



**CITY OF VINCENT**

# **NOTES**

**Council Briefing**

**9 May 2023**

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Declaration of Opening / Acknowledgement of Country</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Apologies / Members on Approved Leave of Absence</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Public Question Time and Receiving of Public Statements</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Declarations of Interest</b> .....	<b>9</b>
5.8	Advertising of New Policy - West Perth Planning Framework .....	10
6.1	Response to Petition – Alma/Leake Intersection Diagonal Diversion.....	11
5.7	Advertising of New Policy - North Claisebrook Planning Framework .....	12
5.3	No. 12 Brookman Street (Lots: 69 and 90; Plan: 4576) - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Single House .....	13
5.2	Nos. 334-336 (Lots: 4 & 5, D/P: 1304) Beaufort Street, Perth - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Shop (Roller Shutters) (Unauthorised Existing Development) .....	15
5.4	Request for Proposals for Redevelopment of Leederville Carparks.....	17
5.5	RFT PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space.....	20
5.1	No. 4 (Lot: 1; S/P: 24447) Primrose Street, Perth - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Grouped Dwelling .....	21
5.6	Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan .....	23
5.9	City of Vincent Arts Plan - Approval to Advertise.....	24
5.10	Thriving Places Strategy - Approval to Advertise .....	25
5.11	Outcome of Advertising - Strategic Community Plan 2022 - 2032 .....	26
5.12	Outcomes of Advertising - Amendment No. 12 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2.....	27
5.13	Extension of Leases to Child & Adolescent Health Service for Child Health Clinics .....	28
5.14	Offers for Sale - No. 25 (Lot 93) Sydney Street, North Per .....	29
5.5	RFT PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space.....	30
6.4	RFT IE224/2022 Provision of General Electrical Maintenance Services.....	31
6.2	Proposed Residential Only Parking Restrictions, Harold Street, Mount Lawley - Results of Community Consultation.....	32
6.3	Outcome of Advertising and Adoption of Amended Graffiti Control and Removal Policy 2.1.3.....	33
6.4	RFT IE224/2022 Provision of General Electrical Maintenance Services.....	34
6.5	Draft Bike Plan 2023-2028 Consultation.....	35
7.1	Financial Statements as at 31 March 2023 .....	36
7.2	Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 March 2023 to 31 March 2023.....	37
7.3	Investment Report as at 31 March 2023.....	38
7.4	Advertising of amended policy - Recognition of Noongar Boodjar Culture and History through Welcome to Country and Acknowledgement of Country .....	39
7.5	Differential Rating Strategy 2023/24 .....	40
8.1	Annual Review of Council Delegations [ABSOLUTE MAJORITY DECISION REQUIRED] .....	41
8.2	Leederville Oval Sponsorship and Naming Rights .....	42
8.3	Information Bulletin .....	43
<b>9</b>	<b>Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given</b> .....	<b>44</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Representation on Committees and Public Bodies</b> .....	<b>44</b>

11 Confidential Items/Matters For Which the Meeting May be Closed .....44  
12 Closure .....44

**NOTES OF CITY OF VINCENT  
COUNCIL BRIEFING  
HELD AS E-MEETING AND AT THE  
ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIC CENTRE,  
244 VINCENT STREET, LEEDERVILLE  
ON TUESDAY, 9 MAY 2023 AT 6.00PM**

<b>PRESENT:</b>	<p>Mayor Emma Cole Cr Susan Gontaszewski Cr Alex Castle Cr Dan Loden Cr Ross Ioppolo Cr Ron Alexander Cr Suzanne Worner</p>	<p>Presiding Member South Ward North Ward North Ward South Ward North Ward North Ward</p>
<b>IN ATTENDANCE:</b>	<p>David MacLennan John Corbellini  Paul Morrice  Karsen Reynolds Tara Gloster  Luke McGuirk  Yvette Plimbley  Chris Dixon  Jayde Robbins  Ian Mickle  John Del Dosso  Joslin Colli  Wendy Barnard</p>	<p>Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Strategy &amp; Development A/Executive Director Infrastructure &amp; Environment A/Manager Development &amp; Design Executive Manager Urban Design &amp; Strategic Projects Manager Engineering (left at 8.38pm, during Item 8.3) Manager Waste and Recycling (electronically) (Left at 8.22 after Item 6.3) A/Manager Rangers Services (electronically) (left at 8.30pm) Manager City Buildings &amp; Asset Management (electronically) (left at 8.25pm) Cygnet West (Joined at 7.28pm for Item 5.4 only) Cygnet West (Joined at 7.28pm for Item 5.4 only) A/Executive Manager Corporate Strategy &amp; Governance Council Liaison Officer</p>
<b>Public:</b>	Approximately 16 members of the public.	

## **1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY**

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, declared the meeting open at 6.03pm and read the following Acknowledgement of Country statement:

“The City of Vincent would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and pay our respects to Elders past and present.”

## **2 APOLOGIES / MEMBERS ON APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Cr Jonathan Hallett was an apology for this meeting.

Cr Ashley Wallace was an apology for this meeting.

### 3 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND RECEIVING OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS

The following is a summary of questions and submissions received and responses provided at the meeting. This is not a verbatim record of comments made at the meeting.

