staff throughout the year requesting information in relation to the competition.

DETAILS:

The City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group met on Thursday 27 March 2014 to discuss the format and to finalise dates for the 2014 City of Vincent Garden Competition.

Conditions of Entry:

No changes recommended, in 2013 the following change was made to the conditions of entry.

"All City of Vincent employees Councilors, the Mayor and Judges residing within the City's boundaries are ineligible to enter the competition"

Categories:

The categories for 2014 Garden Competition remain unchanged and are listed below:

- Best Kept Street/Part Street;
- Best Residential Front Garden;
- Best Kept Verge;
- Catchment Friendly Garden;
- Best Courtyard or Balcony Garden;
- Best Residential Rear Garden; and
- Best Vegetable or Food Garden;

Judging:

As in previous years it is again recommended that the preliminary judging for the majority of categories be undertaken by the City's horticultural staff.

Preliminary judging for the Catchment Friendly Garden will be undertaken by Claise Brook Catchment Group (CBCG) members, the Parks Services Technical Officer, Project Officer – Parks and Environment and as recommended by the Garden Awards Advisory Group, Christina Stadler a long-term entrant and winner of the 2013 Catchment Friendly Garden category.

Final judging will be undertaken on the morning of Saturday 4 October 2014 and it is proposed that the 2014 judging panel will consist of members of the City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group, Adele Gismondi from the Water Corporation and two (2) community judges.

- Deputy Mayor Roslyn Harley;
- Cr. John Pintabona;
- Cr. Laine McDonald;
- Rick Lotznicker, Director Technical Services;
- Jeremy van den Bok, Manager Parks and Property Services;
- Adele Gismondi, A/Water Efficiency Projects Manager - Water Corporation;
- Marlene Stanley (*Winner- 2013 Best Courtyard or Balcony garden*); and
- Deidre Watts (*Winner- 2013 Best Vegetable or 'Food' garden*)

Function/Awards/Prize Money:

There were no changes recommended to the prize money allocations over the categories listed. The Catchment Friendly Garden category is sponsored by the Water Corporation through the CBCG, and their sponsorship has again been sourced.

The prize money allocations for the 2014 Garden Competition have been recommended by the City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group as follows:

Best Residential Front Garden
Catchment Friendly Garden

- First Prize \$500 plus trophy
- Second Prize \$300 plus certificate
- Third Prize \$200 plus certificate

Best Residential Rear Garden
Best Courtyard or Balcony Garden
Best Vegetable Garden or Food garden
Best Kept Verge

- First Prize \$250 plus trophy
- Second Prize \$150 plus certificate
- Third Prize \$100 plus certificate

Best Kept Street/Part Street and Mayor's Encouragement Award

To encourage owner/occupiers to tidy up their streets prior to the final judging a flyer will again be sent out to all houses within the street entered. A specialised street sign will again be provided for the Best Kept Street/Part Street category and it is envisaged where appropriate that a small street party/BBQ could be arranged in the event that a street wins this award.

A quality pair of Swiss made "Felco" secateurs will be presented for the Mayor's Encouragement Award.

As in previous years, the awards presentation night will also include a number of raffles or give-away prizes provided by the numerous sponsors.

Sponsorship:

No additional sponsors have been engaged at this time; however, officers are working on attracting further sponsorship continually throughout the year.

'Green Thumbs Suggestion Box':

Suggestions/notes forwarded at last year's presentation night were as follows:

- *Recommend the use of Bentonite clay – 2 responses*

Following discussion at the City of Vincent Garden Awards Advisory Group it was recommended that a display be put together at this year's presentation night that highlighted the benefits of soil improvers not just the likes of Bentonite Clay.

- *The timing of the competition should be reviewed – 3 responses*

This comment comes up nearly every year, however it is really a seasonal issue and/or dependant on what time annual vegetables or flowers are sown. No change is therefore recommended to the timing of this year's competition.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

An advertisement/entry form will be placed in local community papers during August/September 2013 and entry forms have been included in the "Mayor's Message" with the Council rates notices.

Posters have been placed at various locations around the City advertising the competition and entry forms are also available at the front desk of the Administration Civic Centre, City's Library and via the City's website.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Not applicable.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In accordance with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2023*, Objective 3.1 states:

"Enhance and promote community development and wellbeing.

3.1.5: *"Promote and provide a range of community events to bring people together and to foster a community way of life."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's commitment to environmental sustainability and waterwise principles, all entries are being evaluated in accordance with waterwise criteria including the use of native plants, water saving measures and demonstrated controlled use of fertilisers and pesticides.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The estimated costs associated with the 2014 City of Vincent Garden Competition are as follows:

Cash prizes	\$ 4,000
Function	\$ 4,200
Trophies	\$ 2,500
Photography	\$ 1,500
Certificates	\$ 300
Advertising	\$ 1,300
Street sign	\$ 500
TOTAL	<u>\$14,300</u>

A total of \$15,000 has been allocated in the City's 2014/2015 Draft Budget.

In addition to this amount, \$1,250 will be received from the Water Corporation for the Catchment Friendly Garden prize money and trophy, and as in previous years up to \$2,000 is expected in cash donations from sponsors who have been associated with the competition.

COMMENTS:

It is therefore recommended that the Council approves the 2014 Garden Competition as detailed within the report, with entries to close on Friday 26 September 2014.

9.2.3 Parking Related Matters in North Perth and Mount Hawthorn

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	Smiths Lake (6), Mount Hawthorn Centre (2)	File Ref:	TES0518, TES0077, PKG0188, PKG0001
Attachments:	001 – Leake Street Proposal (Plan No. 3133-PP-01) 002 – Scarborough Beach Road Proposal (3082-CP-01A)		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	C Wilson, Manager Asset and Design Services		
Responsible Officer:	R Lotznicker, Director Technical Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **APPROVES** the;
 - 1.1 introduction of a 2P parking restriction 8.00am to 5.30pm Monday to Friday in Leake Street, North Perth between Alma Road and View Street as shown on attached Plan No. 3133-PP-01; and
 - 1.2 a twelve (12) month trial installation of three (3) dedicated motorcycle parking bays adjacent to No. 174 Scarborough Beach Road, Mount Hawthorn as shown on attached Plan No. 3082-CP-01A; and
2. **PLACES** a moratorium on issuing infringement notices for a period of two (2) weeks from the installation of the new parking restriction signs; and.
3. **INFORMS** all respondents of its decision.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2.3

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Topelberg

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to update the Council of the outcome of a number of community consultations undertaken in respect of various parking matters within the City.

BACKGROUND:

The City regularly receives requests from residents seeking parking restrictions to be introduced as a means of ensuring that their street it is not used as a 'free parking zone' by commuters and/or employees of nearby commercial precincts. Each request is assessed and where warranted the residents consulted to canvass their opinions of possible parking restrictions.

DETAILS:

Leake Street, North Perth:

At its Ordinary Meeting of 17 December 2013 Council received a petition signed by ten (10) residents of the northern end of Leake Street (Alma Road to View Street) requesting the installation of parking restrictions as the street is currently unrestricted.

The residents' concerns were that:

- At times residents cannot park in the street at all.
- Visitors cannot park in the street
- Trades people and delivery people cannot access resident's homes
- Rubbish and abandoned shopping trolleys* are often a by-product of our street becoming a parking area.

*Note: Coles has recently (January 2014) installed a trolley wheel lock system that prevents trolleys being taken beyond the boundary of the North Perth Plaza shopping centre.

Several random surveys of the parking usage did not show the street to be 'parked out' but it was in the order of 75% occupancy during the day. It is likely that some of the vehicles belong to the staff of the nearby Casson Homes Aged Care Facility.

Note: Casson Homes also received a consultation pack.

If parking restrictions are imposed it would be expected that the aforementioned staff will move into adjacent unrestricted streets.

Community Consultation

Seventeen (17) consultation packs were delivered on 20 March 2014 to which the City received two (2) responses by the close of the consultation period on 8 April 2014.

The City proposed a 2P 8.00am to 5.30pm Monday to Friday parking restriction.

Related Comments *In Favour* of the Proposal:

- 2 in favour with no further comments.

Related Comments *Against* the Proposal:

- Nil

Related Comments *Neither in Support nor Objecting*:

- Nil

Officers Comments:

As indicated above the street, when randomly surveyed, was not completely 'parked out' but was in the order of 75% occupancy. This is likely a combination of staff from Casson Homes, residents and their visitors and possibly employees from the North Perth Plaza and other nearby businesses.

This may also be an indirect result of the installation (free) 3P parking in the North Perth Plaza, View and Wasley Street car parks, in conjunction with the time restrictions in View Street and Alma Road.

Interestingly the residents of Glebe Street, the street most directly affected by the above changes, have two occasions rejected parking restrictions when consulted.

However, while the results of the public consultation were underwhelming, the original petition was signed by ten (10) of the residents suggesting a sufficient level of support to recommend the installation of the parking restrictions.

Scarborough Beach Road, Mount Hawthorn:

At its Ordinary Meeting of 8 October 2013 the Council received a report on a proposal to install additional 1/4P and dedicated motorcycle parking in various locations within the City.

The report, in part, highlighted the lack of motorcycle parking within the Mt Hawthorn town centre and specifically in Scarborough Beach Road between Fairfield and Coogee Streets.

Further, Council was advised that one of the business owners within the aforementioned section of Scarborough Beach Road had specifically requested motorcycle parking.

Having considered the report, and specific to Mt Hawthorn, the Council made the following decision (in part):

- “2. *CONSULTS with the businesses in Scarborough Beach Road to determine the preferred location for motorcycle bays between Coogee Street and Flinders Street, as shown on Plan No. 3082-CP-01A; and*
3. *RECEIVES a further report at the conclusion of the consultation.”*

Community Consultation

Sixty nine (69) consultation packs were delivered on 19 March 2014 to which the City received three (3) responses by the close of the consultation period on 4 April 2014, while a further submission was received after the close of the consultation period.

As indicated above the consultation packs were sent to the businesses but also the residents of the mixed use developments at 160 and 166 Scarborough Beach Road.

Three (3) locations were suggested and the businesses/residents were requested to nominate their preference (as shown on plan 3082-CP-01A) resulting in the following responses:

Related Comments *Option 1*

- Prefer Option 1 with no further comment.
- Prefer Options 1 and 3 with no further comment.
- I support Option 1 on a ‘Trial’ basis for a period of twelve (12) months then review as to its impact on traffic, its usage rate using a traffic counter or similar, it has a maximum one (1) hour period free use, that it can also be incorporated as a ‘multi-use’ site for bicycling use is pedal bikes as well as motorised bikes. That it be a ‘diagonal design’ not parallel to Scarborough Beach Road...

Related Comments *Option 2*

- Nil

Related Comments *Option 3*

- Prefer Options 1 and 3 with no further comment.

Related Comments *Neither in Support or Objection*

- Nil

Officers Comments:

The lack of responses suggests a general ambivalence towards motorcycle parking. However random inspections indicate that on any given day that there are one (1) or two (2) motorcycles parked within the immediate area and usually taking up an entire parking space.

By converting one (1) car bay to three (3) dedicated motorcycle bays it both meets this demand and makes more efficient use of the on-road parking spaces.

However, there is merit in the suggestion to randomly monitor the motorcycle parking usage and feedback for a twelve (12) month period.

One of the downsides to motorcycle parking is the noise and fumes generated by both large bikes and scooters, which in some locations has lead to complaints from the adjacent businesses.

In respect of the suggestion that the dedicated space could also be used for bicycle parking is impractical in this situation. It is not possible to install bicycle parking rails within the bay as it would compromise motorcycles accessing the space and in particular large motorbikes. Further, there are a number of bicycle parking rails along the footpaths between Fairfield and Coogee Streets. The proposed location (option 1) is currently a 1/4P bay located between a nib and a street tree. However the tree (Bradford Pear) has in the past been hit/nudged on a number of occasions and is less likely to occur if the bay is converted to motorcycle parking.

Therefore it is proposed that the time restrictions of the adjacent parking will apply.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Council's Community Consultation Policy No. 4.1.5.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Not applicable.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low/Medium: Related to amenity/safety improvements for residents.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In accordance with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2023*, Objective 1 states:

"1.1: Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.

1.1.4 Enhance and maintain the City's infrastructure, assets and community facilities to provide a safe, sustainable and functional environment.

1.1.5(a) Implement the City's Car Parking Strategy and associated Precinct Parking Management Plans."

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The cost to install the signage in Leake Street is in the order of \$440 while the motorcycle parking will cost in the order of \$1,200, primarily because of the 'red' pavement marking.

Leake Street will be funded from the general signs parking budget while Scarborough Beach Road will be funded from the *Formalise (free) On Road Motor Cycling Scooter Parking Spaces* budget allocation.

COMMENTS:

It is recommended that the Council endorses the parking improvements as discussed in the report.

9.4.4 Biennial Bincent Art Awards 2014

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct: All	All	File Ref:	CVC0017
Attachments:	Nil		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	Y. Coyne, Coordinator Arts and Creativity A. Birch, A/ Manager Community Development		
Responsible Officer:	J. Anthony, A/ Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **APPROVES** the renewal of The Biennial Bincent Art Awards programme to be held from August to October 2014; and
2. **LISTS** the amount of \$5,000 for consideration on the Draft Budget 2014/15.

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Harley

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Wilcox

“That Clause 2 be amended to read as follows:

2. **LISTS** the amount of ~~\$5,000~~ **\$1,500** for consideration on the Draft Budget 2014/15.”

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT PUT AND CARRIED (5-1)

For: Presiding Member Mayor Carey, Cr Cole, Cr McDonald, Cr Topelberg and Cr Wilcox

Against: Cr Harley

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

Debate ensued.

MOTION AS AMENDED PUT AND CARRIED ON THE CASTING VOTE OF THE PRESIDING MEMBER (4-3)

For: Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey (two votes – deliberative and casting vote), Cr Cole, and Cr Wilcox

Against: Cr Harley, Cr McDonald and Cr Topelberg

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.4

That the Council;

1. **APPROVES** the renewal of The Biennial Bincent Art Awards programme to be held from August to October 2014; and
2. **LISTS** the amount of **\$1,500** for consideration on the Draft Budget 2014/15.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To obtain Council approval to continue The Biennial Bincent Art Awards in 2014.

BACKGROUND:

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 6 December 2011, a Notice of Motion received from Councillor Maier was adopted regarding the investigation into an Art Award for Rubbish Bins, as follows:

“That the Council REQUESTS the City’s Arts Advisory Group to provide recommendations on the feasibility, benefits, implications, risks and implementation issues of instituting an art award, possibly called the “Bincent Art Awards”, which encouraged residents to paint their garbage bins and which awards prizes, possibly monthly or quarterly, for the best bin(s) based on recommendations from the City’s rubbish truck drivers.”

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 11 September 2012, the Council resolved as follows:

“That the Council;

1. *RECEIVES the outcome of the investigation into an Art Award for Rubbish Bins;*
2. *APPROVES the recommendation of the City’s Arts Advisory Group for an Art Award for Rubbish Bins called “The Bincent Biennial Art Awards”; as detailed in this report and the guidelines shown in Appendix 9.4.1, attachment 001; and*
3. *AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer, in liaison with the Mayor, to conduct the event between September – December 2012 and to arrange an event for the presentation of the awards.”*

Following the success of the programme in 2012, the item was raised for renewal at the Art Advisory Group meeting held on Monday 24 February 2014. The Art Advisory Group recommended that ‘The Biennial Bincent Art Awards’ be continued in 2014.

DETAILS:

At the Art Advisory Group meeting held on 24 March 2014, the City’s Officers presented an update report on the Bincent Art Awards. Following discussions, the following changes to the 2012 programme were recommended:

- Combining residential and commercial entries into one (1) category. This is as a result of the 2012 programme receiving no commercial entries;
- New prize allocations to be made as follows; first (1st) prize: \$500, second (2nd) prize: \$300 and third (3rd) prize: \$200;
- Terms and Conditions to remain the same as the 2012 programme, amending for change of prize allocation; and
- Marketing and promotional materials will be reused from 2012 programme.

The Bincent Art Awards will open for entries on 8 August 2014, and close on 10 October 2014. The entries will be presented to the Arts Advisory Group on 27 October 2014 for judging.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Advertising will be conducted through advertisements in local papers, flyers, social media and emails sent through to the City’s databases, marketing for the programme will conclude 2 September 2014.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Not applicable.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: Upon careful assessment of the risk management matrix and consideration of this project, it has been determined that this programme is low risk.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's *Strategic Community Plan 2013-2017* Objective 3.1 states:

"3.1 Enhance and promote community development and well being.

3.1.1 Celebrate, acknowledge and promote the City's cultural and social diversity."

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Although light hearted in nature the project will draw attention to the bins, their correct use and recycling capabilities.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The amount of \$5,000 has been listed for consideration on the Draft Budget 2014/15.

COMMENTS:

The Biennial Bincent Art Awards offer an ideal way for the whole community regardless of age or ability to participate in an arts activity. The Awards will not only enliven the City's streets with artwork, it will also promote community engagement and interaction. It is anticipated that The Bincent Biennial Art Awards will enjoy broad community support and will become a much anticipated event on Vincent's Arts calendar.

9.4.5 Noongar Acknowledgement Plaque

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct: All	All	File Ref:	CMS0121
Attachments:	001 - AnTAR Noongar acknowledgement plaque (example)		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	M. Haley, Community Development Officer A. Birch, A/Manager Community Development		
Responsible Officer:	J. Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **ACKNOWLEDGES** the Noongar people as the traditional owners of our land;
2. **APPROVES** the installation of four (4) commemorative plaques acknowledging Noongar people as the traditional land owners of the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, City of Vincent Library, Loftus Recreation Centre and Beatty Park Leisure Centre; and
3. **APPROVES BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY** to allocate \$3,500 from the Festival Expenditure Fund to install the four (4) commemorative plaques.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.5

Moved Cr Topelberg, **Seconded** Cr Cole

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To obtain Council approval to acknowledge the Noongar people as the traditional owners of the land and water, at City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, City of Vincent Library, Loftus Recreation Centre and Beatty Park Leisure Centre, through an acknowledgement ceremony and the installation of commemorative plaques.

BACKGROUND:

On 31 March 2014, after attending an unveiling ceremony at St Hilda's Church in North Perth, Mayor John Carey requested the investigation of acknowledgement plaques for the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, City of Vincent Library, Loftus Recreation Centre and Beatty Park Leisure Centre. These plaques will acknowledge the Noongar people as the traditional owners of our land, an appropriate and respectful way of progressing reconciliation in our community.

DETAILS:

Erecting plaques in recognition of Traditional Owners values the unique status of Aboriginal people as the original owners and custodians of this land and waters, and is a positive step on the path to reconciliation. The objective of reconciliation is to create an understanding of the Aboriginal history of Australia and the place of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in that history and in contemporary Australia.

The four (4) buildings that are proposed to have these plaques erected include; City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, City of Vincent Library, Loftus Recreation Centre and Beatty Park Leisure Centre. The plaques will represent the acknowledgment of the traditional owners, the Noongar people and will read as follows:

"We are proud to acknowledge the Noongar people as the traditional owners of these lands and waters"

The plaques will be sourced from AnTAR, ANTaR is a national advocacy organisation dedicated specifically to the rights, and overcoming the disadvantage, of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. ANTaR works to generate in Australia a moral and legal recognition of, and respect for, the distinctive status of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders as First Peoples.

A commemorative ceremony will be held at the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre to acknowledge the Noongar people as the traditional land owners of the above mentioned locations. The ceremonies proceedings will be decided by consultation with local Noongar people and feature a Welcome to Country.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Consultation with local Noongar people will occur, to ensure a respectful commemoration ceremony can occur at each plaque unveiling. This will include having a Welcome to Country at the commemorative ceremony.