#### Jon Denaro of Fremantle – Item 5.8

- Stated he is the Chairman and spokesman of the Pickle District
- Stated that he is supportive of this document, but feels it does not have enough teeth, and does not fully support their aim of bringing more artists and activity into the area and continue to build the West End arts precinct, which is the only one in Western Australia
- Stated that he doesn't agree that developers should be able to build to 18 storeys and maybe negotiate some arts outcome. This needs to be drastically reduced to keep the cultural element in place
- Stated that the document should encourage real outcomes, for example negotiation for square metres, dedicated in perpetuity, so that it can be a legacy for the future

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Denaro for his comments and congratulated him on After Dark on Friday night, which was another amazing event and was extremely well attended.*

#### Ashlee La Fontaine of North Perth – Item 6.1

- Stated that the proposed alternative fails to address traffic volume, with the justification to ensure neighbouring access roads are protected
- Queried if Council would consider a local area traffic management plan, focusing on reducing traffic volume, to ensure a better outcome for residents
- Mentioned that keeping local access roads off of Gerald Street is not the strategy preferred by residents in the impacted streets, nor the strategy documented by the City of Vincent. It is also not the case in other town centres. North Perth residents have expressed as a high priority, as part of the recent North Perth Town Centre Place Plan, that the City addresses rat running on local access roads adjacent to the town centre
- Stated that neighbouring town centres benefit from lower traffic volumes and are more likely to support additional treatments on their local and distributor roads
- Mentioned that community opposition to changes to the road network is predictable, expected initially and documented in many traffic management guidelines and this should have been accounted for
- Urged Council to consider the community's key concerns for improved consistency in safety and amenity across all cells within the City

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms La Fontaine for her comments.*

#### Trish Byrne of Perth – Item 5.7

- Spoke against the officer's recommendation, considers that further community consultation is required
- Stated that the process outlined on the Plan your Place – Claisebrook webpage was not followed. The webpage states that "Once the framework is drafted, we will host more workshops and information sessions to confirm we heard what the community said." does not believe this process occurred
- Stated that there has been poor engagement. Important and potentially damaging decisions being led by a select few who were able to attend the workshops
- Mentioned that there may have been confusion as to the importance of this document, as it was referred to as a Place Plan, Framework, Planning Policy and envisioning exercise in various correspondence
- Stated concerned about overly restrictive height limits, with significant changes to the maximum allowed height in some areas reduced by 30%, without due consideration for the consequences
- Mentioned that this has the potential to deliver sub optimal design due to cost effectiveness, rather than quality

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Byrne for her comments.*

### **Carol Scafe of Perth – Item 5.3**

- Spoke in support of the recommendation, as the proposal does not follow in the spirit of the Brookman/Moir Streets heritage and developments of this type will forever change the fabric of the precinct
- The modest scale, open space and lack of bulk of the existing homes should be maintained and conserved to retain the character of the area

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Scafe for her comments.*

### **Bliss Brosnan of Perth – Item 5.3**

- Spoke in support of the recommendation
- Stated she is looking forward to defending the Design Guidelines

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Brosnan for her comments and advised she is happy to engage further regarding the Design Guidelines.*

### **Anthony Hart of Perth – Item 5.2**

Submitted comments in writing, which he spoke to.

- Mentioned that he is the applicant for the item
- Stated that the roller shutters do complement the existing building, only 5% of the building is glazed, and it is covered only at night. An overwhelming majority of the community respondents supported this view.
- Considers that transparent shutters would not complement the existing building, neither would bars, large security grilles or any of the other security options available
- Mentioned that the report states that roller shutters were installed due to several breakins, and the applicant is not supportive of removing the shutters and providing alternative security. This is misrepresentative, as significant levels of security measures are in place, including fully monitored alarm, night time cameras, security filmed window glass, toughened glass to automatic doors, motion sensor lighting, full PR motion sensors to all internal areas and much more. These have been communicated to the City
- Stated that transparent roller shutters are not in keeping with the character of the building, would not be aesthetically pleasing and is prone to damage and graffiti.
- Stated that the report states that the current roller shutters are not recessed in the building, disagrees with this
- Mentioned willing to compromise in order to have this application approved

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Hart for his comments. .*

Written statement (separate attachments)

Please find attached my 'statement' attached. I fully understand that the level of content will make it unlikely that much of this can be addressed in the Council Briefing (if any), however I wanted the Council Members to have my responses to the council points, particularly in light of the fact that the recommendation from the City is to refuse my application. Please note - although the document itself is long (it will be easy for them to search for my comments. I just wanted to ensure they have this level of feedback/justification in order to make a balanced decision over the coming week. It's also important they have this, as if my application is unsuccessful, it will form part of the documentation I'll be presenting to the SAT in any 'appeal'.

**Michael Hernan of Perth – Item 5.2**

- Queried if the Council plans to update the Built Form Policy to include guidelines regarding businesses within the activity corridor, or will Council insist that businesses adhere to guidelines not designed for that particular area and featuring completely different scope of community interaction and engagement?
- Queried if all businesses in that area be required to have their internal spaces lit in accordance with the Built Form Policy, as the Policy does not specify a time of day, and if this is with regard to interaction with the environment will the Council potentially compensate businesses for having their stores illuminated to 70%?
- Stated that the building does contain high value assets, as it is a service centre for the Police Force fleet of bicycles, which need to be secured at a different level than private assets would be. Requested that Council take this into account.

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Hernan for his comments*

**Hamza Hotat of Perth - Item 5.3**

- Stated that he has been appointed by the owner of the property to create the design, as the current condition and size of the property does not satisfy their needs
- The design has changed significantly to address the City requirement and community concerns, as well as maintaining the heritage of the area, and has been assessed by the Heritage Council and approved
- The design meets sustainability and energy needs of the owners
- Stated that they have responded to the City's concerns and that should be taken into account

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Hotat for his comments and advised that the report says that the Heritage Council did not support the application, and she will ask the question during discussion on the item. She then reviewed the report and advised that the original application was not approved by the Heritage Council, but the revised application was. She apologised for the confusion.*

**Leon Firios of North Perth – Item 6.1**

- Spoke in support of the recommendation
- Stated that despite all the publicity there are only 3 people in support of the diversion
- Urged Council to remove the diversion

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Firios for his comments and his advocacy.*

**Peter Eastwood of Perth – Item 5.7**

- Spoke in support of the recommendation
- Stated that he owns property in the area and plans to develop in the near future
- Stated that the area at the moment has needles in gardens, theft from driveways, etc and is no comparison to North Perth
- Development of landscaping, better pedestrian and cycling access through the area and increased CCTV etc has his support

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Eastwood for his comments.*

**Pauline Holdaway of Perth – Item 5.7**

- Spoke in support of framework
- Stated that there were a number of public meetings held and a public survey in case residents were unable to attend the meetings
- Stated that it is imperative that the framework is in place before the concrete batching plant expiry occurs, as if that is extended it will devastate the area, there will be no more investment in the redevelopment of the area

- Mentioned that the framework is a good reflection of what the community wants, certainly the safety concerns, lighting and central areas of the public park have been addressed. There are certain areas of concern, such as the helicopters landing at the hospital, but the compromise in the framework is a good one

*The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Holdaway for her comments*

The following statements were submitted in writing prior to the meeting:

#### **Tom Goode of Mt Lawley – Item 5.7**

While applauding the planners approach to the removal of the concrete batching plants, I am concerned that the planning approach is ignoring some of the changes that are happening in the northern part of the north Claisebrook precinct.

#### **Administration have provided the responses below:**

1. There is a multi-story building under construction on railway land at the eastern end of Harold street. The planners appear unaware of this or any impact it might have.

*The Public Transport Authority (PTA) building and its impacts were considered in the context report that informed the preparation of the draft NCPF (North Claisebrook Planning Framework). Being located within the rail reserve (under the management of the PTA), the City does not determine the height or scale of development in this area. The intention of the draft NCPF and the suburban frame sub-precinct is to maintain the character and dwelling diversity of the northern part of the precinct whilst providing built form controls that enable a transition to medium density development.*

2. The precinct should not be considered as one area as Summers Street divides the area. Note there is no direct vehicular access onto Summers Street from the northern end.

*The comment is noted. The intention of the inclusion of the area north of Summers Street was to apply development controls to this area that would ensure the character and dwelling diversity was maintained, and to allow the area to be considered as part of future changes to development provisions as the greater Claisebrook area develops. Whilst vehicle connectivity between the north and south of Summers Street is limited, pedestrian and cyclist connectivity is strong. Amenities and public transport links south of Summers Street service the whole precinct.*

3. The map used is misleading as it does not show road closures.

*This comment is noted. The maps used in Part 02 Context aim to show a snapshot of the existing conditions within North Claisebrook. The maps used elsewhere in the draft NCPF aim to show the location of future private realm development controls and public realm improvements.*

4. Planning must include a controlled exit for traffic wishing to turn right onto Lord Street from at least one of the streets north of Summers St.

*The City anticipates that a precinct wide transport impact assessment (TIA) can inform required modifications to the local road network and will be advocated for by the City as part of Action 5.6.3 Seek funding opportunities from the Perth Parking Levy.*

#### **David Stevens of North Perth – Item 5.5**

The March 2023 general meeting minutes mentioned Mount Hawthorn Skate Park Project will commence this financial year. No update was provided in the April 2023 general meeting minutes.

Can you please provide an update on the project including whether a construction contract has been awarded, and proposed the construction schedule?

#### **Administration have provided the below response:**

*The tender submission of Skate Sculpture for Tender PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space (Design and Construct) is being considered by Council at its Meeting on 16 May. Pending this Council decision, the design will commence in May with construction due to be completed at the end of 2023. Further information will be announced once the contract is awarded.*



**Andrew Kailis of West Perth – Item 5.8**

As a local resident, volunteer, and business owner in the WPPF area I would like to state that the Senior Strategic Planner did an incredible job putting this document together.

She was engaging and had a high level of understanding of the needs from both sides of the fence. I am sure with valuable input from our Place Planner.

There being no further speakers, Public Question Time closed at approximately 6.30pm.

**4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

- 4.1 Cr Ross Ioppolo declared an impartiality interest in Item 5.6 Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan. The extent of his interest is that his daughter attends Leederville Early Childhood Centre.
- 4.2 Cr Alex Castle declared an impartiality interest in Item 5.1 No. 4 (Lot: 1; S/P: 24447) Primrose Street, Perth - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Grouped Dwelling. The extent of her interest is that she is friends with one of the architects employed by Chindarsi Associates, who prepared some of the papers for the report.
- 4.3 Cr Dan Loden declared an impartiality interest in Item 5.6 Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan. The extent of his interest is that his son attends Leederville Early Childhood Centre.
- 4.4 Mayor Cole declared an impartiality interest in Item 5.4 Request for Proposals for Redevelopment of Leederville Carparks. The extent of her interest is that one of the sub-consultants to one of the proposals is someone I know professional and personally but she has not discussed or engaged with him or any of the proponents in relation to the item.

**5.8 ADVERTISING OF NEW POLICY - WEST PERTH PLANNING FRAMEWORK**

- Attachments:**
1. Draft West Perth Planning Framework
  2. Survey Submissions

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council PREPARES Local Planning Framework: West Perth as at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, pursuant to Schedule 2, Part 2, Clause 4 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

**MAYOR COLE:**

Why is it not the Pickle District Planning Framework?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*The title has been updated to the Pickle District Planning Framework.*

**MAYOR COLE:**

Can we include reference to Pickle Park?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*This has been included within the map on page 27 of the framework.*

**MAYOR COLE:**

Is the acceptable height too high to achieve the community benefit?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*Administration will include a question in the community consultation to investigate this, giving different alternative options to achieve community benefit while still achieving the desired heights viably.*

**CR LODEN:**

Can the wording for #3 of the development incentives for community benefit include specific sqm and increase the time for the lease? What is the consequence of providing this in perpetuity?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*#3 of the development incentives for community benefit now requires a minimum lease period of 10 years. This is to allow suitable time for a business to establish themselves.*

*To ensure this space continues to support the creative art industry, the framework stipulates the space must continue to operate as a space that services the creative arts industry.*

*As part of the Making Space for Culture Report, Administration asked business within the creative arts industry what size spaces they required to operate their businesses. A variety of sizes were requested which is reflected within #3 of the development incentives for community benefit clause.*

**6.1 RESPONSE TO PETITION – ALMA/LEAKE INTERSECTION DIAGONAL DIVERSION**

Attachments: 1. Petition - Diagonal Diversion - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **APPROVES** the discontinuance of the diagonal diversion trial at the intersection of Alma Road and Leake Street, North Perth; and
2. **APPROVES** the installation of a 4-way raised plateau at the above intersection in the current financial year.

**MAYOR COLE:**

Can we include the Flow Diagrams and traffic speeds before and after?