LEGAL/POLICY:

City of Vincent Policy No. 4.1.30 *'Protocols for "Acknowledgement of Country" and "Welcome to Country" to Recognise Aboriginal Culture and History'*

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: Upon careful assessment of the risk management matrix and consideration of this project, it has been determined that this programme is low risk.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's *Strategic Community Plan 2013-2017*;

Objective 1 states:

"Natural and Built Environment"

1.1: *Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.*

1.1.2 *Enhance and maintain the character and heritage of the City."*

Objective 3 states:

"Community Development and Wellbeing"

3.1: *Enhance and promote community development and well being.*

3.1.1 *Celebrate, acknowledge and promote the City's cultural and social diversity."*

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

A budget of \$3,500 has been allocated for the project expenditure for this matter will be incurred under the following budgeted item:

Festival Expenditure

Budget Amount: \$ 162,400

Spent to Date: \$ 79,876

Balance: \$ 82,524

COMMENTS:

Commemorating the Noongar people as traditional land owners of the City of Vincent Administration and Civic Centre, City of Vincent Library, Loftus Recreation Centre and Beatty Park Leisure Centre with an acknowledgement plaque is a reverent way of respecting their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationships with the land.

9.4.6 Festivals Programme 2014/2015

Ward:	Both	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	All	File Ref:	FIN0207
Attachments:	<p><u>CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS</u> – Proposals as follows:</p> <p>001 – Revelation Festival 002 – WA Italian Club Open Day 003 – Angove Street Festival 004 – Open House Perth 005 – Beaufort St Festival 006 – Light Up Leederville Carnival 007 – RTRFM Beaufort St Festival 008 – Hyde Park Caribbean Festival 009 – WAYJO 010 – Pride WA 011 – Hyde Park Fair 012 – Fete de la Femme 013 – St Patrick’s Day Festival 014 – Up Late Mt Hawthorn Event 015 – Perth International Jazz Festival</p>		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	Y Coyne, Coordinator Arts and Creativity A Birch, A/Manager of Community Development		
Responsible Officer:	J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

- APPROVES** the following festival events funding as part of the Festivals Programme for 2014/2015:

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
1	Revelation Film Festival	Revelation International Film Festival	3 Jul 2013 - Jul 2014	\$20,000	\$15,000
2	City of Vincent	Constellations Fashion Festival	Sep 2014	\$30,000	\$30,000
3	WA Italian Club	Community Open Day and Fair	12 Oct 2014	\$12,850	\$7,500
4	City of Vincent	Multicultural Festival	Oct 2014	\$20,000	\$20,000
5	The North Perth Business and Community Association Inc	Angove Street Festival	26 Oct 2014	\$50,000	Carry forward from 2013/2104 Budget-\$45,000
6	Open House Perth	Open House Perth	1-2 Nov 2014	\$10,000	\$10,000
7	Beaufort Street Network	Beaufort Street Festival 2014	15 Nov 2014	\$82,500	\$70,000
8	Leederville Connect	Light Up Leederville Carnival	7 Dec 2014	\$60,000	\$55,000
9	RTRFM	Beaufort Street Music Festival	17 Jan 2015	\$11,500	\$5,000

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
10	City of Vincent	Summer Concerts x 6	Jan-Apr 2015	\$45,000	\$40,000
11	Trickster Productions	Hyde Park Caribbean Party – Summer Concert	Feb 2015	\$7,500	Summer Concert
12	WA Youth Jazz Orchestra	Big Band Festival	Feb2015	\$7,500	\$0
13	Pride Western Australia	Pride Sponsorship 2014/2015	Various	\$30,000	\$15,000
14	Rotary Club of North Perth	Hyde Park Community Fair	1-2 Mar 2015	\$30,000	\$25,000
15	HMS PopUp Productions	Fete de la Femme	7 Mar	\$30,000	\$0
16	St Patrick's Day WA Inc.	St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day	15 Mar	\$25,000	\$25,000
17	Mt Hawthorn Hub	Up Late in Mount Hawthorn	Various	\$40,000	\$40,000
18	Perth International Jazz Festival Inc.	Perth International Jazz Festival	8-10 May 2015	\$20,000	\$0
19	City of Vincent Stalls and Floats	St Patrick's Day, Pride and stalls at events	Various	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL				\$541,850	\$412,500

2. The festival events detailed in clause 1 above shall be subject to the following conditions:
 - 2.1 the sponsorship contribution shall be paid to the festival organisers on a reimbursement basis of expenditure incurred through the provision of tax invoices;
 - 2.2 'event fees' for the festivals shall be waived;
 - 2.3 a bond of \$3,000 shall be retained by the City as security for any damage to or clean-up of the event area;
 - 2.4 a suitable traffic, risk management and event site plan shall be submitted to the City at least two (2) months prior to the event at the expense of the organisers;
 - 2.5 the event organisers shall comply with the conditions of use and fees imposed, including Environmental Health and other conditions;
 - 2.6 the event organisers shall ensure full consultation with businesses and residences within the event parameter and at a minimum of a five hundred (500) metre radius outside of the event parameter to ensure that the festival is representative of and attuned to the local businesses;
 - 2.7 the activities and programme offered as part of the events shall be accessible, inclusive and targeted to a broad range of residents;

- 2.8 acknowledgement of the City of Vincent as a major sponsor of the events on all publications and advertising materials, subject to the conditions listed in the report;
- 2.9 the funds received from the City shall be acquitted together with a full evaluation report on the festival being provided no later than three (3) months after the event; and
- 2.10 full compliance with the City's Policy No. 3.1.5 'Donations, Sponsorship and Waiving of Fees and Charges', Policy No. 3.10.8 'Festivals' and Policy No. 3.8.3 'Concerts and Events';
- to the satisfaction of the Acting Chief Executive Officer; and
3. AUTHORISES the Acting Chief Executive Officer to approve any scheduling changes under delegated authority.

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Harley

That the recommendation, together with the following change(s), be adopted:

- "1. APPROVES the following festival events funding as part of the Festivals Programme for 2014/2015:

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
1	Revelation Film Festival	Revelation International Film Festival	3 Jul 2013 - Jul 2014	\$20,000	\$15,000
2	City of Vincent	Constellations Fashion Festival	Sep 2014	\$30,000	\$30,000
3 <u>2</u>	WA Italian Club	Community Open Day and Fair	12 Oct 2014	\$12,850	\$7,500
4 <u>3</u>	City of Vincent	Multicultural Festival	Oct 2014	\$20,000	\$20,000
5 <u>4</u>	The North Perth Business and Community Association Inc	Angove Street Festival	26 Oct 2014	\$50,000	Carry forward from 2013/2104 Budget-\$45,000
6 <u>5</u>	Open House Perth	Open House Perth	1-2 Nov 2014	\$10,000	\$10,000
7 <u>6</u>	Beaufort Street Network	Beaufort Street Festival 2014	15 Nov 2014	\$82,500	\$70,000
8 <u>7</u>	Leederville Connect	Light Up Leederville Carnival	7 Dec 2014	\$60,000	\$55,000
9 <u>8</u>	RTRFM	Beaufort Street Music Festival	17 Jan 2015	\$11,500	\$5,000
10 <u>9</u>	City of Vincent	Summer Concerts x 6	Jan-Apr 2015	\$45,000	\$40,000
11 <u>10</u>	Trickster Productions	Hyde Park Caribbean Party – Summer Concert	Feb 2015	\$7,500	Summer Concert
12 <u>11</u>	WA Youth Jazz Orchestra	Big Band Festival	Feb 2015	\$7,500	\$0

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
43 <u>12</u>	Pride Western Australia	Pride Sponsorship 2014/2015	Various	\$30,000	\$15,000
44 <u>13</u>	Rotary Club of North Perth	Hyde Park Community Fair	1-2 Mar 2015	\$30,000	\$25,000
45 <u>14</u>	HMS PopUp Productions	Fete de la Femme	7 Mar	\$30,000	\$0
46 <u>15</u>	St Patrick's Day WA Inc.	St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day	15 Mar	\$25,000	\$25,000
47 <u>16</u>	Mt Hawthorn Hub	Up Late in Mount Hawthorn	Various	\$40,000	\$40,000
48 <u>17</u>	Perth International Jazz Festival Inc.	Perth International Jazz Festival	8-10 May 2015	\$20,000	\$0
49 <u>18</u>	City of Vincent Stalls and Floats	St Patrick's Day, Pride and stalls at events	Various	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL				\$541,850 <u>\$511,850</u>	\$412,500 <u>\$382,500</u>

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT 1

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

"That Clause 1 be amended as follows:

"1. **APPROVES** the following festival events funding as part of the Festivals Programme for 2014/2015:

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
1	Revelation Film Festival	Revelation International Film Festival	3 Jul 2013 - Jul 2014	\$20,000	\$15,000
2	City of Vincent	Constellations Fashion Festival	Sep 2014	\$30,000	\$30,000
3 <u>2</u>	WA Italian Club	Community Open Day and Fair	12 Oct 2014	\$12,850	\$7,500
4 <u>3</u>	City of Vincent	Multicultural Festival	Oct 2014	\$20,000	\$20,000
5 <u>4</u>	The North Perth Business and Community Association Inc	Angove Street Festival	26 Oct 2014	\$50,000	Carry forward from 2013/2104 Budget- \$45,000 <u>\$50,000</u>
6 <u>5</u>	Open House Perth	Open House Perth	1-2 Nov 2014	\$10,000	\$10,000
7 <u>6</u>	Beaufort Street Network	Beaufort Street Festival 2014	15 Nov 2014	\$82,500	\$70,000 <u>\$82,500</u>

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
<u>87</u>	Leederville Connect	Light Up Leederville Carnival	7 Dec 2014	\$60,000	\$55,000 <u>60,000</u>
<u>98</u>	RTRFM	Beaufort Street Music Festival	17 Jan 2015	\$11,500	\$5,000
<u>109</u>	City of Vincent	Summer Concerts x 6	Jan-Apr 2015	\$45,000	\$40,000
<u>1110</u>	Trickster Productions	Hyde Park Caribbean Party – Summer Concert	Feb 2015	\$7,500	Summer Concert
<u>1211</u>	WA Youth Jazz Orchestra	Big Band Festival	Feb 2015	\$7,500	\$0
<u>1312</u>	Pride Western Australia	Pride Sponsorship 2014/2015	Various	\$30,000	\$15,000
<u>1413</u>	Rotary Club of North Perth	Hyde Park Community Fair	1-2 Mar 2015	\$30,000	\$25,000
<u>1514</u>	HMS PopUp Productions	Fete de la Femme	7 Mar	\$30,000	\$0
<u>1615</u>	St Patrick's Day WA Inc.	St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day	15 Mar	\$25,000	\$25,000
<u>1716</u>	Mt Hawthorn Hub	Up Late in Mount Hawthorn	Various	\$40,000	\$40,000
<u>1817</u>	Perth International Jazz Festival Inc.	Perth International Jazz Festival	8-10 May 2015	\$20,000	\$0
<u>1918</u>	City of Vincent Stalls and Floats	St Patrick's Day, Pride and stalls at events	Various	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL				\$541,850 <u>\$511,850</u>	\$412,500 <u>\$382,500</u>