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*They have been included within the report.*

**CR LODEN:**

Can the report include that the 4-way plateau will not stop through traffic such as heavy vehicles.

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*They have been included within the report.*

**CR LODEN:**

Foreshadow an amendment to delete Item 2. Reason: invested in significant raised plateau to address speed need to address volume; include traffic speed prior to plateau vs after implemented

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*Noted*

**CR IOPPOLO:**

Can we sort through the detail of the Petition and find out how many people live locally on adjacent streets who are against the diagonal diversion.

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*They have been included within the report.*

**5.7 ADVERTISING OF NEW POLICY - NORTH CLAISEBROOK PLANNING FRAMEWORK**

- Attachments:
1. Draft North Claisebrook Planning Framework
  2. Survey Submissions

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council PREPARES Local Planning Framework: North Claisebrook as at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, pursuant to Schedule 2, Part 2, Clause 4(1) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

**MAYOR COLE:**

Can we better differentiate colours on the land use map for consultation?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*These colours have been updated to be more contrasted.*

**MAYOR COLE:**

What is the reasoning for the height of 6 storeys on the transit corridor?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*A height of 6 storeys was chosen as to aid in the transition to the lower height sub precincts of the Village (4 storeys) and Suburban Frames (4 & 3 Storeys). Community benefit provisions originally did not apply to the Transit Corridor sub-precinct. In light of the comments at the Council Briefing, developments in the Transit Corridor sub-precinct can now go to a maximum height of 8 storeys subject to meeting the criteria for community benefit as well as meeting the minimum lot size requirements.*

**5.3 NO. 12 BROOKMAN STREET (LOTS: 69 AND 90; PLAN: 4576) - PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO SINGLE HOUSE****Ward: South**

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Plan
  2. Development Plans
  3. Heritage Impact Statement
  4. Perspectives
  5. Summary of Submissions - Applicant Response
  6. Summary of Submissions - Administration Response
  7. 22 July 2022 Plans
  8. 24 November 2022 Plans
  9. Overshadowing Analysis
  10. Public Domain View
  11. Determination Advice Notes

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, REFUSES the application for Alterations and Additions to Single House at No. 12 (Lots: 69 and 90; P: 4576) Brookman Street, Perth in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2 with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 11, for the following reasons:

1. The proposed provision of open space does not satisfy the Design Principles of Clause 5.1.4 of State Planning Policy 7.3: Residential Design Codes – Volume 1, the development considerations of the City of Vincent Planning and Building Policy Manual Appendix 6 – Brookman and Moir Streets Development Guidelines, and performance criteria of Policy No. 7.6.1 – Heritage Management – Development Guidelines for Heritage and Adjacent Properties, for the following reasons:
  - 1.1 The building footprint of the additions would result in building bulk on the site that is inconsistent with the expectations of the R25 density code and the modest scale of the Brookman and Moir Streets Precinct; and
  - 1.2 The building footprint and scale would not be compatible with or respectful of the adjoining properties and wider precinct;
2. The proposed solar access to adjoining sites does not satisfy the Design Principles of Clause 5.4.2 of State Planning Policy 7.3: Residential Design Codes – Volume 1 or objectives of the Brookman and Moir Streets Development Guidelines because the shadow from the additions would adversely impact the amenity of the adjoining property by restricting existing solar access to an outdoor living area;
3. The demolition of the rear water closet does not satisfy the development controls of the City of Vincent Planning and Building Policy Manual Appendix No. 6 Brookman and Moir Streets Development Guidelines because it has not been demonstrated that it would not adversely impact the cultural heritage significance associated with the heritage place, through the removal of development which represents an original component of the subdivision of the Brookman and Moir Streets Precinct;
4. The scale, form and architectural detailing of the proposed two storey addition would not satisfy the objectives of the City of Vincent Planning and Building Policy Manual Appendix No. 6 Brookman and Moir Streets Development Guidelines, objectives of City of Vincent Policy No. 7.6.1 – Heritage Management – Development Guidelines for Heritage and Adjacent Properties or development principles of State Planning Policy 3.5 – Historic Heritage Conservation. This is because it would not be respectful of, or compatible with, the heritage fabric of the subject site and adjoining properties, and would not appropriately interpret the heritage significance of the dwellings within the Brookman and Moir Streets Precinct with a high quality contemporary design;
5. As a result of the demolition and cumulative impact of building bulk, scale, appearance and

overshadowing from the proposed additions, for reasons 1 to 4, the development would:

- 5.1 adversely affect the cultural heritage significance of the subject site and broader Brookman and Moir Streets Heritage Precinct (Clause 67(2)(k), (l) (f) (g) and (x) of the Deemed Provisions in Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*);
- 5.2 not be compatible with the existing or desired character of the local area, as defined by the Brookman and Moir Streets Development Guidelines (Clause 67(2)(g) and (m) of the Deemed Provisions in Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*);
- 5.3 have an adverse and detrimental impact on the amenity of the adjoining property and character of the locality in accordance with Clause 67(n) of the Deemed Provisions in Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*; and
- 5.4 not enhance the amenity and character of the existing neighbourhood and is not compatible with the established area in accordance with the objectives of the Residential Zone under Local Planning Scheme No. 2.