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT 1 PUT AND LOST (2-4)

For: Cr McDonald and Cr Topelberg

Against: Presiding Member Mayor Carey Cr Cole, Cr Harley and Cr Wilcox

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 2

Moved Cr Harley, Seconded Cr McDonald

“That Clause 1 be amended as follows:

“1. APPROVES the following festival events funding as part of the Festivals Programme for 2014/2015:

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
1	Revelation Film Festival	Revelation International Film Festival	3 Jul 2013 - Jul 2014	\$20,000	\$15,000
2	City of Vincent	Constellations Fashion Festival	Sep 2014	\$30,000	\$30,000
3 <u>2</u>	WA Italian Club	Community Open Day and Fair	12 Oct 2014	\$12,850	\$7,500
4 <u>3</u>	City of Vincent	Multicultural Festival	Oct 2014	\$20,000	\$20,000
5 <u>4</u>	The North Perth Business and Community Association Inc	Angove Street Festival	26 Oct 2014	\$50,000	Carry forward from 2013/2104 Budget- \$45,000
6 <u>5</u>	Open House Perth	Open House Perth	1-2 Nov 2014	\$10,000	\$10,000
7 <u>6</u>	Beaufort Street Network	Beaufort Street Festival 2014	15 Nov 2014	\$82,500	\$70,000 <u>\$75,000</u>
8 <u>7</u>	Leederville Connect	Light Up Leederville Carnival	7 Dec 2014	\$60,000	\$55,000
9 <u>8</u>	RTRFM	Beaufort Street Music Festival	17 Jan 2015	\$11,500	\$5,000
10 <u>9</u>	City of Vincent	Summer Concerts x 6	Jan-Apr 2015	\$45,000	\$40,000
11 <u>10</u>	Trickster Productions	Hyde Park Caribbean Party – Summer Concert	Feb 2015	\$7,500	Summer Concert
12 <u>11</u>	WA Youth Jazz Orchestra	Big Band Festival	Feb 2015	\$7,500	\$0
13 <u>12</u>	Pride Western Australia	Pride Sponsorship 2014/2015	Various	\$30,000	\$15,000
14 <u>13</u>	Rotary Club of North Perth	Hyde Park Community Fair	1-2 Mar 2015	\$30,000	\$25,000
15 <u>14</u>	HMS PopUp Productions	Fete de la Femme	7 Mar	\$30,000	\$0
16 <u>15</u>	St Patrick's Day WA Inc.	St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day	15 Mar	\$25,000	\$25,000
17 <u>16</u>	Mt Hawthorn Hub	Up Late in Mount Hawthorn	Various	\$40,000	\$40,000

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
48 17	Perth International Jazz Festival Inc.	Perth International Jazz Festival	8-10 May 2015	\$20,000	\$0
49 18	City of Vincent Stalls and Floats	St Patrick's Day, Pride and stalls at events	Various	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL				\$541,850 \$511,850	\$412,500 \$387,500

AMENDMENT 2 PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 3

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

"That Clause 1 be amended to read as follows:

"DEFER consideration of Item 13 for further information and discussions with the Rotary Club of North Perth and the Acting Director Community Services, prior to consideration of the Draft Budget."

AMENDMENT 3 PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

MOTION AS AMENDED PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.6

That the Council;

"1. APPROVES the following festival events funding as part of the Festivals Programme for 2014/2015:

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
1	Revelation Film Festival	Revelation International Film Festival	3 Jul 2013 - Jul 2014	\$20,000	\$15,000
2	WA Italian Club	Community Open Day and Fair	12 Oct 2014	\$12,850	\$7,500
3	City of Vincent	Multicultural Festival	Oct 2014	\$20,000	\$20,000
4	The North Perth Business and Community Association Inc	Angove Street Festival	26 Oct 2014	\$50,000	Carry forward from 2013/2104 Budget-\$45,000
5	Open House Perth	Open House Perth	1-2 Nov 2014	\$10,000	\$10,000
6	Beaufort Street Network	Beaufort Street Festival 2014	15 Nov 2014	\$82,500	\$75,000

	ORGANISATION	EVENT	DATE	AMOUNT SOUGHT	AMOUNT RECOMMENDED
7	Leederville Connect	Light Up Leederville Carnival	7 Dec 2014	\$60,000	55,000
8	RTRFM	Beaufort Street Music Festival	17 Jan 2015	\$11,500	\$5,000
9	City of Vincent	Summer Concerts x 6	Jan-Apr 2015	\$45,000	\$40,000
10	Trickster Productions	Hyde Park Caribbean Party – Summer Concert	Feb 2015	\$7,500	Summer Concert
11	WA Youth Jazz Orchestra	Big Band Festival	Feb 2015	\$7,500	\$0
12	Pride Western Australia	Pride Sponsorship 2014/2015	Various	\$30,000	\$15,000
13	HMS Pop Up Productions	Fete de la Femme	7 Mar	\$30,000	\$0
14	St Patrick's Day WA Inc.	St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day	15 Mar	\$25,000	\$25,000
15	Mt Hawthorn Hub	Up Late in Mount Hawthorn	Various	\$40,000	\$40,000
16	Perth International Jazz Festival Inc.	Perth International Jazz Festival	8-10 May 2015	\$20,000	\$0
17	City of Vincent Stalls and Floats	St Patrick's Day, Pride and stalls at events	Various	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL				\$511,850	\$362,500

2. The festival events detailed in clause 1 above shall be subject to the following conditions:
- 2.1 the sponsorship contribution shall be paid to the festival organisers on a reimbursement basis of expenditure incurred through the provision of tax invoices;
 - 2.2 'event fees' for the festivals shall be waived;
 - 2.3 a bond of \$3,000 shall be retained by the City as security for any damage to or clean-up of the event area;
 - 2.4 a suitable traffic, risk management and event site plan shall be submitted to the City at least two (2) months prior to the event at the expense of the organisers;
 - 2.5 the event organisers shall comply with the conditions of use and fees imposed, including Environmental Health and other conditions;
 - 2.6 the event organisers shall ensure full consultation with businesses and residences within the event parameter and at a minimum of a five hundred (500) metre radius outside of the event parameter to ensure that the festival is representative of and attuned to the local businesses;
 - 2.7 the activities and programme offered as part of the events shall be accessible, inclusive and targeted to a broad range of residents;

- 2.8 **acknowledgement of the City of Vincent as a major sponsor of the events on all publications and advertising materials, subject to the conditions listed in the report;**
- 2.9 **the funds received from the City shall be acquitted together with a full evaluation report on the festival being provided no later than three (3) months after the event; and**
- 2.10 **full compliance with the City's Policy No. 3.1.5 'Donations, Sponsorship and Waiving of Fees and Charges', Policy No. 3.10.8 'Festivals' and Policy No. 3.8.3 'Concerts and Events';**

to the satisfaction of the Acting Chief Executive Officer; and

3. **AUTHORISES the Acting Chief Executive Officer to approve any scheduling changes under delegated authority.**

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's approval of the proposed Festivals Programme and their associated budgets for 2014/2015. Festival Sponsorship applications for each festival/event are included as confidential attachments to this report.

BACKGROUND:

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 28 May 2013, the Council resolved to the following:

- '1. *APPROVES the following festival events funding as part of the City of Vincent Festivals programme for 2013/2014:*

<i>Event</i>	<i>Indicative Date</i>	<i>Amount Allocated</i>
<i>Mt Hawthorn Festival</i>	<i>Early September 2013</i>	<i>\$45,000</i>
<i>Vincent Fashion Event</i>	<i>5 September 2013</i>	<i>\$20,000</i>
<i>Pride Festival 2013</i>	<i>November 2013</i>	<i>\$15,000</i>
<i>Beaufort Street Festival</i>	<i>16 November 2013</i>	<i>\$75,000</i>
<i>Light Up Leederville</i>	<i>November-December 2013</i>	<i>\$55,000</i>
<i>Hyde Park Fair</i>	<i>2 & 3 March 2014</i>	<i>\$27,500</i>
<i>St Patrick's Day Parade</i>	<i>15 March 2014</i>	<i>\$20,000</i>
<i>Angove Street Festival</i>	<i>April 2014</i>	<i>\$45,000</i>
<i>Revelation Film Festival 2014</i>	<i>July 2014</i>	<i>\$10,000</i>
<i>WA Youth Jazz Orchestra</i>	<i>Date to be advised</i>	<i>\$6,500</i>
<i>EID/End of Hajj</i>	<i>October 2013</i>	<i>\$7,500</i>
<i>Harmony Event</i>	<i>March 2014</i>	<i>\$15,000</i>
	TOTAL	\$341,500

2. *AUTHORISES the following festivals to take place in 2013/2014:*
- 2.1 *the Mt Hawthorn Business and Community Group to hold a street festival at a date to be confirmed;*
- 2.2 *a fashion event organised by City Officers showcasing local emerging fashion designers and independent boutique stores on Thursday 5 September 2013 in Leederville;*
- 2.3 *contribute to Pride WA parade and Pride Family Day to take place in Hyde Park in February 2014;*
- 2.4 *the Beaufort Street Network Inc. to organise the "Beaufort Street Festival" to be held on 16 November 2013, from 12noon to 10pm with Festival Bars until midnight;*
- 2.5 *EID/End of Hajj to take place in Birdwood Square in October 2013;*
- 2.6 *Leederville Connect to organise the second Light Up Leederville Carnival at a date to be confirmed between November and December 2013;*