**NO QUESTIONS**

**5.2 NOS. 334-336 (LOTS: 4 & 5, D/P: 1304) BEAUFORT STREET, PERTH - PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO SHOP (ROLLER SHUTTERS) (UNAUTHORISED EXISTING DEVELOPMENT)**

**Ward:** South

**Attachments:**

1. Consultation and Location Plan
2. Development Plans
3. Applicant Justification
4. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response
5. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response
6. Determination Advice Notes

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

1. In accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, REFUSES the application for proposed Alterations and Additions to Shop (Roller Shutters) (Unauthorised Existing Development) at Nos. 334-336 (Lots: 4 & 5; D/P: 1304) Beaufort Street, Perth, in accordance with the plans provided in Attachment 2, for the following reasons:
  - 1.1 The development does not satisfy the objectives of the Commercial zone under Clause 16 of the City's Local Planning Scheme No. 2, as the roller shutters are incompatible with the design of facades within the streetscape. This is as a result of the roller shutters providing for reduced activation to the street frontage;
  - 1.2 The development does not satisfy the Policy Objectives of the City's Policy No. 7.1.1 – Built Form, as:
    - 1.2.1 The development does not contribute to public spaces, maximise street level interest, interaction between inside and outside, or minimise blank facades; and
    - 1.2.2 As a result of the roller shutters, the use does not provide for a visual connection with the adjoining public spaces and does not adhere to the performance criteria of the Western Australian Planning Commissions, Designing Out Crime Planning Guidelines;
  - 1.3 The development does not satisfy the Element Objectives of Clause 1.13 Façade Design and Clause 1.4 Adaptive Reuse of the City's Policy No. 7.1.1 – Built Form as:
    - 1.3.1 The appearance of the roller shutters as a security measure adversely impacts on and does not reflect the character of the local area or complement the existing building; and
    - 1.3.2 The roller shutters reduce activation provided to the street frontage, which reduces visibility of the internal use from the street;
  - 1.4 As a consequence of the adverse appearance of the roller shutter addition and subsequent reduced street surveillance, the proposed development:
    - 1.4.1 Is not compatible nor complimentary to the area in which it is located (Clause 67(2)(m) of the Deemed Provisions in Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*); and
    - 1.4.2 Would detract from the amenity and character of the locality and would set an undesirable precedence (Clause 67(2)(n)(ii) and (iii) of the Deemed Provisions in Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*); and
2. **INSTRUCTS the applicant, within 28 days from the issuing of the notice of determination, to**

remove the roller shutters from the building façade and the affected areas of the façade made good, to the satisfaction of the City.

**NO QUESTIONS**

At 7:20 pm, Cr Dan Loden left the meeting.

At 7:21 pm, Cr Dan Loden returned to the meeting.



**5.4 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR REDEVELOPMENT OF LEEDERVILLE CARPARKS**

- Attachments:
1. Redevelopment Proposals Selection Criteria
  2. Stage 1 Submission 1 - Confidential
  3. Stage 1 Submission 2 - Confidential
  4. Stage 1 Submission 3 - Confidential
  5. Stage 2 Request for Detailed Proposals
  6. Stage 2 Submission 1 - Confidential
  7. Stage 2 Submission 2 - Confidential
  8. Stage 2 Submission 3 - Confidential
  9. Redevelopment Proposals Evaluation Worksheet - Confidential
  10. The Avenue and Frame Court Carpark Land Valuation - Confidential
  11. Redevelopment Proposals Commercial Assessment - Confidential
  12. Redevelopment Proposals Commercial Assessment - Summary Following Valuation - Confidential
  13. Redevelopment Proposals Commercial Review - Confidential
  14. Risk Assessment Workshop Report - Confidential
  15. Redevelopment Proposals Preliminary Statutory Planning Assessment - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the evaluation outcome for the Stage 2 Request for Detailed Proposals, relating to The Avenue and Frame Court Car Parks, Leederville;
2. **ENDORSES** the proposal as outlined in Attachments 3 and 7, as the preferred proposal;
3. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer to progress development of a Heads of Agreement with the preferred proponent in relation to their proposal, as outlined in Attachments 3 and 7, provided that the Heads of Agreement:
  - 3.1 **Is not** a binding agreement to sell or undertake any redevelopment of the land;
  - 3.2 **Contains** a binding acknowledgement by the proponent as to the City's obligations under sections 3.58 and 3.59 of the Local Government Act that must be complied with before the City can agree to proceed with any major land transaction;
  - 3.3 **Addresses** the risks outlined in the Redevelopment Proposals Commercial Assessment, included in Attachment 11;
  - 3.4 **Addresses** point 1 in the conclusion of the PwC Leederville Expression of Interest: Commercial Review included in Attachment 13;
  - 3.5 **Addresses** the findings of the Redevelopment Proposals Preliminary Statutory Planning Assessment, included at Attachment 15; and
  - 3.6 **States** that the City of Vincent would have the first right of refusal for the management of the public car park(s);
4. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer prepare a Business Plan under sections 3.58 and 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995* in relation to the proposal outlined in Attachments 3 and 7, that addresses the:
  - 4.1 **risks** outlined in the Redevelopment Proposals Commercial Assessment, included in Attachment 11;
  - 4.2 **points** in the conclusion of the PwC Leederville Expression of Interest: Commercial Review included in Attachment 13; and
  - 4.3 **Risks and Opportunities** set out in the Risk Assessment Workshop Report, included in

## Attachment 14; and

5. **NOTES that the Heads of Agreement, along with a Business Plan, would be presented to Council for approval to invite and consider public submissions on that Business Plan before Council then considers whether to enter into a major land transaction under sections 3.58 and 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.**

At 7.28pm the livestream was stopped to discuss the confidential attachment.

All staff present left the meeting, the staff attending electronically were put in the waiting room.

**CR IOPPOLO:**

Can I please have the Probity Officers advice on what would happen if there were modifications to the Leederville Precinct Structure Plan? How would this impact the process?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER STRATEGIC PROJECTS & URBAN DESIGN:**

*As provided by Kevin Donnelly, Stantons:*

*The principal consideration would relate to the materiality of the modification. If it was significant and would have an impact on the capacity of the Preferred Proponent to deliver the project as proposed and accepted by Council, then it would be quite possible that process would need to be either terminated or put on hold until all proponents were given the opportunity to consider the impact of the modifications on their proposals. If the modification was minor and did not have an impact on the plans that have been presented to Council, along with the financial justification, then the amendment would be unlikely to be material and would probably not force a change to the process.*

*If much greater in scope, then it would be likely to be regarded as a material change and would force the City to reconsider the entire process, with the potential outcome that the existing process would need to be terminated and a decision taken as to going back to the market based on the revised structure plan.*

**CR IOPPOLO:**

If The Avenue and Frame Court car parks were sold together would the valuation change?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER STRATEGIC PROJECTS & URBAN DESIGN:**

*The valuer has confirmed that due to the demand for these sites being significant, the valuation would remain the same if sold separately or together.*

**CONFIDENTIAL RESPONSE PROVIDED SEPARATELY**

At 8:05 pm, Cr Dan Loden left the meeting.