- 2.7 *the WAYJO Big Band Festival to be an addition to the City of Vincent Summer Concert series in January/February 2014;*
- 2.8 *the North Perth Rotary Club to organise the Hyde Park Fair at Hyde Park on 2 and 3 March 2014;*
- 2.9 *Irish Families in Perth to organise the St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day on 15 March 2014 in Leederville;*
- 2.10 *the North Perth Business and Residents Group to organise the Angove Street Festival on 6 April 2014, from 10am to 5pm;*
- 2.11 *the Revelation Film Festival to take place in July 2014; and*
3. *The festival events detailed in clause 2 above shall be subject to the following conditions:*
- 3.1 *the sponsorship contribution shall be paid to the festival organisers on a reimbursement basis of expenditure incurred through the provision of tax invoices;*
- 3.2 *'event fees' for the festivals shall be waived;*
- 3.3 *a bond of \$3,000 shall be retained by the City as security for any damage to or clean-up of the event area;*
- 3.4 *a suitable traffic, risk management and event site plan shall be submitted to the City at least two (2) months prior to the event at the expense of the organisers;*
- 3.5 *the event organisers shall comply with the conditions of use and fees imposed, including Environmental Health and other conditions;*
- 3.6 *the event organisers shall ensure full consultation with businesses and residences within the event parameter and at a minimum of a five hundred (500) metre radius outside of the event parameter to ensure that the festival is representative of and attuned to the local businesses;*
- 3.7 *the activities and programme offered as part of the events shall be accessible, inclusive and targeted to a broad range of residents;*
- 3.8 *acknowledgement of the City of Vincent as a major sponsor of the events on all publications and advertising materials, subject to the conditions listed in the report;*
- 3.9 *the funds received from the City shall be acquitted together with a full evaluation report on the festival being provided no later than three (3) months after the event; and*
- 3.10 *full compliance with the City's Policy 3.1.5 'Donations, Sponsorship and Waiving of Fees and Charges', Policy 3.10.8 'Festivals' and Policy 3.8.3 'Concerts and Events';*
- to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer.*
4. *RECEIVES a further report on the following events for consideration of funding approval subject to meeting the criteria of the City's Policy 3.10.8 Festivals;*

<i>Event</i>	<i>Indicative Date</i>	<i>Amount Allocated</i>
<i>Electric Relaxation</i>	<i>30 November 2013</i>	<i>\$5,500</i>
<i>Festival D'Femme</i>	<i>8 March 2014</i>	<i>15,000</i>
<i>Hawkers Market</i>	<i>Date to be advised</i>	<i>\$9,400</i>

5. *Details of the Mt Hawthorn Festival be reported back to the Council prior to the Festival.'*

DETAILS:

On 13 January 2014, an advertisement inviting organisations planning major festivals within City of Vincent in 2014/2015 to apply for funding appeared in the *Guardian* and *Voice* newspapers, on the City of Vincent website and Facebook page. The deadline for submissions was 28 February 2013. Twenty one (21) applications were received as detailed below:

1. Revelation International Film Festival – July 2014

The Revelation International Film Festival is to be held at Luna Palace Cinemas in Leederville again in 2014. In an attempt to spread cultural activities to the broader community, the City Officer's have discussed incorporating free pop up film screenings at locations in Mount Hawthorn and Leederville as part of the festival.

The submission to the Festivals advertisement is found in Confidential Attachment 001.

Officer comments

An amount of \$15,000 is recommended to provide activation to Mount Hawthorn or surrounding suburbs by way of pop up screenings.

2. Constellations Fashion Festival – September 2014

Leederville hosted the first fashion festival in the City of Vincent in September 2013. Shop windows became a focal point with live mannequins modelling the boutique's outfits for sale and Oxford Street was a buzz of activity. The fashion parade itself was held in a disused alley way in Leederville. Market stalls of local handmade fashion and crafts as well as food filled the area too. The fashion parade showcased original emerging WA fashion designers, who were all City of Vincent residents.

In 2014, Mount Hawthorn is earmarked as the location to hold Constellations. Costs could be reduced by hosting the fashion parade at local salon Skatt and the boutique windows along Scarborough Beach Road and possibly bridal stores on Oxford Street would come alive in the same format as the previous festival, in a new location.

Officer comments:

An amount of \$30,000 is recommended to coordinate the Constellations Fashion Festival again in the City of Vincent.

3. WA Italian Club - Community Open Day and Fair – October 2014

The WA Italian Club has a rich heritage within the City of Vincent and plays an important part of the City's multicultural diversity and history. Celebrating its eightieth year, the proposed open day and fair is a good opportunity to bring people together to celebrate this integral part of our local community's history and culture.

The group's submission to the Festivals advertisement is found in Confidential Attachment 002.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$7,500 is recommended as the City's contribution to the event.

4. Multicultural Event – October 2014

The City of Vincent will work with local multicultural groups to put together a large multicultural event in Birdwood Square in October. In 2013 the City worked with Muslim Social and Sports Association to present Vincent Celebrates Eid, a successful event with cultural stalls and entertainment from varying countries.

In 2014 it is proposed that the City will again work with the Muslim Social and Sports Association to help present elements in the Multicultural Event. The Multicultural Event will build on Vincent Celebrates Eid and provide a larger scale event that will welcome all nationalities, religions and cultures to celebrate Vincent's diversity.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$20,000 is recommended as the City's contribution to the event.

5. Angove Street Festival – 26 October 2014

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 28 May 2013, Council approved sponsorship for Angove Street Festival that was planned to be held on Sunday 6 April 2014.

The North Perth Business and Community Association has requested to instead hold the Festival on Sunday 26 October 2014 to allow additional time to gain monetary sponsors such as Lotterywest who currently have a four (4) month waiting list for their major grants.

It was considered that Sunday 26 October 2014 was deemed the best date for the group; the weather is more reliable, and this date allows North Perth Primary School the opportunity to be more involved in the festival. The delay in date also gives the new group a chance to develop exactly on what they would like their festival to be. Early discussions indicate the festival will likely include strictly local stalls with a focus on handmade arts and craft, healthy lifestyles and families. The City will be represented at the Festival with a stall.

The group's submission to the Festivals advertisement is found in Confidential Attachment 004.

Officer Comments

It is recommended that the funding allocation of \$45,000 from 2013/2014 be carried forward to the 2014/2015 Festival Budget, with the Angove Street Festival to take place on 26 October 2014.

6. Open House Perth – November 2014

Open House Perth provides a platform for people to engage with Perth's creative potential in conjunction with celebrating its existing assets. By providing a free annual event Open House Perth is able to promote high quality design projects, unlock the city to the public and provide substantial benefit to local industries including: design practices, construction sector, food and beverage providers, retailers, the arts and hospitality sectors. Open House Perth's guiding principles for the event and organisation are to inform, engage, celebrate and promote.

In the two (2) years since the event's inception Open House Perth has attracted over 75,000 visits to destinations and conducted over 2000 guided tours. 5000 of these visits have been into design practices, giving local business the direct marketing opportunities.

This year there are twenty (20) City of Vincent Open Houses which form part of the program including the Western Power Substation No 6, Kinder Street Shop House, Redemptorist Monastery, Foundation Housing (CODA Studio), Florence Street house (residential) and more.

Open House's submission for funding can be found in Confidential Attachment 005.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$10,000 is recommended as the City's contribution to the event.

7. Beaufort Street Festival – 15 November 2014

The fourth Beaufort Street Festival was held on Saturday, 16 November 2013 from 12pm to 9pm. The Beaufort Street Network employed JumpClimb to deliver the festival, with the assistance of a hired Festival Director, volunteers, sponsors and other committees.

2014 will see the fifth Beaufort Street Festival – now undoubtedly Perth's largest street festival attracting over 120,000 people. Scheduled for 15 November 2014, the festival will again focus on the five (5) key areas: music, art, food, families and fashion which overall reflects the unique style and vibrancy of the Beaufort Street Precinct.

The Beaufort Street Festival will take place this year on Saturday 15 November 2014. JumpClimb and the Event Agency will be employed to professionally event manage the festival. A community consultation will be held in April 2014 at local bar Defectors for the local and wider community to express interest in participating in the festival. The City will be represented at the Festival with a stall.

The group's submission to the Festivals advertisement is found in Confidential Attachment 006.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$70,000 is recommended as the City's contribution to the project.

8. Light Up Leederville Carnival – 1 December 2014

The second Light Up Leederville Carnival was held on 1 December 2013, organised by Leederville Connect. The business and residents group again enlisted the Funk Factory to coordinate the event and this bought along its own flavour of an eclectic mix of roving street performers, a double-decker bus as a stage and an estimated 40,000 people to Leederville. The second festival was bigger and better, but maintained its own distinct "Leederville" feel.

The Light Up Leederville Carnival is proposed to be held on December 7 from 12pm to 8pm. The site plan proposed extends down Carr Place, but this will require community consultation given some of the road closure complaints received by residents prior to the 2013 festival. The City will be represented at the Festival with a stall.

The group's submission for funding is found in Confidential Attachment 007.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$55,000 is recommended as the City's contribution to the project.

9. Beaufort Street Music Festival – Saturday 17 January 2015

RTRFM are a community radio station in the heart of Mt Lawley. The event wishes to capitalize on the start of the Summer Festival season by hosting a street music festival which will have patrons hop to much loved venues in the area. The contribution to this festival will allow for a free block party venue at the back of RTRFM studios and what was Planet Video. This area would be set up with market stalls and DJs and would be free from 3pm to 7pm.

RTRFM's response to the Festivals advertisement is found in Confidential Attachment 008.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$7,500 is recommended as the City's contribution to the project.

10. City of Vincent Summer Concerts – Saturdays/ Sundays in January to April 2015

In 2014 four (4) Summer Concerts were held in the City's parks and reserves much to the delight of the local community. Feedback received suggested these free, simple and low key events were much appreciated for families and the community to listen to some good local music and relax in our parks. It is recommended the amount of free concerts is boosted from four (4) to six (6) concerts throughout the early months of the year. The City's Officers have discussed with two (2) of festival funding applicants – Studio Zero and Trickster Productions – to work with the City to curate/present one (1) summer concert each.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$45,000 is recommended to present six Summer Concerts in 2015.

11. Hyde Park Caribbean Party – February 2015

A free concert in Hyde Park featuring The Isolites and Grace Barbe. The City's Officers have discussed a collaboration with the event organiser to present this concept at one of the City's Summer Concerts in early 2015 to much enthusiasm.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 009.