At 8:06 pm, Cr Dan Loden returned to the meeting.

At 8.06pm the Cygnet West representatives left the meeting and did not return.

At 8.06pm the livestream resumed.

**5.5 RFT PP249/2023 MOUNT HAWTHORN YOUTH SKATE SPACE****Attachments: 1. Evaluation Summary - Confidential****RECOMMENDATION:****That Council:**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space (Design and Construct); and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submission of Skate Sculpture for Tender PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space (Design and Construct).**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

Cr Loden advised that he had questions about the confidential attachment, but the Presiding Member advised that , as we had just been in confidential session, the other items would be discussed first.

**5.1 NO. 4 (LOT: 1; S/P: 24447) PRIMROSE STREET, PERTH - PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO GROUPED DWELLING****Ward:** South**Attachments:**

1. Consultation and Location Map
2. Development Plans
3. Applicant Justification
4. Determination Advice Notes

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, APPROVES the application for and Alterations and Additions to Grouped Dwelling at No. 4 (Lot: 1; S/P: 24447) Primrose Street, Perth, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 4:

**1. Development Plans**

This approval is for Alterations and Additions to a Grouped Dwelling as shown on the approved plans dated 29 March 2023 and 21 April 2023. No other development forms part of this approval;

**2. External Fixtures**

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennae, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive, to the satisfaction of the City;

**3. Colour and Materials**

The colours, materials and finishes of the development shall be in accordance with the details and annotations as indicated on the approved plans which forms part of this approval, to the satisfaction of the City;

**4. Stormwater**

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve;

**5. Landscaping**

5.1 Prior to use of the approved development, all landscaping works shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans dated 29 March 2023 and 21 April 2023, to the satisfaction of the City;

5.2 Prior to use of the approved terraces, the planter boxes shall be installed to the satisfaction of the City. The terrace planter boxes shall not be removed unless further approval of the City is obtained; and

5.3 The landscaping installed to the planter boxes to the eastern edge of Terrace 1 shall be to a minimum height of 1.6 metres above the finished floor level to ensure compliance with the visual privacy requirements of the Residential Design Codes and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City; and

**6. Visual Privacy**

Prior to occupancy or use of the development, all privacy screening shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall be visually impermeable and are to comply in all respects with the visual privacy requirements of the Residential Design Codes, to the satisfaction of

the City.

**NO QUESTIONS**

At 8.08pm the A/Manager Development & Design left the meeting and did not return.

**5.6 LEEDERVILLE OVAL PRECINCT MASTER PLAN**

**Attachments:** 1. Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan - Project Plan and Timeline

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

1. **APPROVES** the inclusion of the Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan as a Strategic Project, within the 2023/24 – 2026/27 Corporate Business Plan; and
2. **NOTES** the Project Plan and Timeline, as at Attachment 1.

At 8.09pm Executive Director Strategy & Development left the meeting.

At 8.11pm Executive Director Strategy & Development returned to the meeting.

**MAYOR COLE:**

Name Leederville Oval Precinct Master Plan, would it be better described as Leederville Oval Civic Precinct Master Plan?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*The title has been updated in the report and attachment.*

**MAYOR COLE:**

Can we strengthen reference to engaging external stakeholders?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*This reference has been added.*

**MAYOR COLE:**

Should we reference implementation when the plan is not about implementation?

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*Reference to implementation has been removed.*

**5.9 CITY OF VINCENT ARTS PLAN - APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE**

- Attachments:**
- 1. Arts Development Action Plan 2018-2020 Review**
  - 2. Stakeholder Engagement Findings Report June 2022**
  - 3. Draft Arts Plan 2023-2028**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council **APPROVES** the draft Arts Plan 2023-2028, at Attachment 3, for the purpose of advertising.

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------



**5.10 THRIVING PLACES STRATEGY - APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE**

**Attachments: 1. Draft Thriving Places Strategy for Advertising**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council APPROVES draft Thriving Places Strategy 2023-2028 for the purpose of advertising.**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**5.11 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING - STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN 2022 - 2032**

- Attachments:**
- 1. Strategic Community Plan 2022 - 2032**
  - 2. Engagement Summary and Verbatim Comments**
  - 3. Strategic Community Plan 2022 - 2032 - Marked-up**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council ADOPTS the Strategic Community Plan 2022 – 2032 at Attachment 1.**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**5.12 OUTCOMES OF ADVERTISING - AMENDMENT NO. 12 TO LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME NO. 2**

- Attachments:
1. **Form 2A - Amendment No. 12 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 - Permissibility of Service stations**
  2. **Summary of Submissions - Amendment No. 12 (Service Stations)**

**RECOMMENDATION:****That Council:**

1. **CONSIDERS** the submissions and Administrations response to the submissions pursuant to Part 5, Division 3, Regulation 50 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*;
2. **SUPPORTS** Amendment 12 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 without modification, pursuant to Regulation 50(3) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, included in Attachment 1; and
3. **FORWARDS** all relevant information in accordance with Regulation 44 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* to the Western Australian Planning Commission for Approval.

<b>NO QUESTIONS:</b>
----------------------

**5.13 EXTENSION OF LEASES TO CHILD & ADOLESCENT HEALTH SERVICE FOR CHILD HEALTH CLINICS**

Attachments: Nil

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **APPROVES** an extension to Child and Adolescent Health Service of the Leases for:
  - 1.1 Loftus Child Health Clinic located at portion of No. 99 (Lot 501) Loftus Street, Leederville;
  - 1.2 Mount Hawthorn Child Health Clinic located at portion of No. 197 (Lot 274) Scarborough Beach Road, Mount Hawthorn; and
  - 1.3 Highgate Child Health Clinic located at 84 (lot 150) Harold St, Mount Lawley;commencing on 1 July 2023 and expiring on 30 June 2028;
2. **SUBJECT** to final satisfactory negotiations carried out by the Chief Executive Officer, **AUTHORISES** the Chief Executive Officer to carry out final negotiations and execution of the Deeds of Extension of Lease in accordance with the Execution of Documents Policy; and
3. **NOTES** that the North Perth Child Health Clinic has ceased its operations at 20 (Lot 4) View Street, North Perth as of 1 May 2023 and a future report will be presented for Council to consider tenancy arrangements of the site.