Officer Comments

Not recommended for funding, however the event is proposed to be presented as part of the free Summer concerts in the park that the City organises.

12. WAYJO Big Band Festival – February 2015

For the last two (2) years the WA Youth Jazz Orchestra have held big band festivals in Hyde Park in February. Support with planning and financial elements of the event would be requested from the City of Vincent, and all associated promotion and activities for the festival would acknowledge the City of Vincent as the key partner/supporter and presenter.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 010.

Officer Comments

The big band festival is two (2) hours of entertainment. The City has supported this event for the last two (2) years and would like to keep the entertainment fresh. Not recommended for funding.

13. Pride Festival November 2014 - February 2015

Pride WA is again seeking support for their annual parade due to be held on Saturday 22 November 2014. They will require in kind assistance from the City with Temporary Road Closures and Ranger Services. Sponsorship for the Fairday in the Park on 15 February 2015 is also requested.

In February 2014, PrideWA's Fairday event catered to families within the Pride community that have children. The event was accessible and family focused encouraging LGBTIQ community members to include their extended circle of family and friends. The event was successful and won a Fringe World Award for Best Community Event. The parade starts in the City of Vincent, but the real value for our residents relies on the Fairday. The City of Vincent will be represented in the parade by a float made up of staff members and community.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 011.

Officer Comments

An amount of \$15,000 is recommended to support Fair Day in Hyde Park and the parade in November.

14. Hyde Park Community Fair – 1 and 2 March 2015

A community favourite for the past twenty-six (26) years, the North Perth Rotary Group is seeking funds to hold their annual fair at Hyde Park. The North Perth Rotary Group are seeking \$30,000 to contribute to the Budget to hold the two (2) day event on 1-2 March 2015. The City of Vincent will be represented at the festival with a stall.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 012.

Officer Comments

It is recommended that \$25,000 is approved to the North Perth Rotary to again hold the Hyde Park Fair.

15. Fete de la Femme – March 2015

The festival to celebrate International Women's Day. The group applied for funding last year and \$15,000 was approved, however the group withdrew their application due to being unable to obtain additional sponsorship.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 013.

Officer Comments

It is not recommended to fund this event as the group have not shown evidence of any enquiries or applications to date to secure any outside sponsorship/income to hold the event.

16. St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day – 15 March 2015

St Patrick's Day WA Inc. are proposing to hold the St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day in Leederville for a third year in a row. The parade will again be made up of community groups, multicultural floats and a Grand Marshall. The parade and festival held in 2014 was very successful. The City of Vincent will be represented at the festival with a stall. The group is seeking \$25,000 to hold the event for a third time in 2015.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 014.

Officer Comments

It is recommended that \$25,000 is approved to St Patrick's Day WA Inc to again hold the St Patrick's Day Parade and Family Fun Day.

17. Up Late in Mt Hawthorn – April 2015

The Mt Hawthorn Hub is a newly formed precinct group for the town centre of Mount Hawthorn. In 2013 it held one (1) Up Late event with the remaining funds re-allocated for placemaking projects. Placemaking activities are important to centre a community. The group have been in discussion with a new event organiser who aims to work with the group closely to achieve their event and space activation goals.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 015; however, it is proposed that the City's Place Manager work closely with the group to deliver two (2) quality events in 2014/2015.

Officer Comments

It is recommended that \$40,000 is approved to the Mt Hawthorn Hub to hold events in 2014/15.

18. Perth International Jazz Festival – May 2015

The City of Vincent supported the Jazz Festival in 2013 where the event was nearly cancelled due to poor weather. It is proposed to hold elements of the Jazz Festival in Hyde Park for a free concert.

The proposal for this event can be found in Confidential Attachment 016.

Officer Comments

Due to unexpected weather conditions in May and the way the handling of this in 2013, it is not recommended for funding. The City is however happy to engage with the local Jazz community by providing a jazz based event for the Summer Concerts in 2015.

19. City of Vincent Stalls and Floats – various

In 2013/14 City Officers manned information stalls at various events and formed a Stalls Working Group to keep the ideas fresh and interactive. City of Vincent initiatives, community feedback and giveaways were provided to stall visitors as well as fun interactive attractions such as a chocolate wheel and photo booth. Events listed for potential stalls are: Beaufort Street Festival, Light Up Leederville Carnival, Hyde Park Fair and the City has the opportunity to have floats as part of the Pride WA Parade and the St Patrick's Day Parade.

Officer Comments

It is recommended that \$10,000 is budgeted towards the stalls, floats for the City of Vincent at the above events.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

Consultation and advertising of all festivals, which include advertising in community newspapers, street banners, letter drop to City of Vincent residents, flyers/posters will be the event management's responsibility. The use of the City's logo will be approved and the cross promotion of the events will be advertised on the City's website and social media avenues.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Policy No. 3.1.5 'Donations, Sponsorship and Waiving of Fees and Charges';
Policy No. 3.10.8 'Festivals'; and
Policy No. 3.8.3 'Concerts and Events'.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the following Objective of the City's '*Strategic Plan – Plan for the Future 2013-2017*':

'3.1.1 *Celebrate, acknowledge and promote the City's cultural and social diversity.*'

'3.1.5 *Promote and provide a range of community events to bring people together and to foster a community way of life.*'

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

The purpose of the Festivals is to provide community events in the City and is an excellent opportunity to promote environmental/sustainability initiatives provided by the City. Recycling was compulsory at all events in 2013 and this will continue for events held in 2014/15.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Moderate: Previous festivals have been extremely popular and successful; however, factors such as weather on the day can be a contributing factor to attendance levels.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

The amount of \$412,500 is listed on the Draft Annual Budget 2014/2015 for the Festival Programme. This figure includes \$45,000 carried forward from the 2013/2014 Budget for the Angove Street Festival.

COMMENTS:

Vincent is now known as 'The Festival City' and our residents and visitors alike rely on our brilliant programme of free entertainment and cultural activities that are offered with our festivals.

The festivals that were staged in the City of Vincent last year were all very successful, with large attendances and excellent positive feedback from both the community and businesses.

The City's Officers recognise the excellent contribution the festivals make to the community and support the proposed festivals as recommended.

9.4.7 Urban Campout

Ward:	North Ward	Date:	28 March 2014
Precinct:	Leederville (3)	File Ref:	CMS0084
Attachments:	001 Urban Campout Proposal (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY)		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	A Birch, A/Manager Community Development		
Responsible Officer:	J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. **APPROVES** the proposed Urban Campout to be held in April 2015; and
2. **LISTS** for consideration an amount of \$25,000 on the Draft Budget 2014/2015 towards the project.

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Harley

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT 1

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Wilcox

“That the Officer Recommendation be amended to read as follows:

That the Council **IN PRINCIPLE**;

1. **APPROVES SUPPORTS** the proposed Urban Campout to be held in April 2015; and
2. **LISTS** for consideration an amount of ~~\$25,000~~ **\$15,000** on the Draft Budget 2014/2015 towards the project.”

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT PUT AND CARRIED (4-2)

For: Presiding Member Mayor Carey, Cr Cole, Cr Topelberg and Cr Wilcox

Against: Cr Harley and Cr McDonald

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 2

Moved Cr Cole, Seconded Cr Wilcox

“That a new Clause 3 be inserted to read as follows:

3. **PROVIDED with a report before final approval is given which requires a detailed plan regarding location and an event management plan this is to be reported to the Council Meeting to be held on 27 May 2014**

AMENDMENT PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

MOTION AS AMENDED PUT AND CARRIED ON THE CASTING VOTE OF THE PRESIDING MEMBER (4-3)

For: Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey (two votes – deliberative and casting vote), Cr Cole and Cr Wilcox

Against: Cr Harley, Cr McDonald and Cr Topelberg

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.7

That the Council IN PRINCIPLE;

1. **SUPPORTS the proposed Urban Campout to be held in April 2015;**
 2. **LISTS for consideration an amount of \$15,000 on the Draft Budget 2014/2015 towards the project; and**
 3. **PROVIDED with a report before final approval is given which requires a detailed plan regarding location and an event management plan and this is to be reported back to the Council Meeting to be held on 27 May 2014**
-

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To seek the Council's approval for the proposed Urban Campout proposed to be held in early 2015.

BACKGROUND:

On 13 January 2014, an advertisement inviting organisations planning major festivals within City of Vincent in 2014/2015 to apply for funding appeared in the *Guardian* and *Voice* newspapers, on the City of Vincent website and Facebook page. The deadline for submissions was 28 February 2013. Twenty one (21) applications were received, one (1) of them from The Event Agency for the proposed Urban Campout. This application is detailed below.

On Wednesday 13 February 2013, the City's Officers met with Nikki Graski from The Event Agency to discuss her proposal for an Urban Campout at Britannia Road Reserve.

DETAILS:

The Event Agency has coordinated a number of events within the City of Vincent and has a good working relationship with the City.

The proposed Urban Campout, as outlined in Confidential Attachment 001, aims to transform an open urban area into a free camping adventure, bringing the City of Vincent community together for one weekend to escape into their own city.

Earmarking Britannia Road Reserve as the location for Urban Campout, The Event Agency plan to take advantage of Perth's balmy weather and the City's green, open spaces to facilitate an active outdoor experience focussed on connectedness within families, between neighbours and with the environment.

The Urban Campout plans to run over two (2) days and one (1) night and entertainment will be in the form of music, storytelling and street theatre as well as sports, games and wildlife walks.

The Event Agency has applied for cash sponsorship of \$25,000 from the City to contribute specifically to the costs of:

- Infrastructure including marquees, staging, fencing, signage, toilet hire and staging;
- Artistic costs across two (2) days; and
- Publicity and promotion.

In addition to financial sponsorship, The Event Agency has applied for in-kind support from the City in the form of venue hire and waste management services.

The Urban Campout is planned to be a free event for families to enjoy.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

The Event Agency will consult and advertise in the community newspapers, with flyers and posters and a letter drop to City of Vincent residents within a 500 metre radius.

The use of the City's logo will be required and the promotion of the events will also be placed on the City's website and through social media avenues.

LEGAL/POLICY:

Policy No. 1.1.5 '*Donations, Sponsorship and Waiving of Fees and Charges*';
Policy No. 1.1.8 '*Festivals*'; and
Policy No. 3.8.3 '*Concerts and Events*'.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: Upon careful assessment of the risk management matrix and consideration of this event, it has been determined that this programme is low risk.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

In keeping with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2017*, Objective 3 states:

'Community Development and Wellbeing

3.1.1 *Celebrate, acknowledge and promote the City's cultural and social diversity.*

3.1.5 *Promote and provide a range of community events to bring people together and to foster a community way of life."*

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

As an inaugural event, sustainability is difficult to determine however with careful planning by The Event Agency and support from the City of Vincent the proposed Urban Campout could easily be held as an annual event.

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

At a total estimated cost of \$51,940, the Event Agency has requested funding of \$25,000 to hold the Urban Campout. The requested \$25,000 is budgeted to be spent as follows:

- Infrastructure \$14,000
- Artistic costs \$ 5,000
- Publicity and promotion \$ 6,000

The amount of \$25,000 is recommended to be listed for consideration on the Draft Budget 2014/2015.

COMMENTS:

Vincent is known as "The Festival City" and our programme of free entertainment and cultural activities are enjoyed by residents and visitors alike.

Introducing a new, recreation style event in the form of Urban Campout will add an additional dimension to our already diverse programme of events and will encourage families to return to nature and spend quality time together. A free event, the Urban Campout will be equal and accessible for all.

9.4.8 Beaufort Street Enhancement Project – Progress Report No 10

Ward:	South Ward	Date:	11 April 2014
Precinct:	(11) Mt Lawley Centre	File Ref:	TES0237
Attachments:	001 – Preva Urbana Bench Seat 002 - reSPOKE Chandelier 003 – Mary Street Piazza Concept		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officers:	D Doy, Place Manager A Birch, A/Manager Community Development		
Responsible Officers:	R Lotznicker, Director Technical Services J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		

REVISED OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. NOTES;

1.1 The information contained in the report regarding progress on Stage 2 projects and proposed for Stage 3 Enhancement projects; and

1.2 There are sufficient funds allocated in the 2013/2014 to complete Stage 2 work and implement the proposed stage 3 works as outlined in the report;

2. APPROVES the following as recommended by the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group;

2.1 The installation of twelve (12) seats in the Beaufort Precinct consistent with the styles and location agreed by the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group;

2.2 The installation of an ‘artistic light structure’ in the laneway between Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street and Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street; and

2.3 Light boxes being affixed to the building on Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street, facing onto the abutting laneway;

3. FURTHER investigates the removal and replacement of the existing ‘Twig Seating’ for the reasons as outlined in the report;

4. APPROVES IN PRINCIPLE the ‘Mary Street Piazza Public Open Space’ proposal within the Mary Street Road Reserve as shown on Attachment C subject to the parking and traffic implications being further investigated as well as undertaking consultation with the local community, Beaufort Street Network and business owners in accordance with the ~~City’s Consultation Policy~~; and

5. REQUESTS a further report on the outcomes of clauses 2, ~~and 3~~ and 4 to be reported back to the Council.

Note: The above Officer Recommendation was corrected and distributed prior to the meeting. Changes are indicated by strike through and underline.

Moved Cr McDonald, Seconded Cr Cole

That the recommendation be adopted.

Debate ensued.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

“That a Clause 4 be inserted to read as follows:

4. APPROVES IN PRINCIPLE the ‘Mary Street Piazza Public Open Space’ proposal within the Mary Street Road Reserve as shown on Attachment C subject to the parking and traffic implications being further investigated as well as undertaking consultation with the local community, Beaufort Street Network

and business owners in accordance with the ~~City's Consultation Policy following guidelines~~; and

4.1 Consultation letters be sent out explaining the proposal;

4.2 a two (2) week trial period of a partial closure of Mary Street and Beaufort Street intersection, be included in the consultation letter; and

4.3 a Community Forum be hosted on the corner of Beaufort and Mary Street during the trial period; and

AMENDMENT PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

MOTION AS AMENDED PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)

(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4.8

That the Council;

1. **NOTES;**

1.1 The information contained in the report regarding progress on Stage 2 projects and proposed for Stage 3 Enhancement projects; and

1.2 There are sufficient funds allocated in the 2013/2014 to complete Stage 2 work and implement the proposed stage 3 works as outlined in the report;

2. **APPROVES** the following as recommended by the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group;

2.1 The installation of twelve (12) seats in the Beaufort Precinct consistent with the styles and location agreed by the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group;

2.2 The installation of an 'artistic light structure' in the laneway between Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street and Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street; and

2.3 Light boxes being affixed to the building on Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street, facing onto the abutting laneway;

3. **FURTHER** investigates the removal and replacement of the existing 'Twig Seating' for the reasons as outlined in the report;

4. **APPROVES IN PRINCIPLE** the 'Mary Street Piazza Public Open Space' proposal within the Mary Street Road Reserve as shown on Attachment C subject to the parking and traffic implications being further investigated as well as undertaking consultation with the local community, Beaufort Street Network and business owners in accordance with the following guidelines; and

4.1 Consultation letters be sent out explaining the proposal;

4.2 a two (2) week trial period of a partial closure of Mary Street and Beaufort Street intersection, be included in the consultation letter; and

4.3 a Community Forum be hosted on the corner of Beaufort and Mary Street during the trial period; and

5. **REQUESTS** a further report on the outcomes of clauses 2, 3 and 4 to be reported back to the Council.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Relocation of 'Twig Seating'

It is recommended to remove and replace the 'twig seating', currently located at Lot 57 (Nos. 581A, 581 - 583) Beaufort Street, to a more suitable location such as a park or an area frequented by families and children. Although the seating is utilised, due to the type of material it is made from, it is therefore, frequently and easily vandalised. It is recommended to investigate either painting or replacing the current seating with a similar concept but utilising an alternate material, such as concrete.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

The purpose of this report is to update the Council on the progress of the Beaufort Street Enhancement Works and to seek the Council's approval for the following items:

- Installation of 12 bench seats;
- Installation of an artistic light structure in the laneway between Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street and Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street;
- Installation of light boxes to the building on Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street, facing the abutting laneway;
- A public open space area within the Mary Street Road Reserve, abutting Beaufort Street, Highgate;

BACKGROUND:

A number of progress reports have been considered by the Council since 2011 regarding the Beaufort Street enhancement works progress through Stages 1 and 2.

This report deals with the proposed works associated with Stage 3, except for the proposed installation of Light Boxes which forms a part of Stage 2 as outlined below in Council's resolution from the Ordinary Meeting of Council dated 11 September 2012.

Further to this, the Beaufort Street Enhancement working group at its meeting on March 31 2014 resolved to present to Council a seating design for 12 locations; the .reSPOKE artistic light structure proposal; a new light box location; the Mary Street piazza concept; and a reformed wayfinding proposition.

DETAILS:

Iconic Artwork

The Council, at its meeting held on 11 March 2014, authorised the Chief Executive Officer to act in accordance with the Council's decision, as detailed in the Confidential Report. This matter remains confidential. A further confidential report will be submitted to the Council in due course.

Tree planting

Tree plantings are scheduled to be completed by the end of April 2014.

Future Beaufort Street Pavement Treatment

This matter was considered by the Council at the Ordinary Meeting held on 8 April 2014 where the Council supported the initiative to be funded by the Public Transport Authority in return for the Council approving the installation of peak period bus lanes. The City will liaise with pavement contractor and if required a local artist to assess the costs and methods of installing a design with the limitations of the budget south of the Walcott Street and Beaufort Street intersection and at the St Albans/Beaufort Street intersection.

Wayfinding

The Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group, at its meeting on 31 May 2013, resolved to investigate low cost stencilled wayfinding. These stencils would be located on the pavement and would direct pedestrians to parks, rail stations and other town centres. It was agreed that these were an interesting and effective means of directing visitors around the Beaufort Street Precinct.

Seating

At the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group meeting held on 31 March 2014, the location and style of twelve (12) 'Preva Urbana Bench' seats was supported, ten (10) of which will be in new locations and two (2) replacing existing seats to ensure continuity within the Town Centre. The seating design has been carefully chosen to maximise user comfort with the inclusion of back and arm rests, whilst being a stylish and distinctive addition to the Beaufort Street public realm.

The locations have been chosen to maximise existing shade whilst being in close proximity to highly pedestrianised areas.

The recommended chairs can be found at 9.4.8 Attachment A.

The total cost for the production, delivery and installation of the chosen twelve (12) seats is estimated at \$20,400 (including GST) and can be completed within a timeframe of approximately 10-12 weeks.

Artistic Light Structures

.reSPOKE Chandelier

.reSPOKE, a Perth based design company showcased a conceptual light structure in the laneway between Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street and Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street at the 2013 Beaufort Street Festival. The display comprised conceptual images of a chandelier structure 9.4.8 Attachment B at the Beaufort Street end of the laneway. The response to the proposed light structure at the Festival was overwhelmingly positive.

Whilst providing light to the laneway, which is currently not well lit, the structure, when combined with the below discussed curated light boxes and the already painted laneway surface, will activate what is currently an underutilised and forgotten space. The proposed light structure celebrates Beaufort Streets burgeoning bicycle culture with some of the components of the structure built from bicycle parts.

As part of the proposed works .reSPOKE will be required to provide structurally certified drawings by a certified structural engineer. reSPOKE will also be responsible for installing the proposed light structure.

The Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group agreed in principle at their meeting on 17 February 2014 to proceed with the proposed light structure subject to the written approval of the landowner of Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street.

The landowner of Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street has verbally agreed for the proposed Chandelier and below discussed curated light boxes to be fixed to the wall abutting the laneway. A written agreement between the landowner and the City is currently being prepared for both art pieces.

The estimated cost for the complete design, construction, installation and maintenance of the light structure is \$22,700.

Light Boxes

At the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 11 September 2012, the Council approved \$10,000 to be allocated to the purchasing and installation of light boxes. This formed a part of the \$200,000 budget for Stage 2 of the Beaufort Street Enhancement Works.

The installation of these light boxes was intended for the building on Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street, facing the abutting laneway. The owner of Lot 2 (485) Beaufort Street has not agreed to the installation of the light boxes, thus stalling the initiative.