<b>NO QUESTIONS:</b>
----------------------

5.14 OFFERS FOR SALE - NO. 25 (LOT 93) SYDNEY STREET, NORTH PER

This report will be presented to the Council Meeting on 16 May 2023.

**NO QUESTIONS**

At 7.35pm the Presiding Member decided to go behind closed doors to discuss the confidential attachments for Items 5.5 and 6.4, and the livestream was stopped.

**5.5 RFT PP249/2023 MOUNT HAWTHORN YOUTH SKATE SPACE**

**Attachments:** 1. **Evaluation Summary - Confidential**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

1. **NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space (Design and Construct); and**
2. **ACCEPTS the tender submission of Skate Sculpture for Tender PP249/2023 Mount Hawthorn Youth Skate Space (Design and Construct).**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**6.4 RFT IE224/2022 PROVISION OF GENERAL ELECTRICAL MAINTENANCE SERVICES**

Attachments: 1. Evaluation Worksheet IE224-2022 - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE224/2022 Provision of General Electrical Maintenance Services; and
2. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of EOS Electrical for Portion A of Tender IE224/2022 - Electrical maintenance services to all City of Vincent owned and managed buildings and parks, except Beatty Park Leisure Centre; and
3. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of Rosevale Fire & Electrical for Portion B of Tender IE224/2022 - Electrical maintenance services to the Beatty Park Leisure Centre.

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

At 7.40pm the livestream resumed.

**6.2 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL ONLY PARKING RESTRICTIONS, HAROLD STREET, MOUNT LAWLEY - RESULTS OF COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

**Attachments:** 1. Harold St Consultation submissions for resident only parking restriction on northern side of Harold Street, Mount Lawley

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

1. **NOTES** the comments received during the consultation period (Attachment 1);
2. **APPROVES** the introduction of resident only parking on the northern side of Harold Street, between Vincent Street and Beaufort Street, Mount Lawley; and
3. **ADVISES** consultation respondents and affected residents of its decision.

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------



**6.3 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING AND ADOPTION OF AMENDED GRAFFITI CONTROL AND REMOVAL POLICY 2.1.3**

- Attachments:**
- 1. Graffiti Control and Removal Policy**
  - 2. Community Feedback on Draft Graffiti Management Policy**
  - 3. Draft Graffiti Management Policy with tracked changes**
  - 4. Draft Graffiti Management Policy final**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council **ADOPTS** the amended Graffiti Control and Removal Policy (to be re-named as Graffiti Management Policy) at Attachment 4.

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**6.4 RFT IE224/2022 PROVISION OF GENERAL ELECTRICAL MAINTENANCE SERVICES****Attachments: 1. Evaluation Worksheet IE224-2022 - Confidential****RECOMMENDATION:****That Council:**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE224/2022 Provision of General Electrical Maintenance Services; and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submission of EOS Electrical for Portion A of Tender IE224/2022 - Electrical maintenance services to all City of Vincent owned and managed buildings and parks, except Beatty Park Leisure Centre; and**
- 3. ACCEPTS the tender submission of Rosevale Fire & Electrical for Portion B of Tender IE224/2022 - Electrical maintenance services to the Beatty Park Leisure Centre.**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**6.5 DRAFT BIKE PLAN 2023-2028 CONSULTATION**

- Attachments:**
1. Draft CoV Bike Plan\_Rev1 05.04.23
  2. Bike Plan 2023-2028 Online Survey

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

- **RECEIVES** the Draft Bike Plan 2023 – 2028 at Attachment 2; and
- **AUTHORISES** the Chief Executive Officer to provide 21 days local public notice of the Draft Bike Plan 2023 – 2028 for the purpose of public consultation.

At 8:26 pm, Cr Suzanne Worner left the meeting.

At 8:28 pm, Cr Suzanne Worner returned to the meeting.

**MAYOR COLE:**

Page 38 – Inclusion of the 40km/h to help achieve and promote cycling (safely)

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*Action Item# 1 on page 20 includes slower speeds (40km/h on all local roads).*

**MAYOR COLE:**

How are we advocating on behaviour change such as women cyclists.

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*The Bike Plan 2023 -2028 on page 38 details behaviour change and the final draft will include wording on promoting Female cyclist/cycling.*

**CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

How is Beaufort Street and Smith Street is being looked at within the bike plan. There was some previous agreement with DoT?

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*The Bike Plan identifies Beaufort Street within the Mt Lawley/Highgate Neighbourhood Plan on page 27. Action items 28 to 36 are listed on page 28 which includes items relating to Beaufort Street and also Smith Street, the details of which will be completed through review, design and planning by administration in implementation of these actions. There may be funding opportunities for future projects with DoT.*

**CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

How are the North and South links being developed.

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*The DoT Long Term Cycle Network looks at the connections including North to South, East to West shown as Primary, Secondary and Local Routes, referred within the Bike Plan 2023 – 2028 on page 37. Action Items provide an overview on what is required to be developed, the review, design and planning will detail how these items are implemented.*

**CR LODEN**

How are the details being investigated in areas such as Scarborough Beach Road and Oxford Street.