As aforementioned, the landowner of Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street has verbally agreed for the light boxes to be fixed to the wall abutting the laneway with a written agreement currently being drawn up.

Mary Street Piazza

The Mary Street Piazza concept will provide a space for people of all ages to sit and relax in a shaded environment.

Spatially, the Mary Street Piazza requires a semi-closure of Mary Street. The concept reduces a portion of the road pavement width to 6 metres. This semi-closure would cease access to Mary Street from Beaufort Street. Access to Beaufort Street from Mary Street would be retained. The existing pedestrian footpath network will not be compromised.

Steps into the Piazza will be required due to the level difference across the concept area. Universal access would still be achieved via the footpath adjacent to Lot 37 (497) Beaufort Street. The concept shows the steps as a defining feature that could be used for informal seating by the general public. Low level retaining will also be required adjacent to Mary Street and Lot 37 (497) – again this would be utilised for informal seating. Attachment C visually details the Mary Street Piazza concept.

At the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group held on Monday 31 March 2014, the concept design was supported in principle and it was resolved for the Mary Street Piazza Concept to be presented to Council. The design and ideas for place curation will be further refined following consultation with the surrounding community.

The preliminary estimated cost of the Piazza Concept proposal is \$185,000.

Officer's Comments:

An in principle approval for the 'Mary Street Piazza Public Open Space' proposal is being requested at this stage. The officers are aware that there is a proposed adjacent development that may have an impact on the ultimate outcome of this proposal as the Piazza proposal requires the loss of a number of on road angle parking bays. In addition the parking and Traffic implications for implementing such a proposal need to be further investigated.

CONSULTATION/ADVERTISING:

The Beaufort Street Network (Network) comprises the Beaufort Street Town Centre Precinct Group and has a diverse mix of local business and resident members. The Network has prepared an Action Plan to guide future actions taken on the street by government authorities the Network and the local business and resident community. Whilst this is not an endorsed plan, it does provide an excellent indication of the local priorities for the Beaufort Street Town Centre.

As part of this Action Plan, the Network undertook a detailed place assessment to critique the current town centre environment, considering elements such as shade, seating, frontage detail, and pedestrian accessibility. The place assessment identified that the current seating provision was insufficient and suitable locations for future seating were identified

Consultation with the landowner of Lot 1 (483) Beaufort Street has been undertaken with verbal consent received regarding the proposed light structures being fixed to the northern wall of his building. A written agreement between the City and the landowner is currently being prepared.

Preliminary consultation on the Mary Street Piazza concept has been undertaken with the Beaufort Street Network however targeted engagement with the surrounding businesses and Mary Street residents will be required prior to proceeding.

LEGAL/POLICY:

The community will be consulted regarding the proposals according to the City's Community Consultation Policy.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:

Low: The proposal will not impede pedestrian access or pose an unacceptable risk and will comply with the relevant Standards.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS:

This is in keeping with the City's *Strategic Plan 2013-2017* where the following objective states:

"Objective 1: Natural and Built Environment

Objective 1.1: Improve and maintain the natural and built environment and infrastructure.

1.1.5: Enhance and maintain the City's infrastructure, assets and community facilities to provide a safe, sustainable and functional environment".

"Objective 3: Community Development and Wellbeing

Objective 3.1.3: Enhance and promote community development and wellbeing

3.1.3: Promote health and wellbeing in the community

3.1.6: Build capacity within the community for individuals and groups to meet the needs of the broader community".

“Objective 4: Leadership, Governance and Management

Objective 4.1: Provide good strategic decision-making, governance, leadership and professional management

4.1.5: Focus on stakeholder needs, values, engagement and involvement”

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable

FINANCIAL/BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

2012/2013 Budget (Stage 2):

An amount of \$223,000 was carried forward into the ~~2014/2015~~ 2013/2014 Budget to complete stage 2 enhancement works in Beaufort Street. Taking into account committed funds, approximately ~~\$128,000~~ 118,000 is remaining in this budget

Item	Estimated Cost
Budget	<u>\$223,000</u>
- Light Boxes	\$10,000
- Spend to date including committed expenditure and additional trees	<u>\$95,000</u>
Total Estimated Expenditure	<u>\$105,000</u>
<i>Estimated funds remaining (Stage 2)</i>	<u>\$118,000</u>

2013/2014 Budget (Stage 3):

An amount of \$200,000 has been included in the ~~2013/2013~~ 2014 Budget for Stage 3 of the ongoing enhancement of the Beaufort Street streetscape. The proposed cost of the works are as follows:

Item	Estimated Cost
Budget	<u>\$200,000</u>
- Seating	\$21,000
- Artistic Lighting	\$23,000
- Mary Street Piazza	\$185,000
Total Estimated Expenditure	<u>\$229,000</u>
<i>Projected funding shortfall (Stage 3)</i>	-\$33,000 <u>-\$29,000</u>

Note: As shown above the estimated unexpended funds from Stage 2 are \$118,000 therefore the estimated ~~\$33,000~~ 29,000 funding shortfall from Stage 3 can be funded from this budget allocation

COMMENTS:

The above initiatives have been endorsed by the Beaufort Street Enhancement Working Group and are in accordance with the yet to be endorsed Better Beaufort Action Plan, prepared by the Beaufort Street Network. The initiatives will improve the comfort and interest for both local residents and visitors on the street and contribute to the destinational qualities of Beaufort Street as a Town Centre.

Note: The above was corrected and distributed prior to the meeting. Changes are indicated by strike through and underline.

10. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

10.1 NOTICE OF MOTION: Cr Joshua Topelberg request to investigate reducing the speed limit on Vincent Street to 40km/h between William Street and Fitzgerald Street

That the Council:

1. REQUESTS the Acting Chief Executive Officer to investigate reducing the speed limit on Vincent Street to 40km/h between William Street and Fitzgerald Street; and
2. PROVIDES a report to the council no later than 10 June 2014.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.1

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr McDonald

That the motion be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

11. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

12. REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES

Nil.

13. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

At 7.40pm **Moved Cr Harley, Seconded Cr Cole**

That the Council proceed “behind closed doors” to consider confidential item 14.1, relating to the Beaufort Street Enhancement - Major Artwork – Progress Report No. 11, as this matter relates to;

“(d) legal advice obtained, or which may be obtained, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting;”.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

There were no members of the public present.

Executive Assistant (Minutes Secretary) – Jerilee Highfield departed the meeting.

Media departed the meeting.

PRESENT:

Mayor John Carey	Presiding Member
Cr Roslyn Harley (<i>Deputy Mayor</i>)	North Ward
Cr Emma Cole	North Ward
Cr Laine McDonald	South Ward
Cr Joshua Topelberg	South Ward
Cr Julia Wilcox	North Ward
Mike Rootsey	Acting Chief Executive Officer
Jacinta Anthony	Acting Director Community Services
Rick Lotznicker	Director Technical Services
Petar Mrdja	Acting Director Planning Services

14. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED (“BEHIND CLOSED DOORS”)

14.1 CONFIDENTIAL LATE REPORT: Beaufort Street Enhancement – Major Artwork – Progress Report No. 11

Ward:	South	Date:	22 April 2014
Precinct:	Beaufort (13)	File Ref:	TES0237
Attachments:	001 – Squire Sanders Letter 17 March 2014 – (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY) 002 – City’s Letter 21 March 2014 - (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY) 003 – City’s Letter 17 April 2014 - (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY) 004 – Bremick’s Email 17 April 2014 - (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY) 005 – Proposed Design and Finish - (CONFIDENTIAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ONLY)		
Tabled Items:	Nil		
Reporting Officer:	J Anthony, A/Director Community Services		
Responsible Officer:	M Rootsey, A/Chief Executive Officer		

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council;

1. pursuant to section 5.23(2) of the Local Government Act 1995 and clause 2.14 of the City of Vincent Local Law Relating to Standing Orders, PROCEEDS “behind closed doors” at the conclusion of the items, to consider the confidential report, circulated separately to Council Members, relating to the Beaufort Street Enhancement – Major Artwork – Progress Report No. 11, as this matter relates to;

“(d) legal advice obtained, or which may be obtained, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting;” and

2. AUTHORISES the Acting Chief Executive Officer to make public the Confidential Report, or any part of it, at the appropriate time.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 14.1

Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Cole

That the motion be adopted.

Debate ensued.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)

DETAILS:

The Acting Chief Executive Officer is of the opinion that this report is of a confidential nature as the matter relates to personal affairs and contains financial information and which will be discussed at the meeting.

LEGAL:

The Local Government Act 1995, Section 5.23(2) prescribes that a meeting or any part of a meeting may be closed to the public when it deals with a range of matters.

The City of Vincent Local Law Relating to Standing Orders states the following:

"2.14 Confidential business

- (1) All business conducted by the Council at meetings (or any part of it) which are closed to members of the public is to be treated in accordance with the Local Government (Rules of Conduct) Regulations 2007."*

The confidential report is provided separately to Council Members, the Acting Chief Executive Officer and Directors.

In accordance with the legislation, the report is to be kept confidential until determined by the Council to be released for public information. At the conclusion of these matters, the Council may wish to make some details available to the public.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

At 8.00pm **Moved Cr Topelberg, Seconded Cr Harley**

That the Council resume an “open meeting”.

MOTION PUT AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0)

**(Cr Pintabona was on approved leave of absence.)
(Cr Buckels and Cr Peart were apologies for the Meeting.)**

15. CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member, Mayor John Carey, declared the meeting closed at 8.00pm with the following persons present:

Mayor John Carey	Presiding Member
Cr Roslyn Harley (<i>Deputy Mayor</i>)	North Ward
Cr Emma Cole	North Ward
Cr Laine McDonald	South Ward
Cr Joshua Topelberg	South Ward
Cr Julia Wilcox	North Ward
Mike Rootsey	Acting Chief Executive Officer
Jacinta Anthony	Acting Director Community Services
Rick Lotznicker	Director Technical Services
Petar Mrdja	Acting Director Planning Services

These Minutes were confirmed by the Council as a true and accurate record of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 22 April 2014.

Signed:Presiding Member John Carey.

Dated this day of 2014.