**MANAGER ENGINEERING:**

*The Bike Plan identifies Scarborough Beach Road and the northern end of Oxford Street within the Mt Hawthorn Neighbourhood Plan on page 24. Action items 19 to 27 are listed on page 25 which includes items relating to Scarborough Beach Road and Oxford Street, the details of which will be completed through review, design and planning by administration in implementation of these actions.*

**7.1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 31 MARCH 2023**

**Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 31 March 2023**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 31 March 2023 as shown in Attachment 1.**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**7.2 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1 MARCH 2023 TO 31 MARCH 2023**

- Attachments:
1. Payments by EFT and Payroll March 23
  2. Payments by Cheque March 23
  3. Payments by Direct Debit March 23

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 1 March 2023 to 31 March 2023 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll	\$6,800,412.35
Cheques	\$600.50
Direct debits, including credit cards	\$130,433.91
<b>Total payments for March 2023</b>	<b>\$6,931,446.76</b>

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**7.3 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 31 MARCH 2023**

**Attachments: 1. Investment Statistics as at 31 March 2023**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 31 March 2023 as detailed in Attachment 1.**

**NO QUESTIONS**

**7.4 ADVERTISING OF AMENDED POLICY - RECOGNITION OF NOONGAR BOODJAR CULTURE AND HISTORY THROUGH WELCOME TO COUNTRY AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY**

- Attachments:**
- 1. Recognition of Noongar Boodjar Culture and History through Welcome to Country and Acknowledgement of Country - Marked Up Version**
  - 2. Recognition of Noongar Boodjar Culture and History through Welcome to Country and Acknowledgement of Country - Clean Version**

**RECOMMENDATION**

**That Council APPROVES the proposed amendments to the Recognition of Noongar Boodjar Culture and History through Welcome to Country and Acknowledgement of Country Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation.**

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

7.5 DIFFERENTIAL RATING STRATEGY 2023/24

This report will be presented to the Council Meeting on 16 May 2023.

**NO QUESTIONS**



**8.1 ANNUAL REVIEW OF COUNCIL DELEGATIONS**

Attachments: 1. Register of Council Delegations - Marked up for 2023 Review

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the annual review of its delegations in accordance with Section 5.46(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as outlined in this report; and
2. **DELEGATES BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY** the local government functions listed in the City's Council Delegated Authority Register included as Attachment 1.

<b>NO QUESTIONS</b>
---------------------

**8.2 LEEDERVILLE OVAL SPONSORSHIP AND NAMING RIGHTS**

- Attachments:
1. Leederville Oval - Naming Rights Sponsorship Proposal Document (2022)
  2. 21 Mar 2023 - Playbookx Letter
  3. Leederville Oval Map of Leased Areas
  4. Sponsorship Agreement dated 27 July 2006 - Medibank Private Limited - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **APPROVES** giving public notice and inviting submissions under the provisions of section 3.58(3) of the *Local Government Act 1995* for the proposal by Sullivan Logistics for sponsorship and naming rights of Leederville Oval located at No. 246 (Lot 500) Vincent Street, Leederville;
2. If no submissions are received as a result of public notice period in Recommendation 1. above, **AUTHORISES** the:
  - 2.1 **Chief Executive Officer to:**
    - 2.1.1 prepare the relevant legal agreement to include the matters detailed in this report;
    - 2.1.2 negotiate the terms of the legal agreement;
    - 2.1.3 execute all documentation; and
    - 2.1.4 comply with all applicable legislation as required to give effect to the proposal in Recommendation 1; and
  - 2.2 **Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to affix the common seal and execute the legal agreement in accordance with the Execution of Documents Policy; and**
3. **NOTES** that if any submissions are received as a result of the public notice period in Recommendation 1. above, the Chief Executive Officer will provide the submissions to Council for consideration and determination whether to proceed with the proposal.

**CR IOPPOLO:**

Confirm which is the correct sponsorship figures – page 2 and page 3 is different.

**A/EXECUTIVE MANAGER CORPORATE STRATEGY & GOVERNANCE:**

*Page 2 refers to the City's Naming Rights Sponsorship Proposal Document which sets out the City's preference for a minimum two year deal with third year option. The current proposal is seeking a three year deal with an option of further two years which is reflected in the proposed terms listed on page 3.*

Manager Engineering left the meeting at 8.32pm and did not return.

At 8.38pm Manager Engineering left the meeting and did not return.

### 8.3 INFORMATION BULLETIN

- Attachments:**
1. **Unconfirmed Minutes of the Sustainability and Transport Advisory Group - 30 March 2023**
  2. **Accessible City Update**
  3. **Statistics for Development Services Applications as at the end of March 2023**
  4. **Register of Legal Action and Prosecutions Monthly - Confidential**
  5. **Register of State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Appeals - Progress report as at 28 April 2023**
  6. **Register of Applications Referred to the Metro Inner-North Joint Development Assessment Panel - Current**
  7. **Register of Applications Referred to the Design Review Panel - Current**
  8. **Register of Petitions - Progress Report - April 2023**
  9. **Register of Notices of Motion - Progress Report - April 2023**
  10. **Register of Reports to be Actioned - Progress Report - April 2023**
  11. **Council Workshop Items since 5 April 2023**
  12. **Council Meeting Statistics**
  13. **Council Briefing Notes - 28 March 2023**

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council **RECEIVES** the Information Bulletin dated May 2023.

#### **CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

Can I please confirm that the assessment of not being on track is to do with the preceding period but the commentary is in relation to the future?

#### **EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*Yes, this is correct.*

#### **CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

Would it be good to have another column for those targets that are not on track to indicate whether we feel we can make up the distance or whether we feel that the target actually is not going to be met?

#### **EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*The status tracking column has been expanded to provide an explanation when a target is not on track.*

#### **CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

Can we get some more commentary around water usage?

#### **EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*The irrigation system at the administration building (scheme water) is due to be replaced next financial year. This is anticipated to save a large amount of water and should have a positive impact on reducing our overall scheme water use. An exact amount is difficult to determine.*

#### **CR GONTASZEWSKI:**

How many electric vehicles if any we are getting this year?

#### **EXECUTIVE MANAGER URBAN DESIGN & STRATEGIC PROJECTS:**

*We have budgeted to purchase four electric vehicle sedans and one electric vehicle van this year (2022/2023). On back order we only have hybrid vehicles, not electric vehicles.*

**9 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

Nil

**10 REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES**

Nil

**11 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED**

Nil

**12 CLOSURE**

There being no further business the meeting closed at 8.39pm